Domestic Discipline

Pastor David Ministries

Revised September 2023

Here are two quotes from American history books referring to the practice of husbands physically disciplining their wives before "women's liberation" (feminism) in the US.

In Howard Zinn's "A People's History of the United States" he says in chapter 6: "The husband's control over the wife's person extended to the right of giving her chastisement.But he was not entitled to inflict permanent injury or death on his wife...." (Zinn is online at: http://www.historyisaweapon.com/defcon1/zinnint6.html)

In "American Legal History, Law in the Morning of America", on page 30, William Blackstone is quoted from his commentaries on law, saying: "By marriage, the husband and wife are one person in law.......The husband also (by the old law) might give his wife moderate correction. For as he is to answer for her misbehaviour, the law thought it reasonable to intrust him with this power of restraining her, by domestic chastisement, in the same moderation that a man is allowed to correct his servants or children; for whom the master or parent is also liable in some cases to answer. But this power of correction was confined within reasonable bounds, and the husband was prohibited from using any violence to his wife...." (This book is at "The Online Library of Liberty" at: http://oll.libertyfund.org/index.php?option=com_frontpage&Itemid=149) Notice that they did not consider "domestic chastisement" with moderation to be violence. They considered "domestic chastisement" with moderation and self-control to be the antidote for violence. Without which, conflict and pressure escalate until it's like a volcano ready to explode. It was common knowledge, back then, that without this type of moderate discipline, uncontrollable violence will definitely increase.

Also notice that the phrase "(by the old law)" is referring to the general understanding, back in those days, that this custom had been in practice as far back as anyone knew. They considered it to be an ancient, normal, indisputable and unquestionable "law of nature" established by God ever since the beginning of creation, in the Garden of Eden. Gen. 3:16 "Unto the woman he said, I will greatly multiply thy sorrow (pain) and thy conception; in sorrow (pain) thou shalt bring forth children; and thy desire shall be to thy husband, and he shall rule over thee." KJV It is impossible to "rule over" anyone without the ability to apply discipline for disobedience. (Also see article listed below on: The Lie of Evolution)

Without this type of moderate discipline, tension and pressure from conflict build and build until it explodes. And without this type of acceptable moderate discipline the supposed subordinate would just disrespectfully tell the supposed leader to get lost.

(When intercourse itself is performed in a natural and normal manner, it is usually painful for the wife, but not for the husband, which, ideally ought to be the manner people should practice intercourse. Some people interrupt this natural process with artificial methods. It must be recognized that God designed intercourse to be painful for the wife, but not for the husband.) Notice how, in <u>Gen 3:16</u> above in red letters, the word "pain" is mentioned twice, once for "conception" and the other for giving birth.

When the custom of men disciplining their wives was in practice, those men would never have to say something like: "Women,..... you can't live with them, and you can't live without them." Normal time-tested discipline made it possible for men to live at peace with their wives. It is **now**, that this custom has been done away with, that the divorce rate is at an all time maximum. Marriages are in constant conflict, struggling to stay together,.... but not then.

The extreme case is when women act like mother dogs (bitches) with bad attitudes who are always barking (nagging, bitching) constantly. Amazingly, when the men run away from them, those women think that it is the men who are the problem. Now, these are the men who would say something like: "Women,..... you can't live with them, and you can't live without them." or, even better: "Women,..... you can't live with them,.....so you **must** live without them." They have to learn to live without them, that is, without any normal long-term relationship. Divorce is better than having a domineering wife.

Look! In the manager's office. It's a man. It's a woman. NO. It's...... SUPER BITCH!! Louder than a grenade blast. More irritating than a mother dog (bitch) continually barking non-stop all day long. Able to crumble the plaster right off a wall with a single growl. Able to reverse the course of a locomotive with a single nag at the engineer. Able to make an entire professional football team run away like cowards with a single glare. She seems like an alien from another planet with powers and abilities far beyond those of mortal humans.

It's It's It's SSSSUUUUPPPPEEEERRRR BBBBIIIITTTTCCCCHHHH!!!!

For the sake of those people who are too young to understand what I was just talking about..... The old black and white Superman TV shows, that can be found on places like youtube, used to start off by saying:

"Faster than a speeding bullet. More powerful than a locomotive. Able to leap tall buildings at a single bound. Look,.... up in the sky,..... it's a bird,...... it's a plane, it's Superman. Yes, it's Superman. Strange visitor from another planet who came to earth with powers and abilities far beyond those of mortal men. Superman, who can change the course of mighty rivers. Bend steal in his bare hands......"

The main point of all of this is that, if the man doesn't discipline his wife,..... she will act like a super spoiled brat, only now that she's an adult,..... and she has the authority of the law on her side, which turns her into a super-sonic nag machine who has learned how to irritate men to the maximum (bitch). They have discovered that super-sonic nagging actually works better than hitting somebody with a karate kick. By denying God ordained male leadership, you get super bitch women...... And the more that men go along with it, the bitchier the women get. The wimpier the men get, the stronger the bitches get.

Actually, in one sense the men are the problem. The Bible says: <u>1Tim 3:4,5</u> "One that **ruleth** well his own house, having his children in subjection with all gravity; 5 For if a man know not how to **rule** his own house, how shall he take care of the church of God?" This passage is talking about the requirements for a church leader. Church leaders are supposed to have their houses in order. It's kind of like saying that if a man doesn't know how to fix his own car, how could he possibly be an auto mechanic? The word "**rule**" indicates not only the authority to give orders, but also the authority to apply discipline. It is not possible to keep a house in "subjection" without discipline.

Some people might say that if this custom were in wide practice, men would always be brutal tyrants over their wives. But quite to the contrary, it is the women who are now brutal tyrants over their husbands. Ever since the US law has sided with the women they have been very abusive, charging the men with enormous quantities of child-support or alimony. (See article listed below on: Fatherhood) They are rude, offensive and selfish. It is the women who maintain very brutal and hurtful conduct toward the men.

In modern psychological counseling women act like men are always at fault for every problem. (This is a physical impossibility, showing a complete lack of discernment. But, it is the women who cause most of the problems. They love provoking the men, trying to make the men as angry as possible.) The only thing the men are at fault with is failing to make an acknowledgment that the law is in error by giving women inappropriate power over their husbands, and that women truly need discipline. Women need discipline! And the lack of it makes them misbehave. Men are also at fault for refusing to stand up and protest this abnormal modern practice of giving women this type of unnatural, problematic and irrational power over men.

This complete reversal of custom did not happen overnight, you know. This is the leading cause that keeps the divorce rate at a maximum. The US has the highest divorce rate in the world.

The Bible says in: <u>Eph. 5:22-29</u> "Wives, submit yourselves unto your own husbands, as unto the Lord. For the husband is the head of the wife, even as Christ is the

head of the church: Therefore as the church is subject unto Christ, so let the wives be to their own husbands in every thing. Husbands, love your wives, even as Christ also loved the church, and gave himself for it; That he might sanctify and cleanse it with the washing of water by the word, That he might present it to himself a glorious church, not having spot, or wrinkle, or any such thing; but that it should be holy and without blemish. So ought men to love their wives as their own bodies. He that loveth his wife loveth himself. For no man ever yet hated his own flesh; but nourisheth and cherisheth it, even as the Lord the church:" Notice that the husband is supposed to love his wife so much that he would be ready to lay down his own life to protect her, if necessary. Only this type of man is worthy to be the boss and disciplinarian of his wife.

God asks both the husband and the wife to make a sacrifice. They both need to be unselfish. The husband must direct his wife in a truly unselfish loving manner and the wife must unselfishly submit herself to her husband's leadership. If this is put into practice, marriages today would have love, peace and harmony. This is God's short simple recipe for happy marriage that works! (See article listed below on: The Virtues of the Spirit) God created women to be the happiest and most secure under the authority, protection and discipline of a loving husband. A wife is to obey her husband, so long as his instructions are not in opposition to God's Word. (Titus 2:5, Acts 5:29) (See article listed below on: Marriage Misunderstandings Explained) The phrase "see article" means to "see article". It's amazing how people have questions and those questions have been answered in the designated article, and I tell them to "see article", yet they don't see the article which would answer their questions.

In a Christian family, the most important objective for husbands is to get their wives and children to obey Jesus Christ. This must be done **primarily** by setting a good example as a good "role model". (See article listed below on: The Virtues of the Spirit) The wife's and children's obedience to the husband/father is commanded by God. <u>Titus 2:4,5</u> "That they may teach the young women to be sober, to love their husbands, to love their children, To be discreet, chaste, keepers at home, good, obedient to their own husbands, that the word of God be not **blasphemed.**" <u>Eph 6:1</u> "Children, obey your parents in the Lord: for this is right."

Correct discipline is a normal part of life, especially family life. Prov 13:24 "He that spares his rod hates his son: but he that loves him chastens him betimes (early)." Prov 22:15 "Foolishness is bound in the heart of a child; but the rod of correction shall drive it far from him." Prov 23:13,14 "Withhold not correction from the child: for if you beat him with the rod, he shall not die. You shall beat him with the rod, and shall deliver his soul from hell." Prov 29:15 "The rod and reproof give wisdom: but a child left to himself brings his mother to shame."

<u>James 1:2,3</u> "My brethren, count it all joy when ye fall into divers(e) temptations (trials); Knowing *this*, that the trying of your faith worketh (produces) patience." KJV

Heb 12:11 "Now no chastening for the present seemeth to be joyous, but grievous: nevertheless afterward it yieldeth the peaceable fruit of righteousness unto them which are exercised thereby." Notice that the verse: Prov 26:3 "..... a rod for the fool's back" is referring primarily to adults (men or women), not children. If discipline is applied correctly, it will be truly beneficial to the person who receives it. Most adults who received good discipline when they were a child don't need it as an adult. It is the lack of discipline as a child that causes adults to act with childish misbehavior.

In the United States, judicial corporal punishment, that is, physical discipline administered to delinquent **adults** by someone with governmental authority, has only been discontinued less than 100 years ago. It was slowly and gradually used less and less, with only a few rare cases in prisons being reported as late as the 1950's. Further back in time, in almost every major society throughout the history of the world, most people considered it normal for governments to physically punish delinquent **adult men** publicly.

In those days, most people thought that physical discipline for **adults** was only wrong if it was inappropriately applied to someone who was innocent. Back when the old Roman Empire was still good and virtuous, even high ranking officials could have been punished if they inappropriately applied physical discipline to an innocent Roman **adult** citizen. (Acts22:24-29) Act 22:29 "..... and the chief captain also was afraid, after he knew that he (Apostle Paul) was a Roman, and because he (the chief captain) had bound him." Notice here that they had not yet done any discipline; the chief captain was guilty just for binding him, getting ready to beat him.

On a different occasion: <u>Acts 16:37,38</u> "But Paul said unto them, They have beaten us openly (publicly) uncondemned, being Romans, and have cast us into prison; and now do they thrust us out privily (secretly)? Nay (no) verily (truly); but let them come themselves and fetch us out. 38 And the serjeants told these words unto the magistrates: and they feared, when they heard that they (Paul and Silas) were Romans."

More than anything else, this is probably what made the Roman Empire strong as iron. (<u>Dan. 2:33-45</u>) People trust a government that truly and honestly protects its citizens from abuse. (Keep in mind that not everyone, at that time, were official "citizens" of Rome. Only the "citizens" were protected. Among the Apostles, only Paul was a citizen of Rome. Silas was a roman citizen, but not an Apostle.)

The idea that it is wrong to use corporal punishment on a **guilty** adult is absurd. The Bible agrees with this custom. <u>Pro.26:3 Pro.20:30 Lev.19:20 Psa.89:32 Pro.19:29 Neh.13:25</u>. <u>Deut 25:2,3</u> "And it shall be, if the wicked man be worthy to be beaten, that the judge shall cause him to lie down, and to be beaten before his face, according to his fault, by a certain number. Forty stripes he may give him, and not exceed:" **Also**: <u>John2:15,16</u> "And when he (Jesus) had made a **scourge of small**

cords, he drove them all out of the temple, and the sheep, and the oxen; and poured out the changers' money, and overthrew the tables; And said unto them that sold doves, Take these things hence (from here, away); make not my Father's house an house of merchandise." KJV Even Jesus Christ himself used a "**scourge of small cords**", which he himself had made.

At that time the religious service in the temple included sacrificing an animal as a substitution payment for a person's sins. The temple, in those days, had an open air courtyard surrounded by a wall with a large alter, which was like a giant barbecue with a fire always burning under the grill. The sacrifice animals were placed on the grill and the smoke went up as a sacrifice aroma pleasing to God. But, the animal had to be inspected and approved by the religious leaders. No defective animals were allowed. So, those religious leaders started to sell animals for high prices and require everyone to buy one of their animals..... Until Jesus put an end to it.

It's amazing how so many people in modern churches read things like this regularly and think everything Jesus did was good and appropriate. But, if any man now-adays were to actually use a "scourge of small cords" on obstinate church members insistent on corruption or on a disobedient wife, most modern Christians would automatically assume that the man with the whip is "abnormal" or "mentally ill" or "anger prone" and unfit to be a church leader. (1Tim. 3:3; Tit. 1:7) They might even call the police and say that the man with the whip belongs in a mental institution. Then, at the same time, they read passages like the "scourge of small cords" that Jesus had made in the Bible and think that everything Jesus did was OK. This kind of "two sided" "double standard" perception is a very good example of spiritual blindness, or possibly, real mental illness. When they read the Bible, it's story time, like a bedtime story for children before you tuck them in at night ... nothing more.

1Ti 2:11,12 "Let the woman learn in silence with all subjection. 12 But I suffer not a woman to teach, nor to usurp authority over the man, but to be in silence." If Jesus were here today and found one of those churches that had women leading the men, most likely he would use his "scourge of small cords" on them. Either that or he would use his "rod of iron" to "dash them in pieces" (bash their skulls in). Psa 2:9 "Thou shalt break them with a rod of iron; thou shalt dash them in pieces like a potter's vessel." (also: Rev 2:27)

A good example in the Bible of one of those women religious and political leaders was Queen Jezebel, the wife of King Ahab, who had spread the worship of the false god Baal in Israel. The end of that accursed woman would be a very fitting end of all those women pastors in modern churches corrupting Christianity. (Also female politicians and bosses over men at work. It's OK to have women leaders over women.) The man Jehu had her thrown down out of an upper window of a building, then he had his chariot horses trample her under their hooves, crushing her bones like what happened to the villain during the Roman chariot race in the 1959 film Ben-Hur. (see it on youtube) Then the dogs came and ate her. She never had a funeral or a burial. 2Ki 9:36, 37 "..... And he said, This is the word of the LORD, which he spake by his

servant (prophet) Elijah the Tishbite, saying, In the portion of Jezreel shall dogs eat the flesh of Jezebel: 37 And the carcase of Jezebel shall be as dung upon the face of the field....." So that, if anyone in those days saw some dog droppings lying on the ground they might say, "there lies Jezebel", as though they were looking at her grave, mocking her and laughing! How appropriate! That's what should happen to all these women pastors we see now-a-days. Wolves in sheep's clothing.

In the Bible, the relationship between the church and Jesus Christ is portrayed as a marriage. The church is the wife (bride) and Jesus Christ is the husband. (Rev. 19:7-8; 2Cor. 11:2; Ez. 16:8) In the days when delinquent men were disciplined physically and publicly by the government, in most countries delinquent women were usually disciplined privately at home by their own husbands. They referred to this as the "old law". Men were the leaders before "women's liberation" and they were the ones held accountable for the misconduct of their wives or unmarried adult daughters. Women with high paying jobs, who could live independently from male supervision, were extremely rare before the 1960's. (See article listed below on: The Role Of Women Throughout History)

In spiritual life, if a Christian does not obey the spiritual husband Jesus Christ, what should be expected that Jesus do about it? 1Cor. 11:32 "But when we are judged, we are chastened of the Lord, that we should not be condemned with the world." Here, we see that Jesus Christ, as a loving husband, disciplines or "chastises" His wife (bride), the church. The apostle Paul said to his people: 2Cor. 11:2 "For I am jealous over you with godly jealousy: for I have espoused you to one husband, that I may present you as a chaste virgin to Christ." (The word "chaste" means "well disciplined".) 1Cor. 4:21 "What will ye? shall I come unto you with a rod,....?"

Prov. 10:13 "In the lips of him that hath understanding wisdom is found: but a rod is for the back of him that is void of understanding." Prov. 26:3 ".....a rod for the fool's back." (also: Deut. 25:1-3) These verses have always been intended to apply primarily to adults, either men or women. The idea that it is wrong to physically discipline delinquent adults is entirely a modern, foolish and anti-biblical phenomenon. The absence of adult physical discipline has rarely been seen throughout all history outside of the last 100 years. At the same time that this type of discipline was put out of practice, in America, the quantities of delinquent adults and teens went up exponentially.

A husband who is obedient to God will **not** be a tyrant over his wife. Most men love and protect their wives. A **good** husband has the responsibility for leading his family and is accountable before God for their well-being and development of character. A husband must primarily set an example as a **good** "role model". A **good** husband is full of the fruits of the Spirit of God. <u>Gal. 5:22,23</u> "But the fruit of the Spirit is

love, joy, peace, longsuffering, gentleness, goodness, faith, meekness, temperance: against such there is no law." (See article listed below on: The Virtues of the Spirit)

Wives are responsible to verify that their prospective husbands are of good character for an extended period of time, before they marry them. Any woman who marries a non-virtuous husband without properly examining and proving his character for at least one year would be equally as guilty for the disastrous consequences as the non-virtuous husband who she foolishly married. She would have no right to complain about his lack of virtue afterwards. And, rest assured, most women who have already married a non-virtuous husband knew it before they married him, and are equally as responsible for the disastrous consequences as he is.

This is the main reason why old-time marriages used to be arranged by the parents in, back in those days. When young people choose their own marriage partner, they tend to be blinded by love (infatuation). The parents knew much better how to verify the virtuous character of the marriage partner.

The husband has been given the authority from God to direct and discipline his wife, as well as his children. Without this type of discipline many wives get out of control and ruin the family environment. But, in proper Christian marriages, this authority of the husband to discipline his wife must be taken very seriously and should **normally** be applied seldom and always with moderation. (Unfortunately, in the US, almost all **modern** Christian husbands and wives are **not** normal.) Real **normal** Christian husbands should use spanking, the belt, the "scourge of small cords", the paddle or the rod ordinarily only for serious offenses, such as disobedience, disrespect, dishonesty, or disregard for the Word of God. It would also be a good idea for Christian husbands to use non-corporal disciplines, such as writing sentences, memorizing Bible verses, doing moderate physical exercises like aerobics or the temporary prohibition of his wife's favorite privileges, like TV or going out to eat. Discipline is much more than just using the rod, the belt, the "scourge of small cords", the paddle or spanking.

If a wife acts maturely, she should **never** need discipline. Unfortunately, the US is a society where most children have not received enough discipline or love and attention from their fathers when they were young. (See article listed below on: Fatherhood) As a result, many Americans reach adulthood but have not reached maturity. They become legal adults and yet still act childish. One abnormal consequence of the removal of "fatherhood" is that there are now many young women who have an intensive craving for physical discipline. They **want** to be disciplined in an appropriate manner by an emotionally secure and mature man who truly loves them. (Correctly applied discipline gives them a feeling of security and improvement of their own character; it makes them mature. It can also give them a feeling of romance. It is very romantic when a husband disciplines his wife correctly.)

But in real life, when discipline is applied incorrectly by a childish and immature husband, it cannot produce the beneficial and healthy quality of character results in young wives. If this problem is not dealt with correctly, their marriages will not last very long. Childish or unloving husbands cannot produce quality results using physical discipline on their wives incorrectly. Childish or unloving husbands should not even attempt it; they shouldn't even be married.

Most of the time young husbands are just as immature and childish as their young wives. Regrettably, immature young men are unqualified and unable to apply discipline correctly. They themselves need to be disciplined. This is the leading reason why, in marriages where the husband uses discipline on his wife, things don't work out. Men should not get married (regardless of age) or even live together with their wives (if they are already married) until they have reached a state of maturity in their lives. (If necessary, they should separate temporarily.) Under normal conditions, the problem of immature husbands wouldn't even exist if people followed the biblical custom of husbands being older than their wives. 1TIM. 2:13 "For Adam was first formed, then Eve." (also: Ruth 3:10; Gen. 17:17)

Another cause of failure in marriages, in which the husband applies discipline to his wife, would be the negative influence of other family members or friends. Most of those people never had a good marriage themselves and, as a result of jealousy, try to destroy the good marriages of others. At times, it is necessary to choose between your marriage and your supposed friends or family. (At least minimize contact, or if necessary even move away from them.)

MARRIAGE RULE #1 — If you attempt to plant a garden in infertile soil you should not expect to get good produce. The US has probably the worst soil in the world for marriage with the highest divorce rate in the world. The US government has numerous laws concerning marriage which motivate hostility and get wives to fight against their husbands. Most of those laws are heavily in favor of wives against husbands. (Those laws were made dishonestly in the name of "equality". They were made by bad people with the intention of deliberately destroying marriages.) Only a fool would get married under such crazy conditions. It would be kind of like a farmer at-

tempting to farm in a desert, right in the sand dunes. Good produce cannot be expected in such places. The US is number one with the highest divorce rate in the world. Those corrupt laws must be fought in the legislature. If men refuse to protest those laws, they have no business expecting a successful marriage, in the US. The US is a free country. People are free to protest unjust or improper laws.

If correct discipline is applied **at the <u>beginning</u>** of the wife's misbehavior and used with moderation, love and self control, it should usually be very effective and beneficial for the wife. (In a normal environment (not in the US), until those corrupt laws have been changed. Remember <u>MARRIAGE RULE #1</u>) In **normal** cases, the more effectively discipline is applied, the less often it would be necessary to apply it. But when correct discipline with moderation is not used, then the situation gets more and more out of control. Many women deliberately try to provoke their husbands to anger, especially when the husband is very peaceful and not prone to use physical force. Those immature women love to pick fights. If one method doesn't work, then they try another, and another, and another until finally they discover how to get him angry. Chaos, craziness and tension build and build until it's like a volcano ready to explode. Sometimes it's just her constant bombardment that breaks him down.

When the eruption finally happens, it usually comes out in either excessive violence or very ugly, hateful and crazy verbal abuse. The verbal abuse is usually more hurtful than the violence. Then, if he smacks her to get her to stop, she goes around screaming to everyone, "he hit me", "he hit me", "he's a wife beater", "he's a woman abuser", "he's the worst criminal in the world". (Only the good men get treated like this; the type that the women want to receive discipline from.)

This type of wife needs to be "tamed" with correctly applied discipline. If correct normal discipline with love, moderation and self-control is applied correctly **at the beginning** of the wife's misbehavior there would be no building and building of tension and pressure like a volcano, no explosions. Then, most of the excessive violence, craziness, verbal abuse, hate and marriage separations would not happen. (Remember MARRIAGE RULE #1) (Regardless of how many times this is repeated, most people still can't remember what MARRIAGE RULE #1 is, nor will they even take the time to search back and check to see what it is.)

Some husbands may think that if they attempted to use such methods, their wives would just run away and make accusations at them in front of other people. (Maybe even legal accusations. The law is on the side of wives against husbands in America; a foolish place to get married.) (Remember MARRIAGE RULE #1) (Notice – the type of woman that makes such accusations normally do it in response to the uncontrollable explosions which only happen as a result of letting the tension build and build. Most of those women don't respond the same way to the self-controlled discipline applied with moderation at the <u>beginning</u> of the wife's misbehavior.) (Remember MARRIAGE RULE #1) (Regardless of how many times this is repeated, most peo-

ple still can't remember what MARRIAGE RULE #1 is, nor will they even take the time to search back and check to see what it is.)

First of all, no discipline can be applied without the husband having maturity and virtuous character. (See article listed below on: The Virtues of the Spirit) (NOTICE – any husband who does not have a virtuous character has no business applying discipline to his wife; he will ABSOLUTELY face disaster for doing such a thing, especially in the US. Those corrupt laws are satanic in nature and were made deliberately to destroy marriages and destroy male leadership. Those corrupt laws should be protested and fought in the legislature.) (Remember MARRIAGE RULE #1) (Regardless of how many times this is repeated, most people still can't remember what MARRIAGE RULE #1 is, nor will they even take the time to search back and check to see what it is.)

The virtuous quality and maturity of the husband must be clearly seen by the wife as a quality "role model". This will produce a strong desire in the wife for her husband and in most cases eliminate her desire to end the marriage by using legal action.

Secondly, any discipline must be accepted voluntarily..... And most women **will** when the virtuous quality of the husband is obvious. The word "voluntarily" also means no bonds or chains, even if the wife requests it. (The type of woman who would request chains is the same type that would afterwards run away and make accusations at him.) The whole purpose of bonds or chains is to apply abusiveness and abusiveness has no place in correctly applied normal discipline. Abusiveness is what gives normal correct discipline a bad name, especially if the wife seems to be obsessed with chains. Don't do it!!! It is not necessary.

The husband should just apply normal discipline,..... and then she will forget all about the chains. The husband should start out with medium whacks and avoid using severity. He should check to see that she produces some tears...... and then crying. In the event that she doesn't cry, it is usually caused by her tensing up so that she doesn't feel the pain as much, which defeats the purpose of the discipline and thereby gets the husband to discipline her harder, causing more damage to her skin.

In other cases, she doesn't cry because she wants him to give her more. Not only does more severity give her a feeling of security, but it gets her to perceive him as more macho and attractive. If the lack of crying is caused by her just tensing up, the husband should do something before he starts to get her more relaxed so that she feels the pain more with less severity, so that disciplining her with excessive severity won't be necessary. Doing less damage to her skin would also permit him to discipline her more often, if need be, which should completely quench her desire for chains. (Remember MARRIAGE RULE #1) (Regardless of how many times this is repeated, most people still can't remember what MARRIAGE RULE #1 is, nor will they even take the time to search back and check to see what it is.)

Increase in frequency is more effective than increase in severity. Remember, moderation is very important. No abusiveness! (Notice – In most marriages that the

husband disciplines his wife, it was the wife who originally requested it. Also, getting her to cry is important in order to break her rebellious spirit, make her submissive and give her a feeling of security. Getting her to cry also makes her husband seem more masculine and attractive to her.) The wives that hold back crying because they want more discipline need to recognize that frequency (more often) is better than severity, so that they should relax themselves and let the tears flow with less severity...... and then the husband could discipline her more often, if she needs more. (Remember MARRIAGE RULE #1) (Regardless of how many times this is repeated, most people still can't remember what MARRIAGE RULE #1 is, nor will they even take the time to search back and check to see what it is.)

The door must always be open for a wife to leave any time she wants to. But most women will prefer to stay and be disciplined, especially when she recognizes the mature, masculine and virtuous character of her husband. The nature of women is that they love a strong masculine man who takes control of the situation, provided that he is loving, virtuous and mature. Gen 3:16 "Unto the woman he said, I will greatly multiply thy sorrow (pain) and thy conception; in sorrow (pain) thou shalt bring forth children; and thy desire shall be to thy husband, and he shall rule over thee." God established this law of nature from the beginning that women "desire" having their husbands "rule over" them. ("rule over" = boss and disciplinarian) (Most women crave having their husbands discipline them, provided that it is applied correctly.) (Remember MAR-RIAGE RULE #1)

But regrettably, most modern men are **NOT** qualified; they don't have virtuous quality of character traits. Therefore, most modern men **must** be diligently retrained about their virtuous quality of character traits before they attempt to apply discipline to their wives. (See article listed below on: The Virtues of the Spirit) (They must also protest the unjust and destructive laws to marriage in the legislature, which were deliberately made dishonestly by bad people for the purpose of destroying marriages.) (Remember MARRIAGE RULE #1)

Unfortunately, it must also be recognized that some women are just plain bad and that there is simply nothing that can be done for them. There is no hope for them. Such women should never have been married to begin with. Husbands, as well as wives, are responsible to verify the virtuous character of their prospective marriage partner for an extended period of time (recommended one year) before they marry them. Some women are so much in love with themselves that they should be **avoided by everyone**, including their own families and husbands. (See article listed below on: Self-Love and Self-Esteem) Attempting to discipline this type of woman would definitely end in disaster.

Years ago, all the respectable men **avoided** any divorced woman in this same manner. Back then, only a man of the lowest type would consider marrying a divorced woman or a non-virgin; not so much because it was against proper Christian customs and morality, but because they understood that such women were usually problematic

troublemakers..... and many times beyond hope. Their lack of virginity would normally be carried into marriage indicating that after marriage she would more than likely be fooling around all the time with other men..... and who knows, maybe even have a social disease.

However, as long as a woman has not crossed the line of no return, her husband should always start by praying for her. It is inappropriate to pray for anyone who has crossed the line of no return. (Praying for a person who has crossed the line of no return is an act of rebellion against God's laws and will result in falling into the same inescapable bottomless pit as the person they are inappropriately praying for.) (See article listed below on: Self-Love and Self-Esteem) But proper prayer will have a very good effect on her, provided she has not crossed that line of no return. If a husband neglects this step of prayer, he will **definitely** suffer the consequences later on. Mat 12:31,32 "....All manner of sin and blasphemy shall be forgiven unto men: but the blasphemy against the Holy Ghost shall not be forgiven unto men. And whosoever speaketh against the Holy Ghost, it shall be forgiven him: but whosoever speaketh against the Holy Ghost, it shall not be forgiven him, neither in this world, neither in the world to come."

Next, he must recognize that the practice of domestic discipline is more popular among the women than the men. Discipline gives **most** women a feeling of security, improvement of character (it makes them mature), marital stability and attraction to the masculinity of her husband; it makes the husband seem more macho. There are even special social web pages on the subject of Domestic Discipline for Christians (with social chats) and other web pages for non-Christians that are always more popular among women than men. It is more common that they start Domestic Discipline at the wife's request rather than the husband's. Properly applied discipline turns most women on; they like a masculine acting man. (Remember MARRIAGE RULE #1)

There are also social web pages on volunteer master/slave relationships. But those tend to be excessive and abusive, which gives proper quality discipline a bad name. Not saying that a volunteer master/slave relationship couldn't develop into a quality mutual attachment, but caution must be used. The master must always be the man and he must treat his slave-girl like a love-slave, and give her lots of love, and discipline her in an affectionate manner. The Bible permits slavery. (See article listed below on: Slavery) A woman who is a slave and also taken to be a wife is called a "concubine" in the Bible. In the Bible, the "concubines" were usually purchased with money, and usually had no choice in the matter. Nevertheless, most of the masters treated a purchased "concubine" in a loving manner, and the concubines usually did not want to leave their master/husband.

The Bible also has a provision for volunteer slavery. (<u>Exo. 21:5-11</u>) There is even a special ceremony for the initiation of the volunteer slave. <u>Exo. 21:6</u> "......he shall also bring him to the door, or unto the door post; and his master shall bore his ear

through with an aul; and he shall serve him for ever." The "aul" we now spell "awl", which is a tool for making holes in leather or wood, almost like a nail, sometimes with a concave point. But, if the slave-girl is not treated reasonably, Exo 21:11 ".....then shall she go out free......." However, a well treated slave-girl won't want to go out free. If it is handled correctly, a master/slave-girl romance can be the best. (See article listed below on: Slavery)

This is also one of the rare occasions that a woman could propose marrriage to a man. Well, it used to be, in the olden days that a marriage proposal was always initiated by the man. Even dating, back then, was always initiated by the man. And, even though it is supposed to be normal in our modern society, deep down every woman knows that the only man they could possibly get in this commonly accepted modern way of life is a man who goes along with the modern system, which disgraces manhood. This type of man has been trained to wimp-out, and go along with the modern disgrace to manhood. So, here is a case that a girl could initiate a marriage proposal as his voluntary slave-girl without coming across as too forward, and thereby disgracing a man's manhood.

But, be careful men,...... this is something that's easy to promise, but difficult to do,..... and some people lie, promising things that they have no intentions of doing. It's always good to give it time, in order to prove the quality of a persons character for at least a year.

And, in this modern anti-Christian society which commonly has the wife dominating her husband, this master/slave-girl marriage is definitely closer to a correct biblical marriage which, in comparison, would also be much more pleasing to God, and in accordance to biblical standards. Tit 2:5 "......To be discreet, chaste (disciplined), keepers at home, good, obedient to their own husbands, that the word of God be not blasphemed." The modern wife dominant marriage is blasphemy against the Word of God. God says that the husband is supposed to be the leader in a proper marriage. Eph 5:22,23 "Wives, submit yourselves unto your own husbands, as unto the Lord. 23 For the husband is the head of the wife, even as Christ is the head of the church: and he is the saviour of the body." Female dominance over men is witchcraft. Galatians 5:20,21 "Idolatry, witchcraft, hatred, variance, emulations, wrath, strife, seditions, of the which I tell you before, as I have also told you in time past, that they which do such things shall not inherit the kingdom of God."

It is true that, at times, due to the abnormal absence of correct discipline during childhood, there are many young wives who have an excessively strong desire for physical discipline. In such cases, once the husband starts to apply discipline, if he uses it correctly with moderation, love, maturity and self-control, it is possible that her desire for it may intensify even stronger. Discipline applied correctly gives the wife a feeling of security, protection, marital stability and improvement of character (it increases her maturity). Heb. 12:11 "Now no chastening for the present seemeth to be joyous, but grievous: nevertheless afterward it yieldeth the peaceable fruit of righteous-

ness unto them which are exercised thereby." <u>1Pet. 4:1</u> "Forasmuch then as Christ hath suffered for us in the flesh, arm yourselves likewise with the same mind: for he that hath suffered in the flesh hath ceased from sin;" <u>2Co 11:2</u> "For I am jealous over you with godly jealousy: for I have espoused you to one husband, that I may present you as a **chaste** (disciplined) virgin to Christ." (Remember <u>MARRIAGE RULE #1</u>)

In the Song of Solomon, which is the only book in the Bible to address the physical and sensual side of marriage, many figures of speech and metaphoric symbolisms are used in order to poetically talk about God's recipe for the maximum marital physical experience. It does not talk directly about things that should be private and intimate.

In one place, instead of the wife stating directly that her husband is disciplining her, metaphorically she talks about the "watchmen" or "keepers of the walls". Song 5:7 "The watchmen that went about the city found me, they smote (beat, punished) me, they wounded (bruised) me; the keepers of the walls took away my veil from me." KJV (The exact definition of the original Hebrew word for "veil" (תריד) has been lost, that is, the meaning has been lost over the years. The root meaning implies something spread like a wide wrapper or large veil. Other English translations say: "mantle" or "cloak". The Septuagint translates it using: "θέριστρον" – a light summer garment. The Septuagint was the Greek translation of the original Hebrew Old Testament in common use at the time of Christ. The garment could even have been a "nuptial robe" or a "wedding dress", which obviously would need to be removed when the couple was alone...... and who else would remove it other than the husband?)

The cities in those days had walls around them for protection, which symbolized the husband's protection for his wife, especially protection against spiritual error. (Like "women's liberation". See article listed below on: The Consequences of "Women's Liberation") Obviously, it is implied that the wife had gone to the limit of acceptable conduct and needed to be disciplined (and protected from error).

It is also possible that they both simply had a craving for this type of physical experience. (Most commonly started at the wife's request.) The husband is represented by the "keepers of the walls". He "smote" (beat, punished) her and "wounded" (bruised) her with physical discipline after he had removed her garment. It sounds like her husband stripped her "naked" (as mentioned in Rev. 3:17) and then beat her bare behind enough to leave bruises. (Some women bruise more easily than others.)

Notice that her reaction to the punishment was to get very emotional and love-sick for her husband. This more than likely implies that the discipline was started at her request. Song 5:8 "I charge you, O daughters of Jerusalem, if ye find my beloved, that ye tell him, that I am sick of love. (love-sick)" KJV God created women to be the happiest and feel the most secure, loved, and maritally stable and protected under the authority and discipline of a loving, virtuous and mature husband. When a husband applies discipline correctly, it can seem very romantic to both him and his wife.

If it happens that the wife has such an excessively strong desire for discipline that she starts misbehaving more and more in order to get her husband to give her more and more discipline, in most cases, applying moderate discipline on an **auto-matic regular** basis would stop her misbehavior....... and keep her very submissive. She needs to be "tamed" with regular discipline, in a loving way. The husband should break (tame) her rebellious spirit by making her cry on a regular basis. Making her cry is very important. However, some men might think that her constant misbehavior indicates that the discipline doesn't work, especially if she was the one who requested it, and as a result, he might stop applying it. Discipline must be applied correctly or it won't get positive results.

It is also possible that the husband could convince the wife that every time she gets the urge to misbehave she should request discipline instead. She must recognize that discipline will provide marital stability and improve her maturity of character. But of course, if the husband is "in tune" with his wife he should recognize when she needs it.

When a husband applies discipline correctly, it can seem very romantic to both him and his wife. Some people think that spanking is the most romantic form of discipline because the two are touching each other, with her lying across his lap and his hand on her bare behind. Body contact seems to be more intimate as compared to using a belt. Of course, there would be body contact with her lying across his lap if he used a paddle or rod as well. The most important thing is to get her to cry, thereby making her submissive. (When the wife has demonstrated rebellion, she needs to be disciplined **without** intimacy. The belt would be better, accompanied with a sharp rebuke. It is important that rebellion **not** be treated with intimacy.)

It must also be acknowledged that the application of this physical discipline has a certain erotic and sensual arousal to it. God created men with a natural physical turnon when they tame their wives. And God created women with a natural physical desire (attraction) to their husbands when they tame their wives. In some cases, this type of erotic turn-on might be just what certain men need to help them overcome the failure to re-produce children. Some men don't know why their bodies are very turned-off. The current wide-spread modern absence of true femininity **ought to** turn-off men. (See article on: The Consequences of Women's Liberation)

For the wife it usually produces a deep feeling of security, marital stability, improvement of her own character (maturity) and attraction to the masculinity of her husband (romance). When he disciplines her, he seems more macho and makes her perceive him as more masculine and manly. Her improvement of maturity and submissiveness makes her more attractive to him. They both may even want to include it as part of their regular intimate marital affection (intercourse) every day.

But just here there is a danger present. On the one hand, if the wife doesn't receive discipline, she turns into a super-sonic nagging spoiled brat bitch (like a mother dog that is constantly barking all day long). Wives also are constantly making attempts at running their husbands, always trying to dominate them. (which turns men off, and gets some men to run away from them.) On the other hand, the erotic sensual attraction of the application of discipline may get the husband to fall into a trap of being too

excessive in applying the discipline. (this might turn men on too much) This is when it is of the utmost importance that a wife has a husband with virtuous character. (see article listed below on: The Virtues of the Spirit) Discipline must be applied with moderation. And,.... Discipline must be accompanied with an example of a good role-model.

Men must keep in mind that they also have a higher authority over them. Any true child of God will receive discipline from God if he becomes abusive to his wife. Even during the discipline, the love of the husband must come shining through. The wife must always be aware that the husband is **not** being selfish during the discipline. Heb. 12:7 "If ye endure chastening, God dealeth with you as with sons; for what son is he whom the father chasteneth not?" and 1Co 11:32 "But when we are judged, we are chastened of the Lord, that we should not be condemned with the world."

In modern times, when a man is faced with a woman's bad conduct, he sometimes says something like: "I like it when she's feisty". But, in reality he does <u>not</u> like it. He responds this way because he doesn't know what to do about it. So, as other unpleasant things in life, he figures that it's better to learn to accept it and try to get along with it than to be miserable over what seems to be an irresolvable problem.

Every time they are alone and physically intimate (intercourse) the husband should discipline his wife moderately and affectionately. If they combined these things together by giving her affection just before the discipline, it would probably relax the wife so that she would feel more pain with less severity (less skin damage), which would permit them to do it more frequently. Of course, since it is mentioned above in Song. 5:7 that he "bruised" her, he should definitely try to leave a few bruises when he disciplines her. Also, husbands should always be in control, in a loving way, of their marital mating activities (their marriage bed). He must not be rude, offensive or discourteous, yet maintain firm leadership. If he is going to be a good role-model, he must not seem selfish to his wife. (Remember MARRIAGE RULE #1)

In the event that a husband thinks he has reached the limit of "moderation" and his wife still seems to need more discipline, it is probably due to lack of "training". (Don't forget the non-corporal disciplines mentioned above.) First, she needs to be "tamed", and then she needs to be "trained" by being given constant orders like they always do in the military, sports, music or at work. Orders, orders, orders,.... practice, practice, practice. But, the husband must be very careful to do everything in a loving fatherly manner with sweet affection when she is obedient. (Oops, "fatherly manner", what's that? There are no more "fathers" in the US. See article listed below on: Fatherhood. America is a fatherless country.) Please, just use your imagination and try to think of how a good father should be.

It should be like a military **temporary** "boot camp" style of training (rigorous and active, but not too heavy for a woman). They say that in the military, all the solders should be trained so well that when an officer snaps his fingers they jump immediately as an automatic reflex..... and on the way up, they ask: "how high?". In the same way, it would be a good idea that husbands should train their wives to jump when they

snap their fingers. (Husbands must also not forget to pray for their wives on a regular basis.) (Remember MARRIAGE RULE #1)

1Tim 5:10 ".... if she has washed the saints' feet," (The "saints" meaning all saved people, as it is stated in the Bible, not like the misapplication of the word "saints" by the Roman Catholics.) This custom in the Bible would be good for husbands to assign their wives to practice while kneeling at their feet. If a husband has tired feet, he should also have his wife massage his feet as well, while kneeling. This may also be another activity that would increase natural reproductive ability for having children. Some men want to have children, but their body doesn't cooperate. Their bodies are turned-off, and they don't know why. Sometimes, they try using artificial pharmaceutical help to achieve this. It is not by chance that some men are physically turned-off by women who act macho. Any normal man ought to be turned-off by women who act macho.

It would also be a good idea for the husband to assign his wife the job of changing his shoes and slippers automatically every time he comes in and out of the house. (This normal old custom was shown in the 1982 film Gandhi mentioned at the bottom of this article.) (Not forgetting to clean and polish them while kneeling.) Luke 7:38 ".... she began to wet his feet with the tears, and was kissing his feet," While the wife is doing things like this, it is very important for the husband to observe that she has an unmistakable glow of happiness radiating from her face, with true contentment. If husbands "tame" their wives with discipline and then "train" them well to be obedient, the wives will feel truly happy, secure, mature, maritally stable, well-protected and well-loved. (practice, practice, practice)

Husbands who start using this methodology should also notice an unmistakable new physical beauty shinning from their wives, especially their faces. Even an unattractive woman should appear more attractive than before, with an inexplicable glow of beauty about her. Just here, it would be a good idea that the husband should tell his wife that she is more attractive to him, and she should observe that he gets turned-on more while he tames her.

Women love men who are strong and masculine in character. Most women are **not** attracted to men who follow along the modern unromantic propaganda that gets men to give away their leadership to the women. (See note on the 1982 film Gandhi at the bottom of this article.) (Husbands must also not forget to pray for their wives on a regular basis.) (Remember MARRIAGE RULE #1)

And what about the women who are naturally very beautiful? As mentioned, the women who are <u>un</u>attractive appear more attractive when they receive correct discipline. Well, it's common knowledge that beautiful women usually act like selfish spoiled brats. Their conduct is ordinarily offensive to everyone around them. Most beautiful women are usually ugly in character. But, if their husband was to apply correct discipline on a regular basis, they would become as beautiful in character as they are beautiful in physical appearance. Beautiful wives need to be tamed regularly.

Also, it's a good idea for husbands to put their wives on a moderate "exercise program" such as aerobics, brisk walking, golf, tennis, jogging or bicycling. The most important part of the exercise program is that it is done regularly, with moderation, at the husband's loving command, especially when the wife doesn't "feel like" doing it. (BE CAREFUL – sudden excessive exercise can cause a heart attack, especially when someone is out of shape. Exercising should always start out slow and work up little by little; consistency is the important thing. Remember, the turtle beat the hare.) It would be a good idea for the husband to exercise along with his wife to be a good "role model" and also to have a fun co-activity for fellowship to spend more time together.

When the wife doesn't "feel like" following orders is the most important time that the husband must be both firm and loving. Is it normal that people go to work **only** when they "feel like it"? Are there any employers who would be happy with an employee who **only** came to work when she "felt like it"? Nobody is successful at work, in the military, music or in sports if they work/practice only when they "feel like it". Don't forget the added benefit of having a wife with a beautiful figure as compared to a wife who is over-weight and out of shape. (Remember MARRIAGE RULE #1)

The length of time a young wife would need such a "boot camp" training period would be different in each case. The husband should use a combination of both physical discipline for "taming" and then regular loving orders for "training". Orders must always be given in a loving manner. He should adjust the quantity of the "taming" and "training" until the wife has regular good conduct with a glowing face radiating with happiness and beauty. Now and then, he should try decreasing the quantity of discipline (taming) a little. But, if the wife's behavior turns bad, then he should go back to using the amount of taming he was using when she was behaving well with a glowing face radiating with happiness and beauty.

Eventually, if the taming and training are done correctly, the "boot camp" should no longer be necessary, being reduced gradually, little by little. However, it is possible that in a relapse of bad behavior the full "boot camp" should be restarted. (Husbands must also not forget to pray for their wives on a regular basis.) (Remember MARRIAGE RULE #1)

It would also be a good idea for wives to address their husbands differently. 1Peter 3:6 "Even as Sara obeyed Abraham, calling him lord...." However, the title "lord" in English doesn't really mean the same thing now as it did many years ago, when this was written. A few modern titles that would be more appropriate are: "sir", "captain", "coach", "chief", "maestro", "daddy" or "boss". When a husband gives his wife an order, he should use an authoritative tone of voice, yet loving and caring, like a real athletic coach, and she should respond by saying something like: "yes, sir", with a very submissive tone of voice. Using these titles in public would be a very good influence on friends and family.

In every other area of life, leaders know the importance of "training" subordinates to obey orders. Bosses at work are always giving orders to employees and they expect immediate obedience. Every professional athletic coach knows the importance of giving orders rigorously. Practice, practice, practice. Is it possible to imagine a professional NFL football coach speaking to his team members during training with the same unassertive, non-authoritative and wimpy tone of voice that most husbands in America use when speaking to their wives? Certainly not! Is it possible that a coach's orders could be as "optional" as when the typical weakling husband "requests" his wife to do something? How absurd! Coaches and bosses give orders and expect to be obeyed...... just as husbands should do to their wives.

But, regardless of how big and strong they are, **all** modern men have been brainwashed from childhood to wimp-out when it comes to leadership in a marriage. The law is on the side of the women..... and the men know it. This battle can only be won by men who will stand up and fight it. Real men! They're the kind that turns women on. Big strong football players who wimp-out are less attractive. The biggest and toughest looking man I ever saw was on a university wrestling team. When I first saw him, I though he must be a football player. But no, after talking a little with him, I discovered that he was afraid of getting injured. After all, wrestling is a much safer sport than football.

Even the black people who protested racial injustice back in the 60's have more balls than the typical modern weakling husbands. This condition is caused mostly by the law in America being on the side of the women. By law, women are given dominance over men in America. And,..... the men have been trained (brainwashed) from early childhood to wimp-out and let the women have the leadership. Well, I say that this is all a great big Titanic,..... and one day, that baby's going down. (Remember MARRIAGE RULE #1)

Isn't it true that professional football coaches are **always** very active and rigorous (pushy) during training? (It is possible to be "pushy" in a good way as well as a bad way. Also, being "pushy" must always be done in a loving way and the coach must always keep in mind the capacity of the player so as not to have unrealistic demands and expectations.) If any team member were to refuse to obey the coach's orders, that team member wouldn't last much longer on the team. Yet at the same time, the coach has to show genuine care (love) and concern for all of his team members' needs. All of the most successful teams know that their coach truly cares about them. Genuine care (love) is a necessary ingredient for success. (Husbands must also not forget to pray for their wives on a regular basis.) (Remember MARRIAGE RULE #1)

Similarly, the leaders in the military are constantly giving orders. Practice, practice, practice, practice. There is a certain feeling of security, protection and improvement of character (maturity) when quality leadership is applied correctly and vigorously along with true caring attention (love). Is it not true that most women crave the feeling of security, protection and care that quality leadership and discipline produce? Maybe that's why so many women have joined sports or the military ever since the "women's libera-

tion" movement started. They crave that feeling of security and guidance which comes from quality leadership and discipline that they should have been getting from their husbands.

But, in the present generation, men have been taught (brainwashed) since childhood, that it's wrong to use that kind of leadership over their wives. Over female employees, yes over female soldiers, yes over female university students, yes over female athletes, yes but over wives, no. Yet, according to the Bible, most women desire that their husbands take command, provided that it is done in a loving manner. Gen 3:16 ".....and thy desire shall be to thy husband, and he shall rule over thee." Most women desire a man who is a strong, macho, mature and loving leader. However, men need to be "re-trained" on how to overcome the incorrect and corrupt teaching (brainwashing) they have been receiving ever since they were little boys. (Husbands must also not forget to pray for their wives on a regular basis.) Everyone must also be very diligent to protest the legislature against all of those laws that were made to ruin marriage. (Remember MARRIAGE RULE #1) (Regardless of how many times this is repeated, most people still can't remember what RULE #1 is, nor will they even take the time to search back and check to see what it is.)

For those people who still cannot image the custom of husbands disciplining their wives ever to have been, in reality, a widespread practice in the US, watch some of the old movies and TV shows from over fifty years ago. There were many that were popular with the general public and considered to be safe and healthy for children (rated G). They were very much different from what **falsely** sounds like the same type of thing in some modern films.

- There was **no** pornography.
- They were usually primarily concerned about a life-long commitment to marriage.
- The discipline was administered with moderation (no abusiveness).
- There were only men disciplining women (not the other way around).
- It seemed to be the common and normal way of life. It did not seem to be abnormal.
- There was usually an immediate healthy improvement in the woman's behavior.
- Afterwards, the woman usually showed every sign of being happy and feeling well-loved.
- Though the woman may have appeared angry at first, she usually accepted it willingly, because it was done correctly in a mature and loving manner for her benefit.

Clips from most (but not all) of the movies and TV shows on this list can be found on web pages like: http://www.youtube.com.

I Love Lucy - TV show

The "I Love Lucy" show was the number one most popular show many years ago. On that show Lucy and Ricky were a very good example of a happily married couple. Notice that it was **not** very often that Lucy's husband Ricky spanked her..... and there were many cases when he should have spanked her, but he didn't. That was the biggest part of the comedy. She was always misbehaving in a funny way, but he rarely spanked her. http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6Qup9IOPQfg

<u>Mclintock</u> – 1963 western film starring John Wayne. (2 spanking scenes)

First Scene – A teenage girl's father (Wayne) watches approvingly while a young man she recently met (an employee of her father) gives her a good spanking. The father even hands the young man a small flat fireplace shovel in order to make the spanking more effective. Immediately, she changes her offensive childish conduct and then she wants to marry the young man, because he acted both firmly and lovingly. It was...... a marriage engagement that started off with a spanking.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=OfSdKt v wA

Second Scene – With the approval of the entire town, Wayne <u>publicly</u> spanks his immature middle-aged wife. Previously she had been annoying to everyone in their town with her selfish offensive spoiled brat conduct. She was also furious with her husband because he refused to give her a divorce. Immediately after her <u>public</u> spanking, she changed her bratty conduct and lived peacefully with her husband and neighbors. (No more talk of divorce.) An immature or unloving husband cannot produce this kind of healthy positive result.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mSlw_DKDXkl

Blue Hawaii – 1961 musical film in Hawaii starring Elvis Presley.

A very pretty teenage girl, who acted very selfish and bratty, was spanked by Elvis. At 1:31:18 into the movie, they say:

- Elvis: "Do you know what you need? A good old fashion spanking."
- Ellenor: "Maybe I do. Nobody ever cared enough about me. Even for that."
- Elvis: "All right, Ellenor. If that'll prove that somebody does care....."
- Ellenor: "You wouldn't dare!"
- Elvis: "Wouldn't I dare? Wouldn't I? I got a feeling this will make both of us feel a lot better."

Immediately after her spanking, her selfish bratty conduct completely stopped. Notice his comment about the **mutual** "feel a lot better". It used to be common knowledge that most women crave being disciplined by a good and loving man. Most men, on the other hand, like it so much that they develop a fear of it.... and then reject it...... A fear like: it's better not to have something that's too good to be true than to have it and lose it somehow through uncontrollable circumstances. Some men even try to convince themselves that they like it when a woman acts badly. It sounds ridiculous, but they

don't know what else to do about it. Many people think that it's better to accept and learn to live with an irresolvable problem rather than being miserable about it. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XhtmHPLVdMw

<u>Kiss Me Kate</u> – 1953 film (Based on the play by Shakespeare: "The Taming of the Shrew". A "Shrew" is an extremely selfish spoiled brat woman who has such bad behavior that few men would ever consider marrying her, even if she was extremely beautiful. The only man who would propose marriage to a "shrew" is a man who knows how to tame her.) (All of Shakespeare's works are in the public domain and available free online. Some web pages even have free audio recordings of someone reading these books, like <u>gutenberg</u> or <u>librivox</u>)

This film was about the life of the actors who were doing a modern theatrical imitation of "The Taming of the Shrew", live on stage. The male actor who played the part of the man who proposed marriage to the beautiful "shrew", off stage was married to the actress who played the part of the beautiful "shrew". Yet, they could not live together off stage, due to her shrew-like behavior in real life. At one point in the play the man took her over his knee on stage for a **very hard** hand spanking in front of the audience, after which, her behavior completely changed, both in the play and off stage. After that, they could live together off stage, as a normal husband and wife should. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1Vpsc-kTnvQ

Also see the 1967 film: "The Taming Of The Shrew" directly from Shakespeare's work, spoken in old English, with Elizabeth Taylor as the very beautiful "shrew" and Richard Burton as the man who marries her and tames her. After she was tamed, she was more beautiful in maturity of character than all the other wives, as well as being the most beautiful in physical attraction.

In The Navy – 1941 comedy film with Abbott and Costello.

(Who also did many films for children.)

A female freelance magazine writer desperately wants to get a story and picture of a famous male singer who joined the Navy under a false name in order to live a hidden life out of public view and to serve his country during WW2. She even pretends to be a hotel maid so she can get into his hotel room to take his picture and get information. But, when he discovers who she is, he takes her camera and positions it to get a picture of himself spanking her over his knee without showing his own head in the picture. After that, she continues to follow him around and even sneaks onto the Navy ship that he is on. She falls in love with him and, by the end of the film, they get married. (Yet, another romance that starts off with a spanking, as in Mclintock, mentioned above.)

The Godfather I -1972

At 1:56:00, Carlo, who had married the Godfather's daughter Connie removed his belt and started chasing her with it to get her under control. This was probably the latest

movie made that showed this type of behavior. It was so much out of the ordinary that most people who saw it had no idea why the husband was chasing his wife around the house swinging a belt at her. Even when he got her in the back room and belt whacking sounds were heard, most people probably still didn't realize that the husband was practicing the age old custom of disciplining his wife.

But, in this case, what we have here is negative propaganda showing a husband who is clearly in the wrong and out-of-control in order to give correct discipline a bad name. Notice that the black eye that Connie had afterwards was out of place and unrealistic. Things like a black eye always happen as the result of explosions of violence when correct discipline is suppressed. Again, I must repeat, this is a negative propaganda scene made to give correct discipline a bad name. When the husband uses correct, moderate, calm, normal age old discipline,.... out-of-control violence does not happen. (no black eyes) Also, remember that the subject of a husband disciplining his wife for the wrong reason is not a subject treated in this article. (For comments on this subject see articles listed below: "The Virtues of the Spirit" and "Marriage Misunderstandings Explained")

Some people have a hard time grasping the meaning of the word "propaganda". This is a perfect example of how they twisted the understanding of using correct discipline in order to get people to think that discipline is always bad. Here, we see a man who is clearly out of line, applying discipline incorrectly, in an out-of-control manner. The entire mass of people in the whole of society didn't change their way of thinking about this for no reason, you know. They used to think one way about it, and now they think totally different. What made them change? Answer: constant propaganda like this, slowly and gradually over a long period of time.

Wives are responsible to verify that their prospective husbands are of good character for an extended period of time, before they marry them. Any woman who marries a non-virtuous husband without properly examining and proving his character for at least one year would be equally as guilty for the disastrous consequences as the non-virtuous husband who she foolishly married. She would have no right to complain about his lack of virtue afterwards.

<u>Bonanza</u>- western TV show 1959-1973 http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=nr-YKuPyMig

<u>Big Valley</u> -- TV Show - 1965 http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WYqGK3eexmM

<u>Reap The Wild Wind</u> – 1942 film with John Wayne. <u>http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=75pLVZbQVnE</u>

Topper – 1937 film with Cary Grant.

Cary Grant and an older man are discussing how to keep their wives from causing trouble. The older man says:

- "I'd have to speak to her (his wife) very sharply."

(This was his preferred advice on how to deal with his wife's misbehavior)

- "...... in order to keep her from making a row."
- "Yes, I've never beaten her."

(This was his advice to avoid the commonly accepted solution of spanking her.) – "Not yet, I haven't."

Later, when Cary Grant's wife is misbehaving, the same older man says:

- "Use your influence." (His preferred advice as mentioned above)
- "If you haven't any, beat her." (His advice as a last resort solution)
 No discipline was used in the movie, it was just mentioned as a normal solution to keep the wife from causing trouble.

Carefree – 1938 film with Fred Astaire.

Fred Astaire plays a psychoanalyst who evaluates a woman patient as: "...... a typical pampered female. What she needs, instead of a doctor, is a good spanking........ She's another one of those dizzy, silly, maladjusted females..... who can't make up her mind."

The word "pampered" would best be interpreted as "spoiled brat", a phrase usually applied to children. But, in this case, the person is an adult woman who acts like a spoiled brat child, who needs to be disciplined the same way as a child does.

Women can be pampered and spoiled as adults just like children can. Nowadays, somebody might joke lightly by mentioning this, but the idea that it ought to be applied in real life has been lost. Again, no discipline was used in the movie, it was mentioned as the obvious effective solution to keep women from causing trouble.

<u>Dakota</u> – 1945 film with John Wayne.

John Wayne marries a new wife at the beginning of the movie. But soon she asks him:

– John? If I did something that would make you angry, what would you do to me?

And he answers:

 What a fella should do to a little girl that's done something she shouldn't a done.
 Then she says:

You wouldn't? I'd be embarrassed to death.

Again, no discipline was used in the movie, it was mentioned as the obvious effective solution to keep the wife from causing trouble.

Captain Lightfoot – 1955 film with Rock Hudson.

Rock Hudson notices the extremely bratty behavior of his boss's daughter. Eventually, he realizes that the only way to get her to stop acting-up is to give her a spanking. While he is spanking her, her mother, who's in the room, starts to laugh, because she knows that a spanking is exactly what the girl has needed for quite some time. After the spanking, the girl constantly tries to get near him, and follows him around as much as possible. She falls in love with him, and by the end of the movie they agree to get married. The movie ends with the last scene of them kissing. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=sns-mkYQLGk

Donovan's Reef – 1963 film in Hawaii with John Wayne.

The woman that Wayne wants to marry has a very stubborn manner about her. Near the end of the movie, Wayne proposes marriage to her by saying:

- "Amelia, you have a mean Irish temper,.... but I love it."

Then he firmly takes a hold of her arm and says:

- "From now on, I wear the pants."

Then he sits down and pulls her over his knees and gives her 4 firm whacks on her behind with his hand. While she is still across his knees, he firmly turns her over and starts kissing her. At first she resisted by kicking and wiggling, but his hold on her was so firm and his kisses so good that soon she stopped resisting and embraced him affectionately while he was still kissing her. The film ends with her agreeing to marry him. How about that!!! A marriage proposal accompanied with a spanking!!! https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=50_JqltsgPM

Across the wide Missouri – 1951

When Clark Gable spanks his Indian wife outdoors near some Indian tepees, a neutral Indian observer says, "I can see you are her husband".

It makes you wonder why he didn't do the same thing to his beautiful spoiled brat wife in the film "Gone with the Wind". The implication is probably that the age old custom of husbands disciplining their wives was part of the lifestyle that was "Gone with the Wind".

In reference to her spoiled brat behavior in "Gone with the Wind", he says to her: "...... you need <u>kissing</u>, badly. That's what's wrong with you. You should be <u>kissed</u>, and often. And by someone who knows how."

Whereas, before the loss of the custom of husbands disciplining their wives, he would have said: "...... you need <u>kissing</u> <u>spanking</u>, badly. That's what's wrong with you. You should be <u>kissed</u> <u>spanked</u>, and often. And by someone who knows how".

(His talk about kissing really makes no sense whatsoever. It certainly would not solve the problem of her bratty misbehavior.) Most people in modern times don't know that this used to be a commonly accepted custom which has now been lost. It is now:

"Gone with the Wind",...... But, it's possible to bring it back. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2URWv8BeGLY

Kadosh – 1999 (Hebrew language, from Israel – needs English subtitles)

When an Israeli orthodox Jew husband decided to discipline his wife, he takes his belt off and wraps it around his hand. Then he orders his wife to lay on the bed. They don't show the actual whipping, but the whacking sounds are heard. In fact, the husband left their apartment door open so that the sound of him belt whipping his wife could be heard all through the entire building.

However, this film was a propaganda attack against the orthodox Jews, because after the whipping they show the wife leaving her husband. But, in truth, the most common cases of marital separation are due to: #1 - The wife makes independent money, by which she can easily move out and pay for her own separate living arrangement. (see article listed below on: The Consequences of "Women's Liberation") #2 – The husband has already given himself over to the modern custom that men let their wives take the leadership in the marriage. Women are not attracted to weakling whimpy men; it turns them off. #3 – As a result of lack of disciplining children, most modern adults have grown up to be selfish spoiled brats, who are incapable of living with anyone else together in the same house. In most cases, both the husband and the wife are so much spoiled brats that it is impossible for them to maintain a marriage.

The marital arrangement that has the highest divorce rate is the popular way of doing it, which is found most commonly in the US. In comparison, the orthodox Jews are one of the few groups that have maintained stable life-long marriages. However, non-orthodox Jews don't have this reputation. And, due to deceptive propaganda like this film, just about everyone is changing their way of life, and as a result, life-long marriages are becoming harder to find every year.

- ON A DIFFERENT SUBJECT -

GANDHI - 1982 film.

At 16 minutes into the film, it shows another age old custom in India, in 1893, of Gandhi's wife changing his shoes while kneeling on the floor in front of him with a very happy and well-loved look on her face. Also, it shows their age old custom how his children were expected to greet their father by kissing his feet, to show respect. In modern times, it would be a good idea for this custom to be started in the US, because young people show very little respect for their parents or other adults.

Later in the film it also shows how many of these good old customs were stopped and other customs were started. Among the new customs, some were good, but others were bad. Unfortunately, many of the new bad customs were incorrectly shown as though they were new good customs. For example, it shows the bad custom of women participating in political leadership <u>over men</u>. Female politicians <u>over men</u> are abnormal and responsible for same gender romances >>> cause and effect.

Recommended free Bible software: www.e-sword.net or www.theword.net

Also free Bible audio recordings at: www.audiotreasure.com

e-mail: PastorDavidMinistries@tutanota.com

To donate, see document called "Donations" at: <u>https://goo.gl/992nQY</u>

OTHER ARTICLES

All on Google Drive – https://goo.gl/992nQY You need a free g-mail account to open this.

The Messiah of the Jews https://docdro.id/b8E3Klo

The Trinity https://docdro.id/DyrCKc1

The Holy Scriptures http://docdro.id/aoytoK1

The Lie of Evolution http://docdro.id/8iHTZPE

What About Deborah https://docdro.id/vKSRLyz

Fatherhood

http://docdro.id/rRBGPzi

The Role Of Women Throughout History http://docdro.id/y5N76mt

The Consequences of Using Incorrect Terminology http://docdro.id/iScIIFT

Domestic Discipline http://docdro.id/1p3ZWPv

The Lukewarm Church http://docdro.id/8yBgpqf

Correct Divorce https://docdro.id/ZiGuAz2

Baptism https://docdro.id/3ZGhC0R

The Consequences of "Women's Liberation" http://docdro.id/mvyN0Kw

The New World Order was Prophesied in the Bible http://docdro.id/8PCQUwN

> Self-Love and Self-Esteem http://docdro.id/kOEGq3w

Angels are Aliens, Aliens are Angels http://docdro.id/88ttGOQ

Wolves In Sheep's Clothing http://docdro.id/aFuq27A

Slavery

http://docdro.id/ULokluY

The Virtues of the Spirit http://docdro.id/rAyRmTw

The Babylonian and Egyptian Captivity

http://docdro.id/iZXZXo0

The Suffering Of The Great Depression

http://docdro.id/sUSrdWV

Salvation

http://docdro.id/FwIc0hR

Marriage Misunderstandings Explained

http://docdro.id/jPdKvu5