
Examples of the harmful ways in which many MJ fans spread malicious rumours and misquote information to attack potential victims of sexual assault.

1. "James said they had a "honeymoon" in EuroDisney in 1988 and it was only built in 1992!!!!"

Incorrect: This seems to have been pulled and spread from the site "Micheal Jackson and the Boys" who mistakenly labelled a picture as Eurodisney and has since corrected it (See link below, also absolutely no hate to the author) MJ fans have taken it and run with it.

Source: https://mjandboys.wordpress.com/2016/11/13/michael-jackson-and-james-safechuck-1987-1992/

If you can't be bothered to follow the link and find the author correcting it:



They like to cry that he said it in the documentary, I have searched and at no point does James mention Eurodisney.

The only mention of Disney I can find is of Disney World Florida (which did exist at the time) in Safechucks Civil Complaint.

See line 12 -13 'Decedent also took Plaintiff and his parents on a side visit to Disney World.'

Tower in Manhattan for the weekend. They went to FAO Schwartz, the famous toy store, in addition to attending with him the performance of *The Phantom of the Opera*. Plaintiff stayed in his mother's hotel room. Again DECEDENT asked for Plaintiff to stay in DECEDENT's room with him, but Plaintiff's mother said no. But as soon as Plaintiff woke up in the morning, he would go to the DECEDENT's room and stay there with him.

22. In or about 1988, DECEDENT invited Plaintiff to meet him in Pensacola, Florida, where DECEDENT and his band were rehearsing. DECEDENT and DOES 2 and 3 arranged for Plaintiff and his parents to travel to Florida, and stay in one of the houses that DECEDENT and DOES 2 and 3 had rented there. Plaintiff stayed with DECEDENT in one house, and Plaintiff's parents stayed in one of the other houses. This was the first time that Plaintiff stayed with DECEDENT on a trip. DECEDENT also took Plaintiff and his parents for a side visit to Disney World.

Source: https://www.scribd.com/doc/273431049/Safechuck-Civil-Complaint

I will allow any MJ fan to refute me on this if they can give me the time stamp in the documentary in which he supposedly claimed this, I have asked many people who irresponsibly spread it and no one can give me one.

2. "James said he was given the original bullwhip, there was only ONE Indiana Jones Bullwhip in the 1980s! The London Archaeology Gallery have it James lied!!!"

Partially correct: Yes, James said he was given a whip (30:00 pt1) and apparently one was donated to the Institute of Archaeology in London (see the first link).

I'm assuming this is where they got their Archaeology information: Source: <a href="https://www.christies.com/lotfinder/lot/harrison-fordindiana-jones-1662201-details.aspx">https://www.christies.com/lotfinder/lot/harrison-fordindiana-jones-1662201-details.aspx</a>

Again, if you can't be bothered to follow the link:

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

"Harrison Ford originally donated **this bull-whip** to the Institute of Archeology in London to help raise funds for building a new Centre for Conservation and Museum Science at Univerity College, London University. It was sold through these rooms on Thursday, 20th December 1990."

However, as provided by the **makers** of the whips there were over 30 produced and supplied to the first three films.

See the makers of the whips website: <a href="https://www.davidmorgan.com/shop-content/indy\_bullwhips/">https://www.davidmorgan.com/shop-content/indy\_bullwhips/</a>

Again, I will quote for those who can't be bothered to go to the site:


"We supplied **over 30 bull whips** of the 450 series for the **first three** *Indiana Jones* **movies**. These ranged in length from 6 feet to 16 feet. The standard length carried in the movies was the No. 455 10 ft. Bull Whip. The other lengths were used in special stunts. All were in the natural tan color."

As I know fans find it impossible to research anything I will even add the dates of the first three films for you:

- Raiders of the Lost Ark (1981)
- Indiana Jones and the Temple of Doom (1984)
- Indiana Jones and the Last Crusade (1989)
  - 3. "AMANDA SAID she didn't know about child abuse then they claimed it on their charity page LIARS!!"

Incorrect: Amanda said she had no experience with child SEXUAL abuse (01:15 pt 2).

Before they were vilely attacked (see the red writing on the picture) on their charity page the description was as shown below:



You see it takes very little effort/brain power to understand that child abuse and child sexual abuse are completely different things. Perfectly exemplified by the fact that they split them out in the first place.

They have since changed the page, as they were being attacked by fans yet again.

# 4. "The documentary has been cut for overseas because WE SAW THEIR LIES"


Incorrect: This one astounds me, parts had to be cut from the HBO episode due to adverts in other countries, this is not unusual, see Friends on comedy central UK cut for advert breaks.


Fans like to say the cuts conveniently get rid of 'everything they've debunked,' (which as far as I can tell is nothing...) they especially like to say you don't see Wade burn memorabilia. Yes, you do at the end of the documentary (end credits in pt 2)

## 5. "Wade called himself the MASTER OF DECEPTION he's a LIAR"

Convenient out of context reference: Wade does say this in his deposition when he is talking about writing a book about his abuse. Alone the statement looks very incriminating however put into context it is far less so.

Context is something fans love to shy away from:





He describes himself as the master of deception in relation to MJ teaching him to hide the abuse. MJ fans spreading the out of context quote to try and decimate his character is disgusting.


https://www.scribd.com/document/336110841/Robson-Deposition-Excerpts

I'm obviously not arguing that his character is 'squeaky clean' I don't think anyone is. But fans try to paint him as some lying monster by taking anything that could sound bad and parroting it about the place without thought.

## 6. "THE FBI INVESTIGATED HIM CONTINUOUSLY FOR 13 YEARS!"

A misrepresentation: Fans love to suggest MJ was under constant surveillance by the FBI in relation to the abuse allegations, they love to shout, "they investigated him for 13 years continuously and found nothing, these are the people who found Saddam Hussein!!"

However, if we first take half a second to read the preface to the documents on the site you find ...



Source: https://vault.fbi.gov/Michael%20Jackson

The red relates to the child molestation in which they only gave intermittent assistance (non-operational support), the turquoise is the relates to the threats file (the operational FBI investigation).

Fans like this interview (link below) because it hypes up the investigation, they often quote the Attorney claiming it's like a vindication of the allegations.

However, if you listen to the agent at the end explaining the investigation you will note (shockingly) that what he says is what is stated on the site. And that the files aren't as all-encompassing as the attorney suggests.

Source: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JYQnc4Z1M\_c

Brad Garret (ex-FBI agent Interviewed in the link above at 3:55) this is his statement since again I can't trust some to listen to the entire video:

# **About the child molestation charges:**

"When you look at the files that have become available they are files where the FBI have been asked by the police to help them with certain aspects of the case, they're really not operational FBI cases, for example they're coming to the FBI because they have a forensic capability with computers to mirror image hard drives and look at evidence inside the computer or asking for investigative strategies for interview, these are support functions."

#### About the threats:

"and then the other side of course were the threats which were operational."

Now if you go to the site and take the time to read the FBI documents you will note the split of the released files, there are 307 pages' total:

199 pages – dedicated to the threat investigation (file 6).

108 pages – dedicated to the child molestation charges (remaining files).

He was not monitored "continuously by the FBI for 13 years" for child molestation charges. The FBI **provided intermittent** support to the police over the years when they had something to investigate but needed technical support.

\*I will note that are around 370 more pages that are unreleased for security reasons, I obviously cannot comment on these and won't (as many fans do) play Schrödinger's cat with them.

# 7. "JAMES SAID he took the original thriller jacket! LIAR IT WAS WITH HIS DESIGNER!"

Partially correct: Now it's clearly too generous to assume MJ fans do all this research as they claim to do, or even read the documents they push.

Yes, James does state that he took the original thriller jacket (see 21:00 part 1) and yes it was with Tompkins and Bush.

See: http://edition.cnn.com/2011/SHOWBIZ/Music/06/26/music.memorabilia.auction/index.html

However, as I'm sure they just took that and ran with it here is James's civil complaint, as you can see clearly at line 7 (again I will quote) 'Decedent took back the thriller jacket few years later saying that the jacket would still belong to the plaintiff but that he needed to display it at a museum'.

the upstairs portion of the bedroom, and positioned them so that they looked down to the lower portion. Plaintiff and DECEDENT played with the mannequins on Plaintiff's many visits. The main, downstairs portion of DECEDENT's bedroom had a giant globe that was lit from the inside. DECEDENT had a closet located in the upstairs portion of his bedroom on the left side, that he kept filled with jackets from his past music videos and performances. DECEDENT let Plaintiff touch and play with his many jackets. DECEDENT let Plaintiff try on the "Captain EO" jacket, and gave him the *Thriller* jacket to keep. DECEDENT took back the *Thriller* jacket a few years later, saying that the jacket would still belong to the Plaintiff, but that he needed to display it at a museum. The DECEDENT told Plaintiff that there would be a plaque saying "on loan from Jimmy Safechuck." In the meantime, the DECEDENT let Plaintiff choose between two of the other jackets used in the *Thriller* video--the "Zombie" jacket and the "clean" one.

Source: https://www.scribd.com/doc/273431049/Safechuck-Civil-Complaint

## 8. "JAMES IS LYING ABOUT THE RINGS"

An assumption: they for some reason have this idea that James was lying about the rings, I've seen one person argue it's because he fit a child size ring on his finger, but again if you go to the doc you can clearly see all he does is put it on the top of his finger because it's too small to fit his entire finger... (01:08 pt 1)

|           |    | · // ·   |
|-----------|----|--|
| 8,7 / 3 8 | 12 | 14. DECEDENT began telephoning Plaintiff at home on a frequent and regular basis.                  |
|           | 13 | Their relationship had grown to a point where DECEDENT had become part of Plaintiff's family       |
|           | 14 | DECEDENT would call Plaintiff at home when he was alone or lonely, and Plaintiff's family          |
|           | 15 | would drive over to the Hayvenhurst house and pick up the DECEDENT and bring him back to           |
|           | 16 | Plaintiff's home in Simi Valley. One time Plaintiff and DECEDENT went to the park in Simi          |
|           | 17 | Valley. They shot some video footage there that ended up in the closing credits of one of          |
|           | 18 | DECEDENT's documentaries. On another occasion, Plaintiff and DECEDENT went to the Zales            |
|           | 19 | jewelry store in Simi Valley. The DECEDENT was wearing a disguise and the salesperson at the       |
|           | 20 | Zales store called the police. When the police arrived and saw that it was the DECEDENT, they      |
|           | 21 | did not pursue the matter. On another occasion, Plaintiff's father picked up DECEDENT from         |
|           | 22 | the Havenhurst house, and they had to drive away quickly in order to evade the paparazzi.          |
|           | 23 | Plaintiff and his family viewed this to be exciting – as was the entire experience of being with a |
|           | 24 | "star" with such celebrity status as DECEDENT. At this time, DECEDENT was in his late 20's,        |
|           | 25 | Plaintiff was approximately 8-9 years old, and Plaintiff's parents were in their 40's.             |
|           | 20 | 15. In 1988, when Plaintiff was 10 years old and DECEDENT was 29-30 years old,                     |
| XX<br>NO  | 27 | DECEDENT invited Plaintiff to a convention in Hawaii at which the Pepsi commercial they had        |
| 00<br>H+  | 28 | both appeared in was being featured. The Plaintiff attended the Pepsi convention with              |
| vin       |    | COMPLAINT<br> REDACTED COPY  |

Source: https://www.scribd.com/doc/273431049/Safechuck-Civil-Complaint

Footage corroborating this kind of behaviour:

Source: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=l3DyN8Jvnz4

I will highlight there seems to be an age discrepancy as the title of the video says 89' and document suggests 87'. However, age discrepancies happen quite regularly and I don't really know what other explanation they have for Jackson to be buying rings in Jimmy's home town with a young boy unless its James, James also stated that they did this often (01:11 pt1) therefore the footage may not be of the exact same incident mentioned in the document.

# Conclusion

This only really scratches the surface but I'm going to stop for now. I do want to highlight that this is not an attempt to prove MJ guilty, this is an attempt to show people just how MJ fans manipulate or even just lie about material to attack potential victims of sexual assault. I encourage you to research anything they say to you and not take it at face value.

PLEASE READ: All timestamps for the documentary are from the UK version (the online version on all 4 catch-up), obviously, the US version and real-time UK version (due to the adverts) will have slightly different stamps.

Clearly this is not everything, if I keep researching these claims to debunk then I will repost updated versions on this thread. However, it's bloody tiring and I have a life to live so we will see. If you have something to add that you have researched I will gladly add it.