



r/legaladviceofftopic



Search Reddit



## r/legaladviceofftopic

### Posts

Posted by u/AccidentAdditional56 11 hours ago

## I want to file a complaint with congress and the senate and ask that judges be impeached



**Sorry, this post has been removed by the moderators of r/legaladviceofftopic.**

Moderators remove posts from feeds for a variety of reasons, including keeping communities safe, civil, and true to their purpose.

Are these complaints public?

I want a public document filed.

The judges stripped me of my rights out of spite. I appealed. The blatantly and deliberately erred, and when I motioned for reconsideration, and explained, very clearly, they just doubled down and stripped me of my right to due process.

They are endorsing torture, the destruction of crucial evidence, prohibiting me informing the jury that the opposing party lied about the camera system the incident occurred under, prohibiting me from calling eye witnesses, and then refusing to entertain my appeal without valid legal basis.

This is misconduct. I don't understand why anyone would not be on my side on this. The Court system can only pick and choose who they provide service to the same way a criminal is physically capable of robbing a bank when there are no cops around.

It's unlawful. I'm out of options, and they broke the law every step of the way.

So, does anyone know how to file a complaint with congress? I basically want to file a lawsuit against the federal judiciary.

I am familiar with the basics of the law regarding this topic. They have immunity so long as they have jurisdiction. I don't want to get into my arguments here. I just want to know the process.

I want to file a complaint in the Court on the public docket and ask that Congress judge it.

For the record I tried filing a complaint with the judicial oversight board. They responded they would forward my complaint "to the judge", but it wasn't clear if it was presiding judge or the lower judge themselves. I then waited about a year, and then looked through about 50 of the answers to judicial complaints that the judicial oversight board post online, and then I realized that mine isn't in there. Then I looked for the letter I had received and couldn't find it, so I wrote the oversight board and asked for a copy of the response letter. They responded with a copy of my complaint but ignored my request for the original response. I sent a second letter and they ignored it.

Before this, nobody would accept a complaint from me.



Edit:

Several people have been murdered. There is a rapist running amok after getting caught raping 12 women, one of whom was a deputy district attorney. They shot someone in the face with a grenade in 2021, in retaliation for filing a lawsuit, over a legitimate torture ring in 2015, where they got caught lying about the existence of the videos of the torture ring. The Federal Judiciary has literally endorsed and encouraged the destruction of evidence and lying under oath repeatedly, and has prohibited the victims from calling eye witnesses, and prohibited the victims from informing the jury about material facts like that the defendants lied about the camera's ability to record, and that the defendants openly claimed during discovery that they deliberately and in bad faith destroyed the records of the equipment. They got caught lying. They got caught trying to subordinate perjury from the witnesses. Attorneys in this area don't take cases against the government unless someone literally dies on video, and are terrified. Lawyers tell me outright that people who sue the government lose their house. I looked into this topic extensively and there is no legal basis for the government to demand attorneys' fees for district court, but they ask, and the court routinely issues arbitrary orders with absolutely no legal basis. It's a conspiratorial racket.

I can only speak as to the court documents I have read, the videos of real incidents I have seen, and my personal experience.

For me I have been completely stripped of my rights. They kill people and then give their family like 1/50 of what the government spends in denying that one family their rights, and costing the only attorney who does these cases basically 9/10 of what he could earn doing a different line of work. They have probably spent between 30 and 100 million in public funds altogether on denying me my rights. Usually I'm pretty accurate at estimating things, but my certainty level isn't very high in this situation. It's well over 10 million. They do that with every lawsuit.

Rapists caught by 12 women, terrorists deliberately targeting someone to shoot in the face with a grenade, murdering people, and they don't even get disciplined -- literally not one person has been disciplined, except one person who blatantly murdered an innocent person over a mistaken identity for literally no reason - and they destroy evidence, tamper with evidence, conceal evidence, blatantly lie under oath, the court system suppresses admissible evidence in open defiance of the Rules of Evidence, and don't even bother to try not to get caught, because the only arbiters are co-conspirators.

I have court documents, videos, and personal declarations proving everything here.

This is just in this county. In neighboring counties individual government actors have stolen hundreds of thousands of dollars, got sued, and the judiciary just endorses the theft. A woman was raped and the government spent about 30 million dollars in public funding trying to convince the judiciary to endorse it. Oh yeah, how did I forget, they had a crime syndicate in the neighboring county, literally selling/distributing illegal/stolen police weapons, drugs, murdering people, and committing various fraud, which bounced around various desks for way more than two years while people kept getting murdered and permanently injured for literally reason, illegal weapons kept being distributed before the FBI finally charged 4 people out of the 90+ members of the syndicate.

I can't accept this.



r/legaladviceofftopic



was a camera. There were witnesses. The evidence confirms what I said happened. This is blatantly unlawful. I jumped through hundreds - hundreds - of hoops, and I jumped through every single one. Again, this is blatantly unlawful.

Edit:

I also filed complaints with the state Bar (refused to even acknowledge the rules of professional conduct) and am in the process with the police standards commission (have already lied in response to my public records request about the existence of standards they are required to have). There is no oversight. I have filed complaints with everyone. I have been literally banned from the attorney general for no reason, the FBI finally had a 5 minute conversation with me, after I complained about 80 times, and then went and talked to the attorney for the defendants, but then they don't have a functional phone, so I couldn't get a hold of the agent. When I did she accused me of not telling her I had a civil lawsuit. I was promised an attorney by the judge, the attorney then refused to talk to me and withdrew inexplicably (blatantly lying), and the judge then prohibited me from informing the jury about what the defendants had previously said about the camera and its ability to record repeatedly for 4 years in writing under oath, and refused to allow me to present the eye witnesses. This is open defiance for the rules of evidence. So the outcome of the so-called trial is completely meaningless. The FBI is the single most unprofessional organization I have ever interacted with in my entire life. But at least they haven't banned me for absolutely no reason like the attorney general's office.

People have the right to equal protection under the law.

The government isn't supposed to be allowed to arbitrarily discriminate against people.

45 Comments Share ...



**This thread has been locked by the moderators of r/legaladviceofftopic**

New comments cannot be posted

Sort By: Best ▾



Search comments



legaladviceofftopic-ModTeam MODS · 6 min. ago · Stickied comment

*Your post has been removed for the following reason(s):*

Your post or comment has been removed because it was primarily insulting or attacking someone else. If you can't participate without insulting, you can't participate.

*If you have questions about this removal, [message the moderators](#). Do not reply to this message as a comment.*

Vote

Share



Cypher\_Blue · 11 hr. ago

She \*likes\* the redcoatplay



r/legaladviceofftopic



That's not a thing that's going to happen. You can work politically toward impeachment. That's also not likely but it's much more likely to have an effect than an attempted lawsuit would.

18 Share ...

● AccidentAdditional56 OP · 11 hr. ago · *edited 10 hr. ago*

Well I'd prefer not to share my arguments here, but I do have one question. The circuit courts are of limited jurisdiction, but once they accept a case, they have unlimited jurisdiction with regard to all aspects of that case. Would you agree with that statement? Or is their jurisdiction limited to only adjudicate issues they are asked to adjudicate or only adjudicate issues that are within a limited scope?

No - impeachment is not going to happen either. It's a huge conspiracy. There is apparently an enormous network of corruption. They torture people, rape people, murder people, and the victims are denied their rights. I witnessed the whole process. There is widespread open deliberate defiance of literally every single one of the applicable laws. They rake in huge amounts of money and the only public service they do are token gestures to each other and to people at the top. Literally 95% of people do not have any rights at all.

-14 Share ...



Sitheref0874 · 10 hr. ago

You appeared pro se, didn't you?

11 Share ...

● AccidentAdditional56 OP · 10 hr. ago

Learn to read dude.

-15 Share ...



Sitheref0874 · 9 hr. ago

I can. But your post is so full of hyperbole and legal...nonsense that I can only assume you went pro se.

21 Share ...

● AccidentAdditional56 OP · 9 hr. ago · *edited 9 hr. ago*

> so full of hyperbole and legal...nonsense

You can't provide a legitimate example of either one, because these are baseless, irrational and incorrect assumptions. You're just being blatantly rude (and irrational), because you dislike people who point out the widespread corruption in this culture.



r/legaladviceofftopic



you're accusing me of being pro se is to deliberately incite baseless prejudice against me from this community.

Find something better to do with your time than disparage people without any rational basis for your contentions.

**-14****Share** ...

uslashunname · 2 hr. ago

Provide examples of baseless and incorrect assumptions? Ok:

You can't provide a legitimate example

you dislike people who point out the widespread corruption in this culture.

You [failed to] read the post

The reason you're accusing me of being pro se is to deliberately incite baseless prejudice against me

**4****Share** ...Djorgal · 8 hr. ago · *edited 8 hr. ago*

Nowhere in your post was it mentioned whether you appeared pro se or not. You never mentioned having an attorney, did you? Disagreement doesn't justify lashing out.

Be courteous or be gone.

Asking you if you appeared pro se is not being discourteous. You might not like it and you're welcome to think it's an unfair assumption, but that's the basis of what disagreement is.

I can't help but notice you never even denied it. You complain a lot about how unfair an assumption it is, but you could simply have answered:

"What? No, I deferred to my attorney during trial."

You didn't answer that to [u/Sitheref0874](#) because that would have been a bald-faced lie, wouldn't it?

The way you lash out and make claims about the motivations of people for disagreeing with you is very telling of what likely happened to you in court. You inferred Sitheref0874 motivation. You claim that their motivation is "to deliberately incite baseless prejudice". That's a huge leap in logic.

I'm going out on a limb and assume you make such leaps in logic and conclude as to people's motivation on a regular basis. So, if a judge dismisses a witness. You might conclude that it's because the judge is corrupt and wants to endorse torture. That's a leap. Maybe what they want to do is respect the rules of evidence and they honestly don't believe the evidence you are trying to present is admissible under those rules...



r/legaladviceofftopic



corruption, torture and rape. That's the only possible explanation for disagreeing with you. /s

23 Share ...



Sitheref0874 · 8 hr. ago

Thank you. I read the post three times before asking the question. I couldn;t see any way a competent attorney would have gotten to that stage,

14 Share ...



AccidentAdditional56 OP · 2 hr. ago

That's an assumption. 100% of your assertions in this post are assumptions with no basis.

-1 Share ...



Internet\_Ghost · 2 hr. ago

Why won't you just answer the question? You have made a lot of yourself assumptions jumping to legal conclusions without providing us with any of the facts of the case and yet you are upset that people are picking apart your vague narrative to try to piece together what happened.

2 Share ...



AccidentAdditional56 OP · 1 hr. ago · *edited 1 hr. ago*

How would it be relevant? Read my comments. The information is right there for everyone to see.

Tell me how it would be possibly, remotely relevant. You can't. You want to just skip that part and the whole context of the situation, and jump right into the part where it incites major prejudice in 299/300 of people, based on speculation that is absolutely irrelevant to any issue.

If you have any actually relevant questions that you're capable of presenting in a normal, courteous manner, I'm happy to answer them.

-1 Share ...



Internet\_Ghost · 1 hr. ago

Because you're complaining that the judge didn't allow you your due process at trial. If you represented yourself and did not follow proper procedure (like the vast majority of pro se litigants), your argument isn't



r/legaladviceofftopic



3 Share ...

AccidentAdditional56 OP · 1 hr. ago

Lets assume for the sake of argument that your first assumption (that I were self-represented) is affirmative. Your second assumption is still speculative, and has no basis to believe.

If I said "it's most likely the judge were bribed", it would backfire on me, not because it's false, not because there isn't sufficient evidence for a reasonable inference, but solely because people are PARTIAL to judges. And despite having pretty clear evidence of being "likely", I don't have actual evidence of it. If you said "you most likely made some sort of procedural error" based on a self-represented status, it would incite prejudice against me, based on widespread prejudice against self-represented parties in general - not based on any actual facts. It's completely irrelevant -- and it's prejudicial. It shouldn't be a topic of discussion for anyone who is actually objective and interested in the relevant facts.

0 Share ...



Internet\_Ghost · 1 hr. ago

Yeah, I'm not playing this game with you. If you don't want to provide relevant information to get help, I'm not going to fight you on it. Have a good life.

2 Share ...

[Continue this thread →](#)

Comment removed by moderator · 6 hr. ago

AccidentAdditional56 OP · 2 hr. ago · *edited 1 hr. ago*

Because I'm sick of 299/300 people first thing they think is bad faith assumption that I did something wrong with absolutely no actual basis to believe it.

It's abusing the widespread prejudice against people who are required to be treated with the same respect as represented parties.

I went into this in response to another comment. People can't read.

-1 Share ...

AccidentAdditional56 OP · 2 hr. ago



r/legaladviceofftopic



How is it relevant?

1 Share ...

AccidentAdditional56 OP · 49 min. ago

> So, if a judge dismisses a witness. You might conclude

Eye witnesses, who saw the entire event.

The judge also prohibited me from informing the jury any of the multiple prior statements Defendants and their associates and counsel made about the camera system's ability to record.

Are you familiar with the Federal Rules of Evidence or should I get out my notes and give you some quotes?

1 Share ...



Djorgal · 16 min. ago

I am familiar with it. Sure, if what you said here was not only the truth, but also the totality of the relevant truth for your case, then you would be correct and it would be admissible.

However, your recounting of the facts is extremely vague, partial and skewed. I only have your side of the story. Scratch that. I don't even have your side of the story because you refuse to even say it. You only provide your interpretation of the judge's motivation for ruling against you without bothering to provide any relevant fact.

With that little information, it would be impossible and unethical for me to conclude as to the admissibility of the evidence you wanted in your trial. What I do know is that judges know the rules of evidence and courts of appeal even more so.

If the judge thought your evidence wasn't admissible AND the court of appeal confirmed it, then they must have provided a justification.

or should I get out my notes

That pretty much confirms that you are Pro Se, if it still needed confirmation at that point...

You did your own legal research, didn't you? How dare you lash out at someone for 'assuming you were pro se' when it's the truth? How dishonest can you be?

and give you some quotes?

From the rules of evidence? No need, I know them. Quotes from your trials? Now that would be useful. Quotes from the judge who dismissed your evidence? Yes.





Q r/legaladviceofftopic



I'm sure you disagree with their justification, but it surely was not "we're dismissing your evidence because we are corrupt and we hate you, lol". I'm confident they did not say that.

1 Share ...



theexclusionaryrule · 11 hr. ago

Let me ask, how severe is the consequence of their decision? Like in non-vague and realistic terms? Not patronizing you, but it is one of the hardest claims to make so a bit more specifics (within reason) might help.

8 Share ...

AccidentAdditional56 **OP** · 10 hr. ago · *edited 9 hr. ago*

Several people have been murdered. There is a rapist running amock after getting caught raping 12 women, one of whom was a deputy district attorney. They shot someone in the face with a grenade in 2021, in retaliation for filing a lawsuit, over a legitimate torture ring in 2015, where they got caught lying about the existence of the videos of the torture ring. The Federal Judiciary has literally endorsed and encouraged the destruction of evidence and lying under oath repeatedly, and has prohibited the victims from calling eye witnesses, and prohibited the victims from informing the jury about material facts like that the defendants lied about the camera's ability to record, and that the defendants openly claimed during discovery that they deliberately and in bad faith destroyed the records of the equipment. They got caught lying. They got caught trying to subordinate perjury from the witnesses. Attorneys in this area don't take cases against the government unless someone literally dies on video, and are terrified. Lawyers tell me outright that people who sue the government lose their house. I looked into this topic extensively and there is no legal basis for the government to demand attorneys' fees for district court, but they ask, and the court routinely issues arbitrary orders with absolutely no legal basis. It's a conspiratorial racket.

I can only speak as to the court documents I have read, the videos of real incidents I have seen, and my personal experience.

For me I have been completely stripped of my rights. They kill people and then give their family like 1/50 of what the government spends in denying that one family their rights, and costing the only attorney who does these cases basically 9/10 of what he could earn doing a different line of work. They have probably spent between 30 and 100 million in public funds altogether on denying me my rights. Usually I'm pretty accurate at estimating things, but my certainty level isn't very high in this situation. It's well over 10 million. They do that with every lawsuit.

Rapists caught by 12 women, terrorists deliberately targeting someone to shoot in the face with a grenade, murdering people, and they don't even get disciplined -- literally not one person has been disciplined, except one person who blatantly murdered an innocent person over a mistaken identity for literally no reason - and they destroy evidence, tamper with



r/legaladviceofftopic



caught, because the only arbiters are co-conspirators.

I have court documents, videos, and personal declarations proving everything here.

This is just in this county. In neighboring counties individual government actors have stolen hundreds of thousands of dollars, got sued, and the judiciary just endorses the theft. A woman was raped and the government spent about 30 million dollars in public funding trying to convince the judiciary to endorse it. Oh yeah, how did I forget, they had a crime syndicate in the neighboring county, literally selling/distributing illegal/stolen police weapons, drugs, murdering people, and committing various fraud, which bounced around various desks for way more than two years while people kept getting murdered and permanently injured for literally reason, illegal weapons kept being distributed before the FBI finally charged 4 people out of the 90+ members of the syndicate.

I can't accept this.

I can't accept that I can be tortured and nearly murdered for no reason and then put on a hamster wheel for the better part of a decade and then blatantly stripped of my rights completely for no reason. There was a camera. There were witnesses. The evidence confirms what I said happened. This is blatantly unlawful. I jumped through hundreds - hundreds - of hoops, and I jumped through every single one. Again, this is blatantly unlawful.

-8

Share ...



theexclusionaryrule · 10 hr. ago

What I can say, is this is quite a situation, and I don't envy your position at all. However, it may be over my head to analyze legally on here. I'm sorry, but one thing I can note in here is that if there's ever a concern about a particular district official or branch of government, you need to find the proper oversight agency and follow the procedure for filing a grievance claim to the "T."

7

Share ...

AccidentAdditional56 **OP** · 10 hr. ago · *edited 10 hr. ago*

For the record I tried filing a complaint with the judicial oversight board. They responded they would forward my complaint "to the judge", but it wasn't clear if it was presiding judge or the lower judge themselves. I then waited about a year, and then looked through about 50 of the answers to judicial complaints that the judicial oversight board post online, and then I realized that mine isn't in there. Then I looked for the letter I had received and couldn't find it, so I wrote the oversight board and asked for a copy of the response letter. They responded with a copy of my complaint but ignored my request for the original response. I sent a second letter and they ignored it.

I also filed complaints with the state Bar (refused to even acknowledge the rules of professional conduct) and am in the process with the police standards commission



r/legaladviceofftopic



with everyone. I have been literally banned from the attorney general for no reason, the FBI finally had a 5 minute conversation with me, after I complained about 80 times, and then went and talked to the attorney for the defendants, but then they don't have a functional phone, so I couldn't get a hold of the agent. When I did she accused me of not telling her I had a civil lawsuit. I was promised an attorney by the judge, the attorney then refused to talk to me and withdrew inexplicably (blatantly lying), and the judge then prohibited me from informing the jury about what the defendants had previously said about the camera and its ability to record repeatedly for 4 years in writing under oath, and refused to allow me to present the eye witnesses. This is open defiance for the rules of evidence. So the outcome of the so-called trial is completely meaningless. The FBI is the single most unprofessional organization I have ever interacted with in my entire life. But at least they haven't banned me for absolutely no reason like the attorney general's office.

People have the right to equal protection under the law.

The government isn't supposed to be allowed to arbitrarily discriminate against people.

0 Share ...



swætrobna · 10 hr. ago

If you want to sue the government for violating your rights consult with a civil rights lawyer.

How judges are elected or appointed is more of a political process than a legal one. You could talk to your elected officials. And to political groups. And probably to sponsors or philanthropists

9 Share ...

AccidentAdditional56 OP · 10 hr. ago

FYI "civil rights lawyer" refers primarily to employment discrimination and wrongful termination attorneys, which isn't applicable to my situation. You want to find a government or police misconduct attorney, and there are basically none, because these kinds of cases are not financially viable for attorneys because of the widespread misconduct and conspiracies.

-15 Share ...

Comment removed by moderator · 6 hr. ago

AccidentAdditional56 OP · 2 hr. ago

He's in Virginia, can't take cases out of state / circuit, and charges 400/hour for consultation.

1 Share ...



You sound insane. Assuming you wrote your complaints similarly to your posts I see why they were ignored or dismissed. We get rambling nonsense like this all the time in web inquiries. Get some professional help.

8 Share ...

AccidentAdditional56 OP · 2 hr. ago · edited 1 hr. ago

1. You're just throwing courtesy to the wind.
2. You sound like an asshole. And here's why: because you're starting your comment with a personal accusation with no support.
3. I'm not, and there is no basis for you to make that disparaging and prejudicial insult. What I'm saying has clear evidentiary support in court documents, videos, and admissible records, or, in only one situation, where evidence was deliberately and in bad faith destroyed, personal declaration. So you're making discourteous, baseless accusations, in an effort to incite prejudice against me, in blatant violation of the rules of this sub.

0 Share ...



Single\_9\_uptime · 38 min. ago

This whole thread reads like the mad ramblings of an untreated schizophrenic. Speaking as someone with close relatives who are schizophrenic and sounded exactly like this before being treated (wild baseless conspiracies, certain the government is out to get them, etc.).

I hope you can find the help you need. It won't come from a lawyer or legal advice of any sort. Take care.

2 Share ...

AccidentAdditional56 OP · 33 min. ago · edited 28 min. ago

I'll give you the proof of the rapist running amock after raping 12 women, the torture ring in 2015, and the grenade to the face in 2021 to the victim of the 2015 torture ring victim.

But you're assuming something insulting and false about me to be true without even making a reasonable inquiry to the facts.

Give me about 10 minutes to get you some links.

I'll also get you a link for the crime syndicate in the neighboring county distributing illegal/stolen police weapons, drugs, committing murders, and acts of fraud, and accepting bribes.



r/legaladviceofftopic



enforcement stole hundreds of dollars from people who were not accused of a crime.

1 Share ...

AccidentAdditional56 OP · 13 min. ago

<https://people.com/politics/california-mayor-resigns-after-nine-women-allege-sexual-misconduct-including-teen-moms-farah-abraham/>

One of the victims was a deputy district attorney, and the case has been sitting on the attorney general's desk for more than 2 years. That is precisely what I said in my post. There is nothing inaccurate about what I have said. Nothing.

This article I just got from a search and haven't read. It's dated 2021, so it's somewhat outdated, and may not contain all the information about the situation. You can google the situation and confirm all the facts I asserted. There's a lot of information on it available online.

<https://sfbayview.com/2015/10/went-in-like-gestapo-sonoma-sheriffs-bloody-rampage/>

This is about the torture ring. I also have the court documents which go into more details.

They slammed people's heads against the door frames. They put people in pain compliance techniques on the ground and stretched their joints causing pain and verbally abused them. They put their knees into the victims' backs and caused one victim internal bleeding. He lost control of his bowels, then they force him into underwear several sizes too small for him, threw him in a suicide watch room, and denied him medical for several days.

They blatantly lied in a press release. They denied the existence of videos, in court. The attorney then got the videos because staff admitted they existed.

You might find this assertion suspicious but it's true: a lot of information has been deleted about it since it was published several years ago. The authorities keep getting caught lying about it.

It's a natural thing. It's embarrassing and people lie. Don't try to scapegoat me for it and accuse me of being paranoid.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qYrGChrW5HM>

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YGe4WB2jN2Q>

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=I-UJUvwd338>

Here is the link for the guy who got shot in the face with the grenade. I should be more vigilant about putting the word "stingball" or plastic or rubber in front of the



<https://bsnorrell.blogspot.com/2020/07/santa-rosa-human-rights-report-details.html>

He was filming while it happened. He didn't do anything wrong.

He was one of the victims of the 2015 torture ring case.

I admit I am inferring that he was targeted, but it's not a stretch. It's a reasonable inference to make.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=eekVT7njfBU>

I'll get you the documents about the crimes syndicate in the neighboring county, and the cases about theft of hundreds of thousands that was endorsed by the judiciary, and entertaining the argument that the government should be allowed to rape people. Give me about 5 more minutes for that.

1 Share ...



tysontyson1 · 8 hr. ago

No complaint you file wouldn't be dismissed immediately.

5 Share ...

AccidentAdditional56 OP · 2 hr. ago

My appeal was.

1 Share ...



Bricker1492 · 6 hr. ago

Did the original injury inflicted upon you, OP, by chance occur while you were in custody in California and at the hands of jail or prison deputies?

3 Share ...

AccidentAdditional56 OP · 54 min. ago

Are you trying to ascertain my personal details?

1 Share ...



Kaiisim · 58 min. ago

I don't think the legal system can help you. You seem quite agitated and upset, perhaps speaking with a therapist or counsellor might help you more?



r/legaladviceofftopic



say anything, people will be far less likely to engage with you.

There is no way to do what you are asking, you lack the standing. You can't just sue the government for being unfair.

3 Share ...

AccidentAdditional56 OP · 43 min. ago

I think you're assuming that based on what I'm saying happened to me.

If I said I went through a traumatic experience, you assume I am "upset and agitated" based on my assertion of my experience, not because I actually come across as less than calm. Please don't make assumptions about my interactions with medical providers because I failed to provide information about it.

> you lack the standing.

this is incorrect. I do have standing as someone who suffered damages.

>You can't just sue the government for being unfair.

Well there is a basis in law for it. And I can sue the government for it. 3 pieces of law come to mind: the due process clause of the 5th amendment which requires the federal government to act fairly, the equal protection clause of the 14th amendment which requires, at least the state government, if not the government in general from arbitrarily discriminating against people (see willowbrook v. olech, maria navarro v. block), and the 39th clause of Magna Carta: "to no one will we sell, delay, or deny right or justice".

There are also the rules of court and canons of judicial conduct, and the rules of professional conduct which explicitly require it.

0 Share ...



Fluxcapacitar · 32 min. ago

I love how you lecture people about your extreme knowledge of the law in an egotistical manner and then cite the magna carta as a basis for suit. God bless.

Get help and good luck

1 Share ...



digbyforever · 4 hr. ago

To the narrow part of your question: there's no formal procedure for "filing a complaint with Congress." Just write your Representative and Senators a letter and outline your complaints. And there's nothing to stop you from publishing your letter, and their responses, online.



redact the case number and any names or other identifying information, but court opinions are public documents ultimately, and you should be able to at least post an opinion that demonstrates some of the problems you have with them.

5 Share ...

 AccidentAdditional56 **OP** · 1 hr. ago · *edited 1 hr. ago*

How would it fare if I named them respondents in a public petition for redress of grievances in the court system? Would that necessarily come across as extremely discourteous?

Yes. But obviously people here are going to abuse those documents maliciously in personal attacks.

The records show that discovery was delayed for 2 years, that I was outright denied discovery. The Court refuses to release transcripts of hearings, but I remember what was said. The cost of transcripts is thousands of dollars. They took money from me to provide audio recordings, but are not providing the audio recordings (a year later). They show that Opposing Counsel lied repeatedly. He lied in every single document he filed. They show that all my motions were completely ignored. The judge promised me an attorney at an early hearing. I have all the documents showing that the attorney refused to communicate with me, and withdrew in violation of the Court's "General Order", I have the documents showing the court policy that the court will reopen discovery when a pro bono attorney comes onto the case.

I could have gotten a private attorney. But the judge promised me a pro bono attorney. Then he withdrew like 1-2 months before the trial, and rather than be able to prepare (after like 3-5 months of lost time trying to work with the pro bono), I was swamped with a bunch of tasks.

The records clearly show that the judge specifically and clearly prohibited me from disclosing to the jury Defendants' and associate's and their counsel's prior statements regarding the camera. They lied about the camera repeatedly for 4 years. This was in blatant defiance of the Rules of Evidence.

The judge refused to allow me to present eye witnesses. Defense Counsel lied to the judge (at hearings) trying to convince the judge not to allow me to present them.

1 Share ...



Djorgal · 13 min. ago

the attorney then refused to talk to me and withdrew inexplicably

Hahahaha. Inexplicably...

I think I might have an idea as to the explanation.





r/legaladviceofftopic

