

*Focus on Western Islamism*  
[https:// islamism.news](https://islamism.news)

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Okay. We're live. Hopefully everyone can see us and hear us. Thank you everyone for joining. This is Daniel Haqiqatjou. Welcome to the channel. Today, we have a debate, informal debate, between myself and Mark Collett, a prominent white nationalist in the UK. Thanks for joining us, Mark. This is-

Mark Collett:

Well, thank you for inviting me on.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Yes, this is an informal debate, meaning we'll give each other opening statements and then we'll just an open discussion. And hopefully at the end, we can have time to address questions from the live chat. We're going to be broadly discussing white nationalism and how how it affects and is affected by Muslims on the social, political and cultural levels. So if Mark, you want to give your opening statement?

Mark Collett:

Okay. I will do that. Thank you. Thank you for having me here. Before I do my opening statement, I am no longer on YouTube. I have been censored from this platform. Everything that I ever produced on this platform has been deleted, even though some of those videos had in excess of a million in views. Because of that, you can only find me on BitChute, Odyssey, Gab, and Telegram. Those are the social networks I am active on. If you want to follow me on those social networks, that would be great. I am now on a special list of people who are banned from all mainstream social networks for life. So you won't find me in any of the usual places, because the things I speak about are... not illegal. I don't break the law. I don't use racial slurs. I don't insult people, but despite all of that, I have been banned because the kind of things I speak about are things that the establishment don't want people hearing about.

So now I'll begin my statement. As an ethnonationalist, and I am an ethnonationalist, I believe that all peoples of the world deserve a homeland, and all peoples of the world deserve to be able to pursue self-determination and take their destiny into their own hands. As such, I am wholeheartedly opposed to Western interventions within the Middle East and have vehemently opposed Western military actions in the region. I believe that the aforementioned Western interventions in the Middle East have been largely driven by Zionist interests. The state of Israel wishes to maintain its position as the dominant and unchallenged force in the region, by using its power of America under the Western nations, in order to ensure that those nations use their military might to attack and destabilize sovereign Islamic republics and nations; not only with the use of group force, but also with punishing and unfair sanctions, and by funding Islamic extremist groups such as the Islamic State-- who have been used to destabilize nations, such as Syria and Iraq.

As such, I have much in common with Muslims who wish to see the Middle East left in peace so that Islamic nations can grow and trade as they wish without Zionist influence. What's more, I wish to see my nation freed from that Zionist influence, which wheels disproportionate power over many Western institutions. I believe Zionist power is a threat to both Muslims and Europeans. What's more, as an ethnonationalist, I wholeheartedly oppose the ethnic cleansing that takes place in Israel, whereby Palestinians are regularly and systematically brutalized and driven from their ancestral homeland. What's more, I acknowledge that Zionist power in the west, and Jewish influence within the mainstream

media, have effectively ensured that the Palestinian people suffer in silence, and that such suffering is ignored by the vast majority of Western folk.

As well as being a nationalist, I'm also a traditionalist. As such, I acknowledge that those in the west who hold traditional values close to their hearts have much in common with those Muslims who also place great importance upon traditionalism. As such, I am sure I would agree with many Muslims on the importance of family values, the importance of women as wives, homemakers, and mothers, and of course, I would agree with traditionalist Muslims when it comes to opposing the LGBT agenda and the sexualization of children. Saying all of that, I have to go back to what I said at the beginning of my opening statement. I am an ethnonationalist. This means I want my nation, the United Kingdom, to remain largely ethnically homogenous. And as such, I oppose mass immigration.

Now, I am well aware that much of the Islamic immigration from the Middle East is a result of Zionist-led foreign policy that the UK and the U.S. Have pursued. And the streams of displaced Muslims created by a succession of needless foreign wars are a result of unjust, and often illegal, bombing campaigns and invasions. However, there is still a sizable portion of Islamic immigration into the UK, which is nothing to do with Western involvement in the Middle East. This migration is encouraged by government policies, policies, which are designed to ensure that white Britains are reduced to a minority within their ancestral homelands.

I want this to be very clear. Europe is for the Europeans. Whilst I oppose Zionist-led foreign policy and Western military intervention in the Middle East, I do not wish for the UK or Europe to become Islamic. In fact, I quite openly state that I am against any further immigration and that I'm also opposed to the Islamification of Western nations. Whilst nationalists and Muslims do indeed have some things in common, we also have many differences. And as a nationalist, it is clear to see that when Western nations experience mass immigration from Islamic nations, that influx of migrants changes not only the demographics of the host nation, but also the culture and character of those nations.

What's more, mass immigration from Islamic nations has also led to many horrific social problems, including the widespread rape and abuse of white British girls by men who are overwhelmingly of Pakistani Muslim descent. This crime against our people can never be brushed under the carpet or forgotten. I do not want Western cities filled with mosques. I do not want to hear the whaling from Islamic prayer towers. I do not wish to see the streets of my nation filled with women whose faces are covered with veils. I do not want Sharia courts operating alongside English and Scottish courts. And I don't want to see areas of my country transformed into mini Islamic ethnostates, where the indigenous people of these islands no longer feel at home.

There is a place for all things Islamic, but that place is elsewhere in the world. Ultimately, I wish to see an end to Zionist influence over Western nations, an end to Western interventions in the Middle East, and an end to mass immigration into the west. I would be happy to help Muslims regain control over their ancestral homelands. And I would be happy for Western nations to trade freely and fairly with those Islamic nations. However, part of this agreement has to include the understanding that once Muslims have control over their own lands and are free from Zionist tyranny, the vast majority of Muslims living in the west must leave and return to their ancestral homelands, leaving Europe in the hands of the Europeans.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

## *Focus on Western Islamism*

[https:// islamism.news](https://islamism.news)

Alright. Thank you for that. Mark. I'll also now begin my opening statement. [Foreign language 00:09:25]. Let me start off on a conciliatory note. I'm sympathetic to white Europeans and Americans who feel like their community, their heritage is under attack. I can sympathize with your fears that there will be no future for the white race. I can sympathize with all of this because Muslims and whites have a common enemy and that enemy is this virus, this cancer known as liberal secular modernity. But here is the ironic thing, who was it that unleashed this virus into the world? Was it the Jews? Was it Blacks? What was the complexion of the people who boldly declared the values of freedom, equality, and democracy above all else? It was white Europeans. It was the white race that brought this disease of liberal secular modernity into existence. And rather than own up to it, white nationalists want to scapegoat minorities, Jews, Blacks, unless we forget the Muslim grooming gangs.

Now, this is not going to be some anti-white tirade. The fact of the matter is I love white people and that some of the best Muslims, past and present, have been white. And furthermore, as a Muslim, I don't believe in collective blame, but if we are going to look at the world through the lens of race, which white nationalists do. Then, the undeniable truth is it was whites who established this global modernization project and instituted authoritarian repression against anyone who opposes it. So liberal modernism is the gift whites gave to the world.

Now for the uninitiated, the problem with liberal secular modernity is that it destroys everything that human beings naturally value in the name of maximizing individual freedom and equality. To be clear, freedom and equality are important values and they're recognized in Islam, but liberalism takes things to the extreme and prioritizes freedom and equality above other significant values, values like marriage and family, the value of community, the value of god and religion. These are all essential human values that are rooted in human biology, but liberal secular modernity attacks all of these through a step by step process of social and political reform.

I'll give you a simple example. The news last week reported that Italian police found the mummified remains of a 70-year-old woman sitting at a table for more than two years since she had died. For two years, her corpse was draped on a chair decomposing, and she didn't have a single relative, not a child, not a niece, no one who would check on her and notice her demise. This nightmarish state of utter loneliness is not uncommon. It happens every day. At this very moment, there are untold numbers of rotting corpses draped over chairs in the dark waiting to be discovered. No, this nightmare is not uncommon, but it is new. It's something only found in contemporary liberal secular societies. You won't find this in traditional societies and societies that value marriage and family, because in those societies, the elderly are surrounded by their spouses, their children, their families.

I believe Mark fully agrees with me that this is a disaster, but what Mark may not fully appreciate is that as long as you cling to these secular values of individual freedom and equality, as these jewels atop the crown of supposed Western intellectual and moral superiority, you will not have marriage. You will not have family, community, or god. All you will have is that corpse rotting alone in a chair and getting rid of all the minorities is not going to you change that.

I want to be crystal clear on why this is necessarily the case, because this is the crux of my whole argument. Maintaining marriage, family, community, and religion requires a certain level of lack of freedom and lack of equality. But liberalism aims to maximize individual freedom and equality. This means that liberal secularism is inherently hostile to these basic human institutions. In marriage, for example, you have certain duties towards your spouse, which means you often have to sacrifice your

personal desires and ambitions. Marriage also entails inequality because women, due to their biology and the reality of pregnancy, are hypergamous, meaning they prefer to mate with males who are higher status than themselves. So that generates a natural hierarchy between men and women and that results in a natural inequality. It is an inequality that is inherent to marriage due to biology, and Islam recognizes this and accommodates it in the best way.

Liberalism, however, aims to extinguish this inequality through feminist reform, but in extinguishing the inequality also ipso facto extinguishes marriage. This is why we see marriage rates plummeting, wherever the virus of liberalism has taken root. But we don't have to get overly philosophical about it. Many of you watching this, just look at your own life. Do you know why you're alone? Why you don't have a wife, or if you do have a wife while you're married, that she's on Instagram right now talking to her secret boyfriend and your marriage will be destroyed by divorce, and she's going to take your children and all your assets? All of that is because of liberalism.

Similarly, maintaining a family requires limitations on freedom and equality because you have duties to your parents, to your family members. Families also require hierarchy, so that entails inequality. But liberalism aims to gradually, over time, over generations, destroy these inequalities. All members of the family must have equal status and equal authority, even children.

As an example, consider how every few months on mainstream media you see articles asking, do parents have the right to teach their children religion, or determine their children's education? Do parents have the right to decide their child's gender? The liberal concern here is that this kind of parental authority is an illegitimate violation of equality that infringes upon the autonomy of children, therefore, parental authority must be abolished. Or as a more immediate example, as a father, do you have the right to tell your teenage daughter not to fornicate with every other boy in school? According to modern parenting, no, how dare the father try to infringe on his daughter's right to sexual expression.

Now, hopefully, Mark, you see how all this is destroying family, but the vast majority of white people aren't like you, Mark. The vast majority of white people are pro liberty, pro freedom modernists, who find the idea of parental authority and discipline as relics of a more intolerant myopic past. Again, think about your own life. If you're depressed because your children do not respect you, or you're alienated from your parents, or you're worried about being put in a nursing home, these are all due to the liberal destruction of the family.

Now, let me preach to the choir for a bit and talk about this other natural tendency of human beings, which is to come together into communities, whether they be religious communities, racial or otherwise. Preserving a community also requires certain types of inequality, lack of freedom, even violence, because there are in-groups and out-groups, but the liberal mind is repulsed by that. I don't need to tell a white nationalist all this, because white nationalists understand preserving whiteness requires shutting down immigration, stopping race mixing, imposing a national identity. And these are the same measures that all nationalists take when they have power, whether they be Chinese Communists, Hindutva, or Zionism. But liberalism is opposed to these measures precisely because they infringe on freedom and equality, and this is why liberal modernity strives for the kind of globalization that has resulted in the erosion of all communities and heritages, not just whites.

Finally, humans are naturally religious in that they're born with belief in god and the afterlife. This is established in numerous psychological studies, but commitment to religion also requires loss of

personal freedom and equality, which makes it target number one for the liberal secular project. And of course the near complete extinction of Christianity in Europe and its rapid decline in the rest of the world at the hands of liberalism, is more than enough proof of this.

I realize that many white nationalists will join Muslims in bemoaning the destruction of marriage, family, community, and religion. But do they recognize the source of the problem? Many clearly do not. How many white nationalists are proud of figures like the American founding fathers? How many are proud of the American Revolution, the French Revolution, the sacred principles of democracy and the Constitution, things like the Industrial Revolution and technological and scientific progress? These were the seeds of the enlightenment that set the world on the path that has led to our current dystopia.

But I have a message for white nationalists. You think things are bad now in 2022, just you wait. As a Muslim, I can tell you your future because every horror that the secular state wants to impose on you, it's already imposed on Muslims. This is the 200-year of colonialism, 200-year history of colonialism and neocolonialism. Your enlightened white ancestors came to the Muslim world at the beginning of the 19th century and brutally enforced liberal secular modernity. As a result, dozens of indigenous populations were wiped out. And those who survived have been subjected to secularization and liberalization, which means that Muslims are being genocide. You want to complain about population replacement and how when you wake up, your neighbors aren't white and your grandchildren aren't white. How do you think Muslims feel when they wake up in Muslim countries and their neighbors are no longer Muslim, their children are no longer Muslim?

White nationalists want to talk about appropriation and how your universities, your schools, your mass media, your churches have in recent history been taken over by anti-white ideologues. How do you think Muslims feel, given the fact that their universities, their madrasas, their national media networks, and even their mosques have been taken over by liberal secular sellouts that are constantly advocating liberalization and attacking traditional Islam, and have been doing so for the past 200 years. White nationalists want to complain about, "Oh no, King Arthur is being played by a Black man on TV." That's what you consider white genocide. That's what you consider white replacement. But for Muslims, the actual figures of our history, like the Prophet Muhammad Sallallahu Alayhi Wasallam, the caliphs, they're recast as pro secular feminists and liberal human rights promoters. The wives of the prophet and other important female figures are recast as fortune 500 CEOs and strong independent career women. The Quran itself has been recast as some kind of charter for LGBT rights and individual freedom.

These distorted representations are taught in many of our institutions. White nationalists want to complain about, "Oh no, we're being constantly surveilled. All our communications are being monitored. All our organizations are being infiltrated by feds. We're being placed on no fly lists. Our free speech is being censored." Yeah, try experiencing all that for 200 years. That's what the west has been doing to the Muslim world. And in the past 20 years, they've ramped it up with the global war on terror, which it must be noted, was heavily supported by whites, and especially white conservatives, who wanted to bomb us back to the stone age.

Now, you're getting a small taste of your own medicine and you can't take it. Right now, they've just started labeling you as terrorists, just because you have a few non-liberal beliefs. Who do you think they were originally labeling as terrorists for not being pro-democracy enough, not being pro women's rights enough? I recognize many whites oppose this liberalization project. Like I said in the beginning,

this is not a session for hating white people. However, if you, what you want to do is to assign collective blame, and you think it's obvious that the group that is entitled to blame others collectively is the white race, that is something that I would sincerely disagree with. And I want to present an alternative view, not because I want condemn all whites or cast whites as the enemies of Islam. No, but if I were going to make, and I'm not, but if I were going to make the argument that one group deserves collective blame for the worst problems that we're facing today, to me, it seems like that group is whites.

But I would emphasize, one of the beautiful thing is about Islam, we don't get involved in these kinds of arguments, like whites are racial enemies or blacks are racial friends. Our enemies and friends are not defined by the color of their skin. They're defined with reference to certain basic fundamental human values. But my message to white nationalists to conclude is this, chickens are coming home to roost. Stop scapegoating other communities and take a look in the mirror. This monster of liberal modernity was made by whites and to this day, it's being perpetuated primarily by whites.

My theory is that white nationalists are resistant to identifying liberal secular modernity and unfettered, technological and scientific growth as the cause their problems, because they believe that these things are the greatest achievements known to man. They say we invented human rights. We invented free enterprise. We went to the moon while the rest of you were in your mud huts. If it turns out that these so-called achievements actually result in the extinction of mankind, then and what does that say exactly about the white race? Islam, on the other hand, has given humanity a pure religion dedicated to worshiping god almighty, a religion that preserves marriage, family, community, and deep devotion to god. Islam is the perfect system that all of humanity needs, no matter what color or ethnic origin, the only question is will whites wake up in time?

That's it. So we can just now have just open discussion. Mark, my opening was longer than yours, so if you want to respond, then I'll give you time to-

Mark Collett:

I don't know who you wrote that opening for, whether you just did that so you could mouth off where you thought that was something that was deeply intelligent or moving, but you're really talking to the wrong person. I'm not a liberal. I'm not some secularist. I'm not an individualist. I stand against all of those things. I'm an ethnonationalist. I believe in in-group preference. I believe in community. And I believe, quite fundamentally, that the people who foisted all of the things on the world that you are talking about as ills, are people who were the cultural Marxists, people who own the Western media, people who have captured Western institutions. Disproportionately those people, there's a massive over-representation amongst the Frankfurt School, the people who invented cultural Marxism. If you look up who pushed feminism... Look it up. I mean, even Wikipedia admits, when you put in feminism, there's a massive list of feminists longer than your arm.

They were the ones that pushed the idea of female empowerment of women going into the workplace, of women competing with men, rather than working with them to form families. It is media and institutions which have pushed for the LGBT revolution, that tell people that we should be proud that drag queens are performing in our schools. The vast majority of white people never pushed any of this. In fact, white people were subjected to this and slowly twisted and turned and bent through the propaganda machine that sits in the corner of their house, which is of course, the television. And who

*Focus on Western Islamism*  
[https:// islamism.news](https://islamism.news)

produces the vast majority of Hollywood productions and television shows? You have to look at the people who are causing this, and you have to look at the reason for them doing what they are doing.

Now, people understand what Zionism is. Zionism isn't nationalism. Zionism is supremacism. Nationalism is not supremacism. I'm not a supremacist. And I acknowledge that the difference between Zionism and the difference between nationalism is Zionism is, in effect, a political system of placing who's above all others. And it seeks to do so by ensuring that all others have their culture taken from them, have their traditionalism smashed on a reduce to the status of atomized individuals; whereas at the same time, ensuring that their group has the strongest in-group preference and sticks together.

I think you're making a major mistake here and your entire intro shouldn't really have been aimed at me, because I stand against all of the things you were talking about. And you can talk about the tragedy of people dying alone in their homes as individuals and I'd agree with that. You can talk about the tragedy of people no longer getting married and having children. And I agree with that. Now, you can say, quite rightly so, that Western might has been used against Middle Eastern countries. I agree with you. I already said that in my introduction, but it hasn't been done because that was what Western people wanted. The vast majority of people in the UK opposed war in Iraq. They opposed intervention in Syria. They didn't want bombing of the Middle East. The people who wanted the bombing of the Middle East, the people who wanted war in Iraq were people who were bought and sold by the Zionist power mongers.

Now ,you can say this isn't true, but I'm sure if most of your supporters, most people in the chat actually looked into what I said, they would see that to be the case. I don't bear Muslims any ill will. I just acknowledge that the traditional cultures, the traditional ways of life, of Europeans, are different to that of Muslims. And I believe that we would work together on certain things. I believe that we can trade together. I believe we can have friendship, but I don't believe we should be doing so in the same country. And I think we should both acknowledge the people who are wielding their huge power to, basically, damage both of our groups.

Now, when you proposed this to me, you didn't really tell me what the debate was going to be about. And you seemed to be all over the place in what you're talking about. One minute, you sound like you're talking to a liberal. One minute, you're saying that whites almost have it coming, like this is coming home to roost. I don't believe that whites have it coming any more than I believe that Muslims have it coming when the Zionist warmongers flatten Islamic nations. I don't believe your people have it coming. I don't believe my people have it coming. I believe that both of our people need to pursue self-determination. And essentially, on some levels, work together to reject the hyper-individualism, the liberalism, the secularism, the feminism, and all the other ills that attack us and undermine our communities. But if you look at the fa-

PART 1 OF 5 ENDS [00:29:04]

Mark Collett:

... and undermine our communities. But if you look at the fountain where all of those ills spring from, it's not white people, it's just not.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

*Focus on Western Islamism*  
[https:// islamism.news](https://islamism.news)

I disagree. You seem to have a history of liberalism. And when I'm talking about liberalism, I mean this overall philosophy of individual rights, maximizing liberty and equality. This did not begin in the 1940s or the 1920s, this is the Enlightenment, and it was a white Enlightenment. You mentioned feminists, yeah, there was a lot of Jewish feminist thinkers in the 20th century. But the history of feminism is much earlier than the 20th century. It's much earlier than Marxism. Susan B. Anthony, what was her race? Elizabeth Cady Stanton. In fact, they were white supremacists. They believe in the superiority of the white race and they also believe that the church needs to be destroyed, that we need to rewrite the Bible.

Elizabeth Cady Stanton wrote *The Woman's Bible*, this level of blasphemy. She's not only white, she's a white supremacist. These weren't Jewish, Jews were actually liberalized. European Jews were living in ghettos, they're actually very... Orthodox Jews, at that time, were extremely traditional people. They were liberalized actually by the Enlightenment, post-French Revolution. This whole path of all this anti-traditionalism that both of us want to bemoan, the loss of religion, secularization, this predates the prominence, the power. Look, I acknowledge that Jews today have a role. There are secularized Jews and other minorities as well. Unfortunately-

Mark Collett:

You say Jews have a role, let me just ask you a question. What is the most powerful and pervasive and manipulative force on the planet?

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Liberalism.

Mark Collett:

No, try again. The most powerful and pervasive force on the planet that invades our homes and informs people what to think is the media. Is that not correct? Hollywood and the mainstream media is overwhelmingly the most powerful force when it comes to shaping people's minds, shaping people's opinions, and informing people what to think, and informing people on both a conscious and subconscious level of how they should behave. Surely you agree with that.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Yes, they're very powerful. Media is very powerful.

Mark Collett:

So which group of people owns and runs Hollywood? And which group of people is vastly overrepresented within the mainstream media?

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

I can concede that a certain ethnic group-

Mark Collett:

Which group?



Daniel Haqiqatjou:

No, I don't concede that Jews are the dominant power within media. I think that they-

Mark Collett:

You don't believe that Jews are vastly overrepresented within Hollywood?

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

It's whites and Jews. Whites and Jews are cooperating.

Mark Collett:

You don't believe... No, no, no.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

How are you-

Mark Collett:

Do you understand mathematics?

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Yes, I do.

Mark Collett:

You do?

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Yeah.

Mark Collett:

So Jews in America make up around 2% of the population. So they are vastly overrepresented within the media and Hollywood-

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

I can concede that.

Mark Collett:

... especially in the upper echelons.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

I conceded that. I can see that's a fact.

Mark Collett:

Yes.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

I conceded that. But they're not the only one. They're not the only race.

Mark Collett:

I didn't say they're the only one.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Okay. That's what I'm saying.

Mark Collett:

We are talking about vast-

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

So then why are you focusing on that race alone?

Mark Collett:

Because what I am saying to you-

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Disproportionate. Okay. I conceded that.

Mark Collett:

... is you are saying that liberalism... What you're saying is-

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Yeah. They're all liberals.

Mark Collett:

What you're saying is-

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

The liberals are overrepresented.

Mark Collett:

... liberalism has eaten away and destroyed values that we both care about, correct?

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Yes.

Mark Collett:

And I'm saying, what has been the biggest and most powerful vector for pushing said liberalism? Well, you have just conceded that is the mainstream media. That is Hollywood. And then I say to you, who are

the people who are vastly overrepresented within those bodies, within those institutions? And at that point, you then agreed with me, but then you still blame whites.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Yeah. Because it's not just Jews who are involved in this global-

Mark Collett:

I didn't say it was just Jews. Who are the people who are overrepresented?

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Here's a question for you. Who's overrepresented in global media?

Mark Collett:

Jews.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Do you think whites? No. Do you think whites are-

Mark Collett:

Jews.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

... overrepresented? No. Whites are overrepresented in global media. If it was equal representation in global media, you'd have just as many Hondurans and just as many Ukrainians and just as many Chinese in global media, as you do whites, but no. It's whites that are overrepresented, but that's what your logic leads to. Right?

Mark Collett:

No, if you believe that-

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

So if that's the problem, whites are overrepresented.

Mark Collett:

... good for you. But what I'm saying is-

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

No, that's a fact.

Mark Collett:

... you will come unstuck, Daniel, because everyone who's listening to this can very quickly go and see who owns these big networks, who is-

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

It's a lot of whites.

Mark Collett:

... in positions of power at these big networks. They can see who owns the Hollywood studios. They can see the names of the directors, the names of the producers. They can look at the people behind the advertising companies who are pushing all of these agendas and they will find an awful lot of -steins and -bergs.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Yeah. You also see a lot of Murdochs. You also see a lot of Turners. You also see a lot of Buffets. You also see a lot of whites. I mean, what's your point?

Mark Collett:

What I'm saying is-

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

They're all represented. I get it. They're overrepresented. So what?

Mark Collett:

No, no, no, no, no. If you came to a white nation and whites made up 80% of the population, non-whites made up 18% of the population and Jews made up a further 2%, and everyone was equally represented, I would see your point. But it's not like that. You have a vast over representation within these institutions, within these endeavors which push for these things. And that over representation speaks volumes. And everything that you've cited in terms of things that are corrosive to things such as traditionalism, the family, masculine men, being able to tell your daughter what she should wear or when she should be able to go out at night, all the things that you talk about have been corroded by, primarily, mainstream media. Because they haven't been enforced by the fist, or the gun, or the boot. They have been enforced by the slow and all pervasive process of the mainstream media twisting people's minds, changing people's opinions through both an attack on the conscious and the subconscious.

This is a very important point. Now, obviously, you and I are going to differ on it, but I'm putting over my view. And I think some people in the chair will look at what I'm saying and say, "Well, this guy's got a point." In the same way, as I said in my intro, we all know that the Palestinian people suffer at the hands of Zionists. Now, you know and I know that if there were Muslims killing another ethnic group, people would be talking about it. If there were whites wiping out another ethnic group, people would be talking about it.

Remember South Africa? Everyone went on about apartheid. Apartheid was nowhere near as brutal as the destruction of the Palestinian people. And I say the destruction, because it is a genocide at the hands of Zionists. Yet, the mainstream media that never stopped talking about apartheid never talk about the horrors of what the Palestinian people go through. And there are never the kind of pushback

against the use of chemical weapons, the targeting of children, Palestinian children, at the hands of Israelis. Why? Who owns the media?

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

I'm fully on board with recognizing the influence of Zionism in starting these kinds of wars in the Middle East, but they didn't start with Zionists. The colonial project, the genocide of Algerians by the French, there were no Jews or very little Jews involved. The colonization of Indonesia and southeast Asia by the Dutch, that was not Jews involved. The kind of starvation and genocide against the subcontinent, the Indian subcontinent, in the 19th century, where were the Jews?

Mark Collett:

No. See, what you're doing-

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Where were the Jews involved with that?

Mark Collett:

What you're doing here-

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Why can't you answer that? Why can't you answer that?

Mark Collett:

What you're doing here-

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Your history-

Mark Collett:

I'm going to. I'm answering it now. I'm answering it.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Well, let me finish my point. Let me finish my point, then you can-

Mark Collett:

What about all the Muslim invasions of Europe? What about Genghis Khan's invasions? What about the multiple wars and invasions and battles that took place throughout history? Those things are history. And throughout this, throughout the history, different groups, both from the Middle East, both from Europe, from other countries, have all taken part in territorial conquest. And territorial conquests-

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Okay. So now-

Mark Collett:

So, I mean, I don't actually agree with. I don't believe in-

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Look how you pivot there.

Mark Collett:

... colonialism.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Look at how you pivot there.

Mark Collett:

I'm not even a fan of it.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

You want to focus on Zionism. Then when I point out the crimes of white colonialism, you pivot to, "Oh, everyone is doing it."

Mark Collett:

No, no, no. Okay.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

That's very transparent.

Mark Collett:

Look at the number of times that Europe was invaded by Muslim forces-

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Yeah. Let's talk about that.

Mark Collett:

... during the Middle Ages. I'm not sitting here trying to castigate you for that. Why? One, you had nothing to do with it. Two, I came on here in good faith to try and find some middle ground with you. And three, and this is very important, the fact of the matter is what is happening in the here and now is something we can change, we can affect, and we can try to push back against. So I'm talking about the things happening in the here and now. If you want a gigantic hot historical debate about all the times white people invaded somewhere, and I bring up all the times Islamic nations, or the Ottoman Empire, or maybe Genghis Khan, or somebody else invaded somewhere. Well, that's a historical discussion, which is slightly different. And what you are trying to do, and I don't understand why you're doing this, is you are dragging all of this away from the issue of Zionism. Now, I have tried-

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Because that's not the problem. That's not the problem. The problem is liberalism.

Mark Collett:

So Zionism isn't a problem?

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

The problem is liberalism, because if you get rid of the Zionist influence, if you get rid of all of the multiculturalism, the white race is not going to have a future. Imagine that all of everything that you want in an ethnostate is there. You still have the liberalism, you still have the progressivism, you still have the emphasis on technological innovation and progress, but you have no more multiculturalism and no more Zionism. Is that going to be a future for Britain, or the UK, or any white nation? No. You're still going to have the LGBT. You're still going to have the drag queens. You're still going to have-

Mark Collett:

But white people weren't liberal.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

... the abortion.

Mark Collett:

But Daniel-

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

They were. They're the originators of-

Mark Collett:

White people weren't liberal.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

They're the originators of liberal. Do you know the history of liberalism? Do you know what liberalism is?

Mark Collett:

If that's what you want to believe, good for you, big guy. But it is not true.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Well, make some points contrary to it.

Mark Collett:

The vast majority of people in western nations, until that little box sat in the corner of every house spilling forth its poison, were traditionally conservative with a small c, and were part of tight knit communities that looked after each other. There has been a rapid and absolutely corrosive change taking place in the west post World War II when there was a huge, huge power shift and the mainstream media became the pervasive force in our lives when it came to the four of our opinions.

*Focus on Western Islamism*  
[https:// islamism.news](https://islamism.news)

And this pulled white people away from listening to those within the church, listening to those within the community, listening to their elders, because instead of people listening to their fathers, their elders, their church leaders, they were looking at role models placed on the TV who were bad role models. And this was all part of a nefarious scheme to plunge the West into madness. And now, the west is basically under control of these people. They use the Western might to bomb the Middle East. And the Middle East suffers because of who really controls the West. And that is why I come on here, really today, because I have a degree, a large degree of sympathy, for those in the Middle East who suffer at the hands of the Zionist war machine.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

I have a great deal of sympathy for whites. I have a great deal of sympathy for Europeans and Americans. I've lived in America in Texas for most of my life. I have a great deal of sympathy as well. And that's why I want whites to recognize that the problem that they have is with this ideology of liberalism that far predates the television set. It far predates the wars in the Middle East. It far predates immigration. This is a endemic problem with a certain ideology and that's what needs to be recognized.

Otherwise, there is no future for anyone, not just whites. This is a force that has been attacking and destroying all traditional cultures and religions. You say that you're a re traditional person, Mark. Don't you consider the loss of religion in Europe and the death of Christianity, what do you think has caused that? It started not in the 20th century. That secularization started with the Enlightenment. It started with the French Revolution.

Mark Collett:

Well, let me give you an example.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Do you know the history? Do you know the history of the French revolution, Mark?

Mark Collett:

Let me give you a wonderful example of secularism. And this is from the United States. In the United States, there has been a massive push to remove Christianity from all public places and public buildings to create a secular nation. ,That is true. Now all of the founding fathers of America were Christians, and it was founded by white people from Christian nations who wanted to set up an explicitly Eurocentric Christian nation. However, despite crosses and other Christian symbols being banned in public areas and in public buildings in America as part of this secularization and the growth of atheism, what do you see every year on the White House lawn? A huge 12 foot tall menorah. So I'll ask you this, Daniel. If you can't display across in the White House or in an American public building, why can you display a menorah?

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

You can keep bringing on these points and I'm not-

Mark Collett:

Why can you display a menorah?

Daniel Haqiqatjou:



*Focus on Western Islamism*  
*[https:// islamism.news](https://islamism.news)*

I'm not disputing the disproportionate influence of certain groups on American politics. I'm not disputing that. So you can keep bringing up these points about the influence of Zionism on western politics, but that doesn't change the fact that the seeds of the Enlightenment... Why do you think Europe was so open? Why do you think American studios or Hollywood, why do you think TV broadcast stations, and news, and national media were so open to the influence of one particular group? Don't whites, the majority white, share the blame or have the blame for allowing this kind of terrible situation? If we can concede all of your points, who allowed this to happen? You see that what we are experiencing today with cultural Marxism, say, or with the disproportionate influence of Zionism, say, there was a stage set that led to the 20th century. You want to bemoan third wave feminism or second wave feminism and say that, "Oh, there are all these Jewish feminism involved." Well, what about first wave feminism? You couldn't have second wave feminism. You can't have second and third wave feminism-

Mark Collett:

We can have a two-hour stream, if you want, where I just read the name of these Jewish feminists.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Yeah, I read you the names of Susan B. Anthony, Elizabeth Cady Stanton, Mary Wollstonecraft, all of these feminists, hardcore anti-patriarchy feminists that are railing against the Catholic church and the Church of England and the Bible, they predate any Jewish feminist that you can name me. Simone de Beauvoir. Was she Jewish? No. How much bigger of a feminist can you have than that? How much more influential of a feminist-

Mark Collett:

I can give you a list.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Yeah. Okay. You can give me a list, but you're not addressing-

Mark Collett:

I can give you a massive list.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

It seems like you have this selective vision. This is what makes white nationalism not compelling, because-

Mark Collett:

No, no, no, no, no.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

... you want to criticize-

Mark Collett:

What makes you not compelling-

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

You want to criticize one group-

Mark Collett:

What makes you not compelling-

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

You want to-

Mark Collett:

... is the fact that-

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

... criticize one group-

Mark Collett:

... you don't understand-

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

... without acknowledging your own room.

Mark Collett:

... simple mathematics. You don't understand over representation. So when you see-

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Look at the question. If over representation is a problem-

Mark Collett:

If 2% people of the people-

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Who own all of the-

Mark Collett:

... make up 99% of the people within a specific endeavor, or in a specific mindset, or in a specific industry, that says something about that industry. I'm not saying every single feminist is Jewish, and I'm not saying all Jewish, all Jews, are feminists. I am saying that feminists, when you look at feminists on an ethnic level, the vast majority of them are Jews were massively overrepresented yet again. And this is something that you seem to have blinkers on because unfortunately-

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Here's a math lesson.

Mark Collett:

What you're trying to do-

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

You understand the difference between over representation and the majority? A group can be overrepresented, but still be a minority-

Mark Collett:

The majority-

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

So if we say-

Mark Collett:

... of leading feminists are Jews or were Jews.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

The founders of feminism were white Europeans.

Mark Collett:

Just as the cultural Marxists, the Frankfurt School.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

So you can't respond to that?

Mark Collett:

No, you can't respond to anything because what you're going to do is you're going to sit here-

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

I can see the-

Mark Collett:

... smugly smiling. You're going to sit here, smugly smiling. And the fact is you're not going to acknowledge the people who are slaughtering Muslims wholesale in the Middle East-

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Yeah. A lot of Europeans were doing it.

Mark Collett:

... because you want to keep your YouTube channel. You can rub your beard as much as you want, but the truth is I'm not on YouTube because I tell the truth. You are on YouTube-

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

No, I've been banned as well.

Mark Collett:

Because ultimately, you are hiding-

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

... I have been banned from-

Mark Collett:

... fundamental truth.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

... Social media as well. You know why? For criticizing liberalism. I've also been banned. I've been banned from Twitter. Who knows that this channel is going to last on YouTube? Who knows? Maybe not after this debate, but I'm under threat of being also banned. Why? Because I criticize liberalism, because that's the real problem. That's the real enemy. It's this liberal system. And yes, I can concede. I can see the over representation.

Mark Collett:

You can say a mindset an ideology is the problem. But you see, I look behind the ideology at the people who are pushing it and the ways they push it upon us. And I see the results in there. And I see that those people are also pushing multiculturalism, and multiculturalism is going to create a clash of cultures in places it exists. I don't believe... And we've even seen that in the Middle East. We've seen arbitrary boundaries drawn. We've seen different tribes, different people pushed together into the same area, and that's created war. That's created slaughter. I don't want that. I believe, and I said this in my opening, I believe that all peoples of the world deserve a place to call their own, where they can take their destiny in their own hands. I don't wish Muslims in the Middle East any harm whatsoever. In fact, I'd like to see them prosper.

I'd like to see Islam in the Middle East flourish and have the foot of Zionism taken off its neck so that it can actually go in the direction it wants to go and those people can be free, because I am not a colonialist. I'm not a globalist, and I'm not somebody who wants to see other people suffer. I want my people to flourish, but I'd be happy for other people to flourish as well, because that's the difference between nationalism and Zionism. Nationalism is something that can exist with multiple different people taking on their own visions of nationalism. Zionism only exists when all other people are beneath the Zionist.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Look, we can talk about British colonialism. This is significant when we want to talk about feminism. Why? Because feminism, the people who came into Egypt, came into Algeria, came into India, came into Indonesia, and told Muslim women, "Hey, you should take off the veil, and you should be okay with fornicating. And you should fight against your barbaric Muslim fathers and husbands." It was white colonizers. It was Lord Cromer. It was these colonial officials from France and from Britain. They were not Jewish. They were white. This is the history of the 19th century. And this is something that you

refuse to acknowledge. And the thing is that if this ethnostate utopia that you are advocating for, Muslims in their own country, whites in their own country, as long as there is liberalism, and this idea that we have to spread these values of freedom and-

Mark Collett:

I don't believe in liberalism,

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Okay. You don't, but most white nationalists do. Most white-

Mark Collett:

No white nationalists believe in liberalism. White nationalists don't believe in... What are you even talking about? Liberalism nationalist rail against liberalism constantly. We don't believe in liberal values. We believe-

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

You don't believe in democracy?

Mark Collett:

... in traditionalist.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

You don't believe in democracy? You don't believe in secularism?

Mark Collett:

We don't believe in secularism. And we don't believe in the kind of democracy you have now.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

You believe in a Christian state?

Mark Collett:

No.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

You believe Britain should be a Christian state?

Mark Collett:

If you actually read our literature, most of our people either want to live in a Christian state, or are actually practicing other ancient European religions. We don't want to believe, we don't want to live in some secular state where there's multiple religions all rubbing up alongside each other. No, that's not where we want to live in. We want to live in ethnostates. We want to live in states which are defined by our people. Europe is the land for the Europeans.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

I mean, you can have a country-

Mark Collett:

Europe has been shaped by white people, and largely by Christianity for a good number of years, for many hundreds of years. And that's how we want it to stay. But equally, white nationalists want you guys to have the same in the Middle East. We want you guys to have your own countries and your own spaces. And we are against Zionist wars. We're against colonialism. We're against American western imperialism. I don't understand why you're not hearing these points.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

You're not representative of whites. That's the problem.

Mark Collett:

No. I'm not representative-

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

You can have-

Mark Collett:

I'm a white nationalist.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Yeah, I know. So the problem is that if you would have an ethnostate full of liberal, you can have an ethnostate that is just full of gay whites, gay atheist whites. That would also be an ethnostate, wouldn't it?

Mark Collett:

What? This is the most ridiculous point I've ever heard. I don't want homosexuality to be pushed as a norm. I don't want homosexual couples to be adopting children. I do not want the LGBT agenda to be pushed in schools. I do not want drag queens going in to schools and singing to children. It's sickening. And when I see Muslims-

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

So if that's what you want-

Mark Collett:

... railing against this, when I see Muslims railing against this, I praise them for doing so. And I say, "Well, hands up. These guys are doing something good." Because I join you on that. And this is what I said in my intro.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

But this is the contradiction.

Mark Collett:

We have a lot in common.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

This is the contradiction though, Mark, because you want traditionalism. You want Christian values, but you also want to embrace the Enlightenment. You know how anti-Christianity-

Mark Collett:

No, I don't. Stop telling me what I want. I want-

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

So you reject the Enlightenment? You reject the Enlightenment?

Mark Collett:

I just told you what I want. I want a traditionalist, European ethnostate. And I agree with you on the issues of LGBT, degeneracy, women's liberation, feminism. So I don't know why you're trying to argue that point with me, because if you're trying to argue that point, you should just say, "Well, actually, Mark, we agree and talk about something else," or bring on somebody who's going to argue that point with you because I don't want those things. Simple.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

That's the contradiction in the sense that you can't have all of the traditionalism that you're advocating for while also endorsing the Enlightenment values of progress.

Mark Collett:

I'm not endorsing any of that. I just said that. So I don't know why you keep saying that I'm endorsing liberalism. I'm not. I hate liberalism. The end. Draw a line under it. I don't like liberalism. Why don't you want to agree with me? Because I'm saying to you, "I agree with you, Daniel. The points you've raised against liberalism are correct. I agree with you. Liberalism is cancer. It is corrosive. It is poison." I've already stated who I believe are overrepresented in pushing that poison. You don't agree with me on that bit, but we both agree on the poison. So why don't we just draw a line under the poison and say we agree on it?

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Okay. So let me-

Mark Collett:

Because I'm not pushing it. I don't want it pushed.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Yeah. So you might understand the implications of rejecting the Enlightenment, rejecting secularism. Then, you want theocracy because Britain was a theocracy. European history is full of theocracies. This is what you want. That's news to me. I don't see you advocating for Christianity. I don't see you advocating for a theocratic Christian ethnostate. I see you advocating for an ethnostate. So this is news to me. I'm

surprised by that. Because usually when I'm discussing with other white nationalists or I see what white nationalists are writing online, they are big advocates of the Enlightenment, secular values, democratic values, equality, and the main criticism against Islam and Muslims-

Mark Collett:

I made a video called Democracy Doesn't Work. I rail against a two party illusory democracy almost every week on my show. So I don't understand. Look, Daniel. I don't want to be rude to you, all right? I came on here, on your channel, without a moderator, in good faith, because I thought we were going to find some things that we agreed on. Now, I am going to take you in good faith and say that you didn't know what I really believed, but I am not a liberal. I am not somebody who believes all of the things that you are railing against. I rail against them also. And in my opening statement, I tried to be as consolatory toward you as possible and talk about things that we could get on with, because I would rather make a friend here.

I would rather have an understanding with your audience than I would make an enemy and have zero understanding. Because I believe that although I've already said I want an ethnostate and I don't think Europe's the place for Islam, I do believe we have a number of common enemies. And I believe that we have a number of points that we agree on. So you have children, great. You're a father. You don't want your children to be taught that homosexuality is a healthy, normal, or even preferential way of life to normal heterosexual relations. You don't want that, do you?

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Nope.

Mark Collett:

Boom. Neither do I, my friend. Neither do I. It sickens me. The thought of your children or my child going to school and being told, or watching TV shows and being told, or being told in any way, that that kind of degeneracy is basically normal and healthy...

PART 2 OF 5 ENDS [00:58:04]

Mark Collett:

Degeneracy is basically normal and healthy is something that upsets me. And I'm sure it upsets you. So that's something we've got in common. Yeah?

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

So I think that we can find common ground. I'm saying this in good faith, I did not know that you had such pro theocracy views. Could you agree that we need theocracy in the world? Even on a global level, we need theocracy. And Muslim countries need Sharia. Muslim countries need this kind of Islamic rule. That's very anti-

Mark Collett:

Yeah, but I want you guys to have Islamic rule. And I even acknowledge there's different types of Islam. There's Sunni Islam. I lost the name. There's Shiite and Sunni Islam. And I believe that the Sunni Muslims should be allowed to take their people in the direction they want, and the Shiite should be able to take



their people in the direction they want. I've even done full afternoon discussions with Muslims in Syria, where they've agreed with me and I've agreed with them. And we both said that together, as white nationalists and as Syrian Muslims, we want to stand united against the people that I agreed with. He agreed with me about the Zionist power. We said we wanted to stand together against the destruction of Syria. And I want the Shiite Muslims who live in Syria to take power into their hands. And if they want a theocracy and an Islamic theocracy, they should be allowed to have that, and good for them.

And if we, in the West, because I believe in a ethnostate in the West, if we believe in a Christian theocracy, and many of our ancestors enjoyed living in a Christian theocracy, where there was strong morals, people went to church, there was a community, good for them. In my book, *The Fall of Western Man*, I talk about the importance of the church, not just as a spiritual guide light for our people, but also as a moral guiding light and a cornerstone of the community. So I'm with you on that as well. I'm not fighting you on that, Daniel.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

So yeah, this is something that I'm surprised by. I did not know that you were so pro theocracy and pro... So it's a Protestant theocracy that you are in favor of, or is it non-denominational?

Mark Collett:

I think in the UK, I would say that people would largely be described as Christian, but that takes in Catholic, Protestant. And I am always raised Church of England. I'm a confirmed Christian. I'm a godfather to a little boy. I would go to church regularly, but our churches have been completely twisted. The people who run our churches push the liberalism. And one thing I also respect about Muslims is that, in your mosques, you reject liberalism, and you haven't let that liberalism creep in. And that's something I respect, because we have allowed that liberal poison to destroy our houses of worship it.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

So do you think there's any contradiction with being Muslim or becoming converting into Islam?

Mark Collett:

I think that we have slightly different value systems, as I said earlier. And there have been problems between Islamic communities and white communities in Britain. There have been, not as much by people from the Middle East, but specifically by Pakistani Muslims, who have done quite a number of... Well, a large number of sexual crimes towards white girls. Now, that's something I don't... Of course, I rail against that. I've spoken about that a lot. I don't think that is a good thing. I think that's a product of multiculturalism, but the people I blame for the most part for that is actually the British state for importing those people and largely covering up those crimes. But I haven't focused on that this evening, because I didn't want to get into that. And I didn't want to be put in a position where you and I were having some adversarial debate. I wanted to find more middle ground.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Well, just so we're clear, I condemn any grooming. I condemn Pakistanis or any kind of Muslim who engages in this kind of behavior. In fact, I think that they should be executed.

Mark Collett:

Well, can I make a point? Can I make a point?

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Go ahead.

Mark Collett:

I don't like the grooming gangs. Over 100,000 white British girls have been abused by these Islamic grooming gangs here in the UK. But I didn't really want to raise this, because I don't blame you for it, because you didn't do it. And you've been very honest. And you said you would execute those men because you don't believe what they're doing is right. Now, I'm going to say this to you. I believe that those men and women sitting in Parliament who are authorizing the bombing of the Middle East, who are authorizing arms being sent to all manner of groups who are causing terror in the Middle East at the behest of what I believe is the Zionist state of Israel. I am against those men and women, just as you said you were against the groomers. And I would have them, up in front of court, for war crimes. I would have people like Tony Blair in court up for war crimes. They do not represent me. I am a nationalist. I do not agree with people who want to destroy or rape the Middle East.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Yeah. So that's a lot of common ground over there on that issue. I mean, the thing about the point that I would make, not against you, because you made it very clear you believe in traditional Christianity, not liberalized Christianity. But the point I would make to someone who is not you, someone who is more in favor of the liberal enlightenment, I'd say that, "Look, even if you got rid of these grooming gangs, you still have widespread prostitution. You have widespread pornography. You have all these kinds of new apps that are constantly being developed to facilitate fornication." So this is-

Mark Collett:

I'd outlaw pornography. I made a video on pornography and it's called the Role in Pornography. You'll never guess who were overrepresented in the start of the porn industry. Well, it's that group again. And I'll tell you this. I would ban all internet pornography. I think it's an absolute scandal. And I think the kind of pornography being pumped out from America at the moment is some of the most corrosive, vile and degenerate filth you could ever imagine. And the fact that young boys grow up, and young girls grow up, from the age of 11 or 12, sometimes even younger, watching these movies and viewing these images on their smartphones, this is a scandal. This is child abuse.

This is corrosive, not just to my people, but also to your people. It's not just corrosive to Christians or whites. It's corrosive to Arabs. It's corrosive to Muslims. It's corrosive to Hindus and Sikhs. It's corrosive to the whole world. But again, I urge people to watch my video, Jewish Role in the Porn Industry, and have a look for yourself. You can watch it, Daniel, and you can write to me and say, "Mark, I still think you're wrong," but it's 20 minutes of your life. Have a look. See what these people say, see what they say is their motivation. I did it as a documentary. It's all cited with citations. I'm not saying this.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Even if it were Indians who are overrepresented in the porn industry, or this issue of over representation, I've conceded all of that. But the point that I would make is that this degeneracy has roots that go back 200 years. It starts with the Enlightenment, that leads step-by-step, like a domino effect, to the kind of degeneracy that we're seeing, the sexual revolution. So this kind of collective blame against Jews, it's not very coherent because there are other ethnic groups that are also overrepresented. In all of the degeneracy, when it comes to pornography, are whites globally overrepresented in the porn industry? Yes, they are, because the majority of the world is not white.

So this question of over representation, I feel like you're using it in an inconsistent way. But going back to the common ground, you've said that you disagree with the Enlightenment. You said that you disagree with these kinds of values of continuous progress, and human rights, this kind of international human rights, norms. So you've already agreed to all of that. And so I learned a lot about what your views on these things are. But again, I would say that you are a minority amongst whites-

Mark Collett:

But I'm not a minority-

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Even white nationalists.

Mark Collett:

But I'm not a minority amongst white nationalists.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Would someone like Richard Spencer-

Mark Collett:

You know that I am one of the leading voices amongst white nationalists worldwide, and certainly here in the UK. And I am one of the leading voices because I represent a huge number of people who feel very similar to the way I feel, and agree with, on the majority of things, when it comes to liberalism, when it comes to Zionism, when it comes to colonialism. None of us are colonialists. None of us are liberals. None of us are pro Zionism. We all believe in those overarching ideals that I stated in my introduction of people being able to live side by side, in different countries, trading with each other, letting each other flourish, learning from each other.

I am not saying I cannot learn anything from you or I have nothing that I can gain from you. And I'm sure there's some things may be able to learn from me or gain from me. But the fact is I also believe that it is a nefarious and very dangerous plot by people who are in charge of this globalist agenda to push Muslims into western nations to create conflict. And I do believe that creates conflict. I believe that when there are people living alongside each other, and there are significant differences between them, I believe that does create conflict.

And I believe this is being done to create conflict. I believe this is all part of a large plan. A certain group of people cause western nations to bomb the Middle East. This creates huge numbers of refugees. This creates large movements of people. The same group of people who call for these

bombing campaigns then say, "Oh, the West should open their borders and let all these people in." These people come in and then that creates a clash of cultures. It creates multicultural problems. And these problems basically bring about a clash of cultures within western nations. And what I'm saying is, if you took away the wars in the Middle East, if you took away mass immigration and you let people flourish in peaceful separation, things would be better for us all. I don't want conflict. I want the very opposite.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

I mean, I think the thing that Muslims will say, and what I'll say with your criticism of multiculturalism, is that Muslims haven't had the same experience, negative experience with multiculturalism. Muslims love multiculturalism. Because if you look at the Islamic empires even in history, they were very multicultural. But what made that work is a united belief in God, a united commitment to God, a commitment to religious practices and rituals. And this creates such a strong glue that people of different races, and ethnicities, and cultures can live very peacefully and flourish together in one geographic region. I agree with you completely that if you bring different cultures and races and you put them together without any kind of unifying belief, that that's going to lead to chaos. I agree with that. I agree with that.

Mark Collett:

But again, look at the people who pushing the multicultural agenda. And I'm not going to force you into letting me say who they are or anything like that. I'm just saying I would like everyone who's interested in what we're saying, look into this. Look into the people who have pushed for open borders, who have pushed for multiculturalism. And here's a tip. Here's a tip. The same people that have pushed for multiculturalism in the West are the same people who vehemently advocate for an ethnostate for themselves in the Middle East, and then turn a blind eye to the ethnic cleansing of the Palestinian people.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Yes, it's a big problem. It's a big problem. Zionism is a big problem. So, I mean, I want to ask you, since you're in favor of theocracy, do you believe in things like blasphemy laws? Or do you believe in punishing fornication or illegalizing sex outside of marriage? How are you exactly going to preserve some of these traditional institutions like marriage and family?

Mark Collett:

Well, that's very interesting. Now, I believe every nation or every group of people would have their own ways to institute such social changes or such social pressures. Now, in the UK, before liberalism took hold, these things didn't really need to be punished by law. They were punished by social ostracism. So if you had a woman who was dressing terribly, if you were having a woman who was going around the village or the town sleeping with every man or trying to sleep with married men, she would be ostracized. She would be shamed and everyone would ignore her. And that would push her out of any social circles. So these social pressures, which were basically led by thinking from the church or from a conservatist, conservatives, or traditionalist, this was pushed by the community. Now, that might be different to how things are done in the Middle East, but that was our way.

## *Focus on Western Islamism* [https:// islamism.news](https://islamism.news)

And now, what you see with liberalism is an attack on that. So if I had a son and I said, "Stay away from this girl. She sleeps around a lot." Liberals would say, "Mark's slut shaming her," in the same way they say... Basically, what liberalism has done is liberalism prevents you from shaming things that are shameful, but shames conservatives, traditionalists, and those who are religious for standing up for moral values. So it inverts shame and directs shame at people who aren't shameful, and directs shame away from people who, in fact, should be the people who bear the most shame.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Yeah. Muslims have the same kind of conception of shame and also social ostracization that prevents things leading up to the point where fornication happens or adultery happens. And veiling, for example, is part of that. Veiling, the concept of honor, the concept of separation of the genders, a practice of lowering the gays. These are all things to prevent fornication from happening. How would you deal with the atheism issue though? Because if you don't have any kind of restrictions on speech, preventing people from blaspheming, preventing people from agitating against the church or the Islamic religion, then this leads to a kind of a directionality of atheism within society. In this Christian ethnostate, how would that be addressed?

Mark Collett:

Well, I think what you've seen in the UK, and again, you've seen this primarily pushed by the mainstream media, is the public mockery of Jesus Christ, the public mockery of Christianity. And it's very interesting in the UK. And this is something you have to understand. And again, I'm very thankful you're giving me the chance to explain this. In the UK, there are five protected characteristics that you cannot insult people for. You cannot insult people on the basis of race, disability, gender, sexuality, or religious affiliation, but there are some exemptions to that.

When it comes to gender, you can insult men. When it comes to race, you can insult whites. When it comes to religion, you can insult Christians. So you have hideous shows on the BBC like Jerry Springer: The Opera, which portrays Jesus as a bizarre, perverse man child who walks around in a nappy wetting himself. Now, that is sickening. Now, in the UK, if you said that about Mohammad, you'd be put in prison. If you said that about somebody who was revered by Jews or Hindus, you'd be put in prison, but you can say this about Christianity.

And what I would say is that, ultimately, these sort of laws were never really needed in the UK, because we were very respectful, traditional conservative. But again, it's the media that's basically gone all out to turn Jesus Christ into the subject of ridicule. And that needs to be dialed back massively, because ultimately, I don't want people to be completely restricted and controlled. But at the same time, what is happening now, and this is what I'm trying to say to you, is that ultimately, anybody who takes a sledge hammer to decency, morality, and religion is a hero and is afforded free speech. But anyone who says, "Well, actually I stand for religion, morality, decency, conservatism, and traditionalism," is basically, at best, de-platformed from social media, and at worst, put in jail for it.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Well, Muslims take a little bit more stricter even when it comes to the insult of Jesus Christ, peace be upon him because Muslims know that he is a Messiah and the prophet. So even in Muslim societies, mocking Jesus or his mother, the Virgin Mary, this is met with execution, the death penalty, for things

*Focus on Western Islamism*  
[https:// islamism.news](https://islamism.news)

like this. But I mean, I don't think controlling media would be enough, because when we look at the history of blasphemy in Europe, look at a figure like Voltaire and the kind of things that he was saying to mock Christianity. Many of the Enlightenment thinkers, actually, had a very negative view of traditional Christianity in the cusp of the 18th century, 19th centuries.

So I think a more strict and less compromising punishment for those who engage in this kind of behavior, because they're agitators, and they are destroying the glue of society by undermining belief in God, by undermining belief in the religion through mockery. So to prevent atheism, to prevent deviance and heresy, there needs to be a very strong response. This is what Islam offers. And blasphemy, I think, was punished within Christian history. It certainly was. Heresy was punished also with capital punishment, actually. So-

Mark Collett:

Well, one of the ways we used to deal with things in western nations is we had huge amounts of etiquette, manners, and politeness was something that was drummed into you when you were very, very small. When I was a small child, the idea of people swearing in public or swearing in front of a woman would be absolutely frowned upon. The idea of saying something that would be seen as grossly offensive to somebody's religion would be frowned upon. But the problem is now, as I said, this has been popularized. This has been made to be cool. And in my book, I state this: Ultimately, all of the good role models we used to have, so the father figures, the religious leaders, the community leaders, strong, heroic national heroes, all of those things have been torn down. They have been mocked, they have been ridiculed, and they've been put in the bin. That then creates a vacuum.

Our enemies then ably fit all that vacuum, by placing on a pedestal, degeneracy, madness, overindulgence, individualism, hedonism, sexualization. And our young people, instead of looking at the good things they used to, look at the terrible things that been put laced in front of them. And young people are very impressionable. They then emulate those new, terrible role models with all those terrible characteristics and they become terrible. And I believe that Islam and Christianity both sought to place good, healthy role models for their people on a pedestal. But those role models have been toppled.

And people are social animals. We are social animals and we copy what is in front of us. So if you put evil in front of us, we begin to be twisted to that evil. If you put good in front of us, we then shape ourselves to be like the good that we see. So this is what I meant with the TV. The TV always places, evil, degeneracy, sex, obesity, madness, drug abuse, alcoholism. Every ill you could think of in the world is put in front of our people, not as an ill or an evil, but as a virtue by the television.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

No, I completely agree that degeneracy is amplified through mass media, through Hollywood with the most disgusting kinds of role models that are put in front of people. The music industry is another one. So the thing is that, unfortunately, this kind of-

Mark Collett:

Can I ask you a question?

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Yeah.

Mark Collett:

You don't have a daughter, do you?

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

No.

Mark Collett:

But if you did have a daughter, you wouldn't want her watching music videos by Cardi B, would you?

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

No.

Mark Collett:

It would terrify you. I have a daughter. We do not let her have screen time. The only time she sees a screen is when she calls her grandma for a video call. That's it. Because we don't want her growing up twerking. We don't want her growing up seeing people on music videos that are wearing skirts like belts and thinking that's the best way to draw a male's attention, because these things are poisoned. But again, I urge you, I urge you, Daniel, look at the names of the people who run the music industry. Because this is perverting our people and it will eventually pervert yours if every Islamic nation is eventually subjected to the same ills that the West is. And if you've got any friends or if you've got any family members, or if you've got any people here in the chat from the Middle East, I want to speak to them as well.

Because I'm going to say to these guys something you would not expect me to say. Look at the West, look at how mighty proud and decent we were. Look how we fell from grace, look how we fell into madness, and do not let your nations go the same way. Do not sit a TV in every corner of every room of your house. Do not let the media in. Do not let your nations and your people go down the same route that we have, because if you do, you will end up like us. And something that people always say to me, they say that often, Muslims have a certain degree of contempt for the West, because they see us as corrupted, and weak, and perverse, and hedonistic, and materialistic, and individualistic. Yes. But the same will happen to you if you end up worshiping the television instead of your religion.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

But it's not just television. Again, we don't want to talk about the long colonial history, because a lot of the feminism liberalization came in the 19th century to the Muslim world by force. And the laws that were made to deliberately liberalize, secularize Muslims. Look at the statements of Lord Cromer. Lord Cromer, Evelyn Baring, his whole project was to secularize Muslims by making them adopt the sensibilities of this kind of liberal European aristocratic class. This is the history of the Middle East.

And today, it's also not the influence of just television and social media. Those are definitely, as you say, a huge influence, but you have international human rights law. You have NGOs, NGOs that are going, many of them are funded through actual western governments. The EU funds a lot of these NGOs

who go to the Muslim world, preaching feminism, telling women that, "You need to leave your family. You need to leave the home and actually go work, and make money, and become independent."

Changing all kinds of marriage laws, changing all kinds of inheritance laws, destroying basically the traditional Islamic laws that maintain cohesive families, a strong family, strong marriages. This is happening at the hands of liberalism. But we agree. We agree that it's liberalism that-

Mark Collett:

Yeah, but I've got to say-

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Whether it's liberalized [crosstalk 01:25:45] Jews, overrepresented, liberal Jews, we can concede that. Even if it's Muslims, even if it's Indians. Liberalized Indians and Muslims are now a big part of this program, unfortunately. I'm constantly fighting with them. I'm constantly arguing against these liberalized Muslims, if you can call them Muslims, they're more just brown people, who are pushing feminism, who are pushing secularism, democracy, onto traditional Muslim size, trying to outlaw blasphemy laws that we have, that we did have in the past, have laws in Islamic law against fornication, against homosexuality, trying to imitate the opposite gender. All of these things are prohibited in Islam, yet, those are being undone by these different groups that share one common thread, which is liberalism at a devotion to this liberal ideology.

But I mean, there's one other point that I think should be emphasized, because I think you agree with me, we're on common ground, the same page when it comes to liberal values. But part of liberal values is the idea of scientific progress, scientific progress and industrialization. So even if we were to have these separate states, these separate...

PART 3 OF 5 ENDS [01:27:04]

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

... we were to have these separate states, these separate quasi ethno-religious states, there is still the question of technological progress. I'm not an anarchical primitivist, but there is a lot to say about how technological progress, if it is left unchecked, will lead to great social ill and social harm, and even threatens the human race when we talk about transhumanism or we talk about uploading the consciousness to the cloud or genetic engineering or nuclear weapons, weapons of mass destruction, mass surveillance. All of these things are the fruits roots of scientific progressivism and the industrial revolution. That's also a big part of the equation. I'm interested to hear your take on this question of technology, or white nationalists in general, what do they think about the future of technology?

Mark Collett:

Oh, well, I think you'll find that we agree on that as well. Now, that is something that you might not have thought I'd say, but I deal with a lot of young men, young women as well, but usually young men who have fallen into bad habits. A lot of young people today who have fallen into bad habits have fallen into those habits due to the fact that technology is no longer a tool for us, which is what technology should be. Technology should be a tool that we wield, but now it's almost like technology is something that controls us, if you know what I mean. We are not using technology as a tool. Technology has grown into



such a pervasive force that it surrounds us completely, it cuts us off from our friends, our family, our community, our religion. It ultimately makes us more individualistic, it makes us more atomized.

Especially with young men, they become addicted to computer games, they become addicted to watching screens, they don't go outside, they are no longer fit and healthy, they put on weight, they stop doing sports, they stop doing exercise. For young women, young women use technology in a different way. Often they watch gossipy shows, soap operas, and then play out their own soap operas on social media, where they become exhibitionists, where they show off more and more of their body on Facebook and Instagram and Twitter. They then use sites like Only Fans to sell their knickers and their naked pictures and they become the stars of their own little social media drama world. All of this has come from technology.

Now, it's very interesting because we talk about ethnostates, but Japan is quite close to being an ethnostate, but has been ravaged by the same issue as we are talking of now. It's been ravaged by the issue of technology taking over people's lives and separating people from their communities and also the natural world. I think that whilst technology is a wonderful thing, technology must be something held in check and people must never lose their connection with the natural world, their family, or their community, because if they do, and if they let technology become their master, they will lose their way in life and they will end up destroying themselves.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Yeah, so there's a lot of common ground on that as well. A lot of white nationalists, or maybe they're white supremacists, not you, I'm not characterizing your views, but they have this attitude that non-whites are primitive or they do not have the ability to create a beautiful civilization, in large part because they do not have the IQ or they do not have the analytic mind that is capable. My position on that is I can concede that there are differences between different races, but we're all created by God and we all have the capacity to be righteous, to be pious servants of God and worship him alone without partners, and concede that, yeah, there are different races. We're created with different tongues, we're different languages, different colors of the skin, and different maybe racial capacities or personalities. I can concede all of that. Muslims can concede all of that, while recognizing that everyone has something valuable to offer, everyone has this capacity to be servants of God and everyone can contribute to a very flourishing, robust society and civilization. This is what we see in the history of Islam.

But this focus on individualism and high IQ, analytic ability, some might argue against white nationalism, that if we allow whites to have their own country and their own ethnostate, what will happen is exactly what happened in the history of Europe. They will secularize because of inherent white racial characteristics that they have, they will secularize, they will develop technologies because of the high IQ actually, and this will eventually lead to an existential threat for the rest of the world. This is actually what we are experiencing with transhumanism and weapons of mass destruction.

What's ironic is that you do have white nationalists, not you, but others who will have this triumphalist attitude towards technology, like, "Yes, we went to the moon. We invented the airplane, the car, the computer." All of these kinds of technologies that have fundamentally transformed human life and human nature and will lead to the destruction of human kind overall, these are things that you're praising and feeling like they are great successes for the white race. But I know that from what you're saying that you don't agree with that.

*Focus on Western Islamism*  
[https:// islamism.news](https://islamism.news)

Mark Collett:

No, look, I think invention and technology is a great thing, but that technology has to be used for good. If you invent an airplane and you use that airplane to move medical equipment around and save people's lives, great. If you use it to drop bombs on children, that's not great, that's evil. I think it's about the way technology is used. Rather than technology being held in check and being used as a tool and being used wisely, it has now been unleashed in a way where the technology rules us and we don't rule the technology. It's almost as if the tool is controlling us. But again, if you have problems with social networks, if you have problems with Google, if you have problems with YouTube, if you have problems with Facebook and what they're doing to people, I urge you again, look at the names of the people who run these-

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

I know Mark, I know Mark.

Mark Collett:

Okay, bring Zuckerberg. Look at the woman who runs YouTube, she's one of them.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

But the thing is that this technology though, you can't predict how it will be used and what direction it'll be taken, you also can't predict the effect that it will have on society in advance. It's not just a matter of this technology, like the phone or the airplane, is only going to be used for good and not evil. The technology has this kind of deep effect on the way that human beings live their lives and necessarily leads to this destruction of certain ways of life, birth control is an example. Birth control is something that, yeah, you introduce it into a society that is against fornication, is against adultery, is against degeneracy at large, yet that technology has this ripple effect that leads to sexual revolution, that leads to women in the workplace, that leads to all these bad results that you could not have predicted in advance even though there was no intention to use birth control to promote fornication or any of these things, or like the birth control pill, for example.

This is the danger of technology. Obviously this is not my argument, this is a very common argument that's made against technological innovation and the capitalistic engine that drives it through research and development and usurious loans and investments. That's the nature of capitalism, but I think that you're against all of this.

Mark Collett:

Yeah, of course. I've got to say, with things like the birth control pill, with things like abortion, a lot of what this does is it removes consequence from action. I'm sure, as a religious man, you acknowledge that actions have consequences. The consequences of any action should weigh on the mind of the individual before they commit to that action, but if you remove all consequence from action, you create people who just do what they will at any time to pursue pleasure because you removed consequence.

At one point, if a young woman and a young man were to lay with each other and were to have sexual relations, they would understand there was a consequence to that. The consequence was that there was a child. Society would look in on those people and say, "If you do this and it results in a child,

*Focus on Western Islamism*  
[https:// islamism.news](https://islamism.news)

you two must come together, form a partnership and bring up that child properly," and social pressure would push them together. But what has happened is the powers that be have removed consequence from action, and the result of removing consequence from action is madness, because then people can do or whatever they want without ever suffering consequence. Then those people simply become like animals and pursue endless pleasure, knowing that there can never be a consequence to that pleasure.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

[inaudible 01:37:31].

Mark Collett:

That is bad for our people and it takes our people away then from morality, religion, family, all of the things that you rightly put on a pedestal.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Yeah. I mean, utilitarianism, John Stewart Mill, this is exactly the philosophy of putting primacy on bodily pleasures and using science and technology to create new means to increase pleasure and reduce pain or duties and burdens that human life is all about. I would place that also at the beginning of the Enlightenment within Britain and France.

But I just want to open up the discussion, I want to ask you if there's anything difficult that you want to discuss, we have a lot of common ground, things to clarify about Islam, something that you disagree with Islam about, you mentioned veiling, for example, women veiling. This is something that it offends maybe your sensibilities or the sensibilities of other whites, but I find that an ironic position, because I don't know if you've seen this footage of factory workers within London at the turn of the 20th century, it's a very old footage that shows English women covering their face. They have shawls over their hair, you can't see their hair and they're actually covering their face in public as they're walking. This is something that you find within European history, in many cultures, not just European culture. British heritage, or English heritage actually, includes veiling and covering of the face of women in public. It's a modesty issue, it's something that civilized people do, and unfortunately that has been taken away because of liberalism. But anyway, if you want to respond to that or if you have-

Mark Collett:

No, I think there are differences between Islam and the West, I think Western values. If you look at Western art, if you look at architecture, if you look at sculpture, in the West, we have always deified the female form. Now, that is not so in Islam. That's a difference and that's a cultural difference. Now, I'm not saying your way is wrong and I'm not saying our way is right for you. Your way is right for you, our way is right for us. It's a mutual respect really.

That's how I really want to build a bridge with you, because I am not a cultural supremacist, I'm not a white supremacist. I don't want to be supreme over anyone. I mean, we all nominally believe that we are right in what we do and we look towards the things that we enjoy or the things that we subscribe to as the best, as you naturally would, but that doesn't mean you can't respect others. I don't believe anyone should be supreme over anybody else.

*Focus on Western Islamism*  
[https:// islamism.news](https://islamism.news)

I think the point is that where there are differences, peaceful separation is better than being forced into the same small area and often cultural conflicts then arise. For example, I am absolutely happy for Muslim women to be veiled in Muslim countries. I'm absolutely happy for the call from prayer towers to go out at 6:00 AM or whatever time of the day or night in Muslim countries. I'm happy for mosques to dominate the skyline in Muslim countries. But here, in the UK, I like the sound of church bells and I like the cathedral to dominate the skyline. That doesn't mean I hate mosques, it doesn't mean I hate Muslim women, it doesn't mean I hate Muslim prayer. It just means I believe that the place for those things is not here.

In the same way, if a group of people decided to go to a Muslim nation, started demanding that churches were put up or walking down the street in cut-off shorts showing their bum cheeks and you guys said, "No, no, no, no, no. You'll going to jail for doing this," I would say absolutely those people deserve to go to jail, because they were forcing something upon you that was not for you.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Yeah. In the future, you might not prefer to see these mosques in the skyline or the call to prayer, but is it possible, conceivable, that-

Mark Collett:

By the way, I just want to make a point here because people in the chat are saying about head scarfs. I don't have any problem with people wearing like a head scarf. What I have a problem with is the full face veil, where they completely cover their face and you can only see their eyes. That's what I'm talking about when I say the veil.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Yeah, but that was practiced within European history. You can see the footage yourself, it's historical footage.

Mark Collett:

Okay, but as I said-

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

It's not hands free, so to speak, they actually were bringing, the English women bringing the shawl over their face. That's the way that women used shawls historically. Even in depictions of the Virgin Mary you'll see that she's wearing hijab basically, she's covering her hair, but it wasn't that women, like the Virgin Mary herself, were going out with their faces exposed. That's the most beautiful part of a woman, is her face. Actually, the shawl is used to shield the face from the gaze of strange men. I would argue that's a part of Christian modesty norms and European, if you go back far enough, it's also a part of European history as well.

There was another point that I wanted to make. Yeah, in the future, imagine Islam somehow, without immigration, in the future Christian ethnonationalist state, you have Islam somehow spreads, it's just compelling to Britains or Europeans at that time, and in the future, Islam becomes the majority religion, even though it's a white ethnostate. Then those individuals will not have a problem seeing mosques and the call to prayer.

Mark Collett:

No, no. Well, if that was to happen, if that was to happen and that was a natural spread, that would be something. That would be nature taking its course and you would have to concede that. My issue with multiculturalism, and this is the key to what I've said all along today, is the multiculturalism that we are seeing, the mass immigration we are seeing, is not a natural phenomenon. It is completely state-endorsed, establishment controlled, and it has been something that is been driven at a unnatural level. I mean, I've given the facts on this before. Largely, it's also driven, as I said, by these Zionist-led wars in the Middle East, which again, are not a natural occurrence. I'm not arguing about theoretical natural spreads of theology in the future. What I'm arguing against is forced forms of multiculturalism that come about from what I believe to be this undue Zionist influence.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Yeah, so you don't see ethnonationalism being contradictory to Islam. It's just this is the heritage that you are familiar with, the heritage that you want to preserve because of your personal experience, it does not include Islam, but that's not a principled objection that you have to Islam as a religion. You could very well imagine a Islamic Britain in the future, as long as it's happening organically, people are accepting it based on how compelling it is, that's something that you don't have a problem with.

Mark Collett:

Yeah. Essentially, yes. I do also think, I know that different groups of Christians express themselves slightly different. There's the Russian orthodoxy, there's the Church of England, there's the Greek orthodoxy, and there are small differences between interpretations of the same religion. Catholics and Protestants have different interpretations, Sunni and Shia Muslims have different interpretations. That also makes up part of the ethnostate. For example, I would expect Greeks and the Greek orthodoxy to have a slightly different expression of their religion to the Russian orthodoxy or to those who follow the Church of England or those who are Catholics.

Now, it's the same with any religion. I would expect Sunnis and Shiites to have a different interpretation of that religion. That also makes up part of what the ethnostate is. Ethnostates are quite complex things that take into account more than just race, they take into account ethnicity, which is also culture, it's tradition, it's something that is deeper than just race. Race is people of European descent, but not all people of European descent or identical. Languages are components of the ethnostate. There's lots of things, it's a complex thing. It's something that would be very difficult to absolutely unpack and to quantify in a two-hour YouTube discussion.

But yeah, if there was a natural change amongst people that happened organically, I don't think anything can stop that. But what I'm saying is all of the changes we are seeing now, that we are both railing against, are not organic. They are led by the mainstream media and by the group that I've mentioned before. That is something very, very different to organic theological changes within populations.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Yeah. I mean, we have a problem with what it is happening in the world today, even though we disagree on the ultimate source of that, but that's something that can be discussed in a very rational, calm way.

Yeah, so the thing about a future Muslim Europe, that's something that I don't know if all white nationalists would agree with, but it's very interesting hearing your perspective on that.

But when it comes to multiculturalism, did you agree with the point that if you have different cultures, they can come together under one religion and that not be a conflict? It's only in the situation where you have no religion, you've secularized and you're bringing all of these people from different cultures who have no common ground, that can create conflict and chaos, I agree with that, but if there's a unifying religion, if there's a unifying belief in God, do you think that can work or do you think that still would necessarily wind into conflict?

Mark Collett:

I think often you have different groups that take on the same religion, but the expression of that religion is slightly different because of the groups in question. For example, if Christianity was introduced to Sub-Saharan Africans, I think they would have a very different expression of Christianity than, say, Europeans. In the same way that if Christianity was introduced to people in the Far East, they would have a different expression of Christianity than either Europeans or Sub-Saharan Africans. I do think there is a racial and cultural tint to any religion.

For example, here in the West, we celebrate Christmas. Many of the traditions of Christmas are actually not rooted completely within Christianity, but are part of a merging of our ancient pagan cultures and pagan traditions and modern Christianity, which was imported to the West. That tells you that if Christianity had taken root elsewhere, it wouldn't have developed in exactly the same way due to the difference between people and the cultures that came before the religion that then goes on to become dominant. Had Christianity first gone to the Far East, it is doubtful that we would've seen Christmas trees, because Christmas trees were part of basically a pagan belief, it was something that was rooted in pre-Christianity. Do you see what I mean?

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Yeah, but with maybe Christianity there's not enough similarity between these different groups, like Coptic Christians, for example, or Christians in Latin America versus the ones in Europe, but that might be because of Christianity as a religion. But I don't see how those kinds of cultural differences are something that couldn't be overcome on the basis of shared brotherhood, because my understanding of Christianity is that's universalist, it's not ethnonationalist actually.

Mark Collett:

Well, no, no. What I'm saying is there are differences between Sunni and Shia Muslims, is that correct?

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Yes.

Mark Collett:

And sometimes they war against each other, despite the fact that they both serve Allah, they both believe in the prophet Mohammed and they both would claim to be devout Muslims. Is that correct?

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Yes.

Mark Collett:

Yeah. It's the same within Christianity, that there are different interpretations of the Bible and there are different expressions of Christianity that sometimes lead to conflict amongst Christians. There is obviously the well known clash between Catholics and Protestants in Ireland and Northern Ireland. I think that's when you start to take into account the complex nature of ethnicity, which is more than just race, it's more than just religion. It takes into account multiple different factors and creates ethnic groups, which are defined across multiple lines, and then sometimes there are conflicts. A Coptic Christian might not agree with somebody who's part of the Russian orthodoxy in the same way a Shiite might not agree with a Sunni. I do believe that Shiites and Sunnis have had sizeable conflicts in the past, yet if you sat them both down and said, "How much do you love your God? How much do you believe in Mohammed?" they would both [crosstalk 01:52:23].

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

The difference means Sunnis and Shiites, Shiites, as you say, they're theological, they're not cultural. In fact, they share the same culture, Arab culture or countries like in Syria, like in Lebanon, like in Iran even. They are the same culture, but the conflict is on the basis of theology. That's not a counter example to my point.

Mark Collett:

Again, I would say there's sometimes a little bit of an overlap there. You would say that the Catholics and the Protestants, they would say that their differences were theological primarily, but when you look at their expression of Christianity, there are cultural differences too.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Those cultural differences cannot be overcome because of shared Protestantism or Catholicism?

Mark Collett:

But I'm saying, for me, there's more than just theological differences between Catholics and Protestants, there's also culture and tradition.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Yeah, you can find differences between any given person, any given group, there's always going to be differences, but the question is how different can people be and still come together in a cohesive and unified way. I think that as long as there is a very strong glue, a unifying force in the form of a very compelling theology, a very compelling history of preservation of the religion, this is what we find in Islam, that is strong enough glue to bring people who are very different in many different ways, whether it be culturally, ethnically, racially, culturally, so forth, to still come together and form a very strong community and nation, civilization.

Even from the beginning of Islam, it was very culturally diverse. You had not just Arabs in the Arabian Peninsula at the beginning of Islam. You had Persians, you had Christians, there were Jews as well. You had all kinds of different ethnicities and races that were able to come together and unite,

which united the Arabian Peninsula and eventually led to the expansion and spread of Islam, absorbing even more cultures, even more ethnicities and races. It's the religious belief that's able to accomplish that despite vast differences in culture and race.

Mark Collett:

I think things like that happen on a case-by-case basis. Sometimes people can overcome differences and come together and sometimes be people can't. Obviously, the more similar you are to another group of people, the more likely you are to overcome differences. I'll give you an example of how I would base or how I would talk about things from my perspective, I would say that small amounts of immigration from a country like Sweden of indigenous Swedes into the UK would cause less upheaval than immigration from Sub-Saharan Africa, because there are more similarities between indigenous Brits and indigenous Swedes than there are between indigenous Brits and people from, say, Somalia. I think the more similar you are, obviously the more likely you are to get along. That seems like a very simple thing when you boil it down to such a basic and one-line answer, but that's ultimately what it does come down to. The more similar you are, the less likely you are to fall out, essentially. But even-

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

But there's benefits, there are benefits to being with people that are different from you.

PART 4 OF 5 ENDS [01:56:04]

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

There are benefits to being with people that are different from you, that are coming from a different context, a different mentality, a different culture, there are benefits. I know it's cliché to say diversity is our strength, but for Muslims that literally was the case, arguably, it was the diversity of Muslim from different cultures, different backgrounds coming together under one ummah or one nation that gave Muslims a lot of strength and ability to spread and become one of the most powerful and dominant civilizations in world history.

Mark Collett:

But there weren't many unifying in factors as well. I mean, obviously we're not going to agree on everything and I think we've agreed on a lot. I don't know how you intend to carry this on, obviously we've been going for two hours. Do you read out the super chat soon or [crosstalk 01:56:54].

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

We can go to questions if you have any.

Mark Collett:

Obviously, I should imagine, I've seen you've had quite a few super chats so obviously originally we said we were going to sort of do two to three hours. I just didn't want this to end up going [crosstalk 01:57:07].

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Yeah, we can wind things down. I think that we-



Mark Collett:

I think we've agreed on most [crosstalk 01:57:12].

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

We agreed on most things, we agreed on [crosstalk 01:57:14].

Mark Collett:

- I've enjoyed it.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Yeah. I've enjoyed it as well. We agreed on liberalism and the enlightenment, we agreed even on technology. We agreed that there is this ultimate problem of degeneracy in liberalization, we disagree on the cause of that and the origins of that.

Mark Collett:

Yeah.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

So we agree on quite a bit, actually, much more than I expected. So let me just find-

Mark Collett:

I'm happy about that as well. I didn't come here to make enemies, I came here to make friends, I can assure you of that. I came here in good faith because I wanted to reach out to your audience because I don't want your audience fighting with my audience and I don't want our people at each other's throats. I don't want white Christians and Muslims killing each other to further the aims and objectives of another group that I'm not going to mention. I want us to shake hands, acknowledge our differences, acknowledge our commonalities and peacefully separate for the good of both of our groups. And I want both of our groups to prosper, I came here in good faith to say that, because I think you've got a sizeable following and I don't want your following to be my enemy.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Well, thank you for that, Mark. I appreciate it. I invited you in good faith as well and I wanted to have a productive discussion because I think that you are reasonable and you can follow an argument and respond and share your perspective in a reasonable and coherent fashion. So let me just read some of these super chats. [Tasin Bakes 01:58:55] says the Muslim populations in the West and standard of living in the West is only better due to the colonialism that happened in the past. What is the moving forward plan in his point of view? So I guess this is directed to you, Mark.

Mark Collett:

I think the standard of living in the West is a product of the hard work of people who lived in the West. And when people talk about colonialism, when people talk about slavery, I've done large presentations on that before. Huge numbers of white people lived in absolutely the worst conditions under capitalism, colonialism is a result of capitalism. Capitalism is something that I find absolutely disgusting. I know that

*Focus on Western Islamism*  
[https:// islamism.news](https://islamism.news)

many Muslims, absolutely [inaudible 01:59:40] and fight against usury and they fight against global capitalism, which is something else white nationalists fight against, it's something else we would have commonality. We don't believe that a globalized banking system serves our people, colonialism, land grabs, et cetera, were not a product of white people, were product of global capitalism. And again, I would ask you politely to look into who owns your banks and who are the people behind the global capitalist system.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Yeah, I think that the colonial question goes back to the whole technology question that if white ethnostates were to be created tomorrow, there would be such a huge power discrepancy that this would threaten the rest of the world as it did with the scientific revolution.

Mark Collett:

I can tell you now, my friend, if I was in charge of the UK tomorrow, and I was in complete control as if I was a dictator or an emperor or something like that, you have my word on my life I would not be bombing or brutalizing any Muslim countries. You would never ever see British troops jumping out of planes, landing boats on your shores, driving tanks across your plains or bombing or destroying your towns and cities. I have no desire for any conflicts with you.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Yeah, I think that you've made that clear, but the question is the historical nature. I don't want to call it reparations, but you admit that there is a great deal of wealth that the West has been able to achieve because of colonialism. You can't think that only whites are the ones, and Europeans, who have worked hard and the rest of the world is at such a lower level GDP capita because other people don't work hard.

Mark Collett:

No, I don't believe it's a matter of working hard, I don't believe you're lazy people, I'm not saying you're lazy people. Different people develop in different ways, that's one of the beauties of the world. That's what I'm saying, it's not about supremacy. There are certain tribes out there in the world today who have never developed technology and have lived off the land within a circle of nature and some people might look at those people and look down their noses at them and feel superior to them because they live in a house with a large flat screen TV, and they've got two cars on the drive, et cetera, and they're surrounded by technology and they cloth themselves in all kinds of finery. I don't actually see the world like that, I see different tribes who have achieved different levels of technological advancement as ultimately the masters of their destiny. I don't look down on those people, they have their place in the world and if that is where they are, and they are, as a people, happy with that, living in content, that is up to them. I'm not saying I look down on anyone.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Yeah. So it's a matter of addressing the historical, what has happened in the past and what kind of responsibility there is for that. Let me see. I'm not seeing any questions in the super chats. So one person says, "Mark, some Muslims would argue that you'd still have a big foot in liberalism. If you don't criminalize liberal values like LGBT, blasphemy, social shame would not be sufficient."

Mark Collett:

Social shame was always sufficient in the West, however I do believe certain things do need to be criminalized and certain things do absolutely need to be, for want of a better term, pushed back in the closet. I'll give you an example. If you look at what happens at some of these LGBT pride parades, you have naked men walking down the street performing sex acts on other naked men in full view of the public, often in the full view of children that liberal parents take to these horrible events. Now, I would say that we can all agree that we don't want those kind of grotesque acts taking place openly on our streets.

I have published pictures of men performing sex acts on men at these events in front of children and said to people, "This is child abuse." And I believe that people doing that should be prosecuted as child abusers and parents who take their children to sexually explicit gatherings or events should also be looked into by social services because that is child abuse. So yes, certain things should be criminalized, I'm absolutely in agreement with you. If you are an adult, whatever your sexual persuasion, and you are performing sex acts in front of children, you should be in jail. It's as simple as that.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Well, I think sodomy was criminalized throughout the Western world until very recently, only the past 20 years in America, at least, you have states that criminalized same sex acts, specifically sodomy, within Texas, for example, and other states up until 2001. So criminalization of unnatural sex acts has been a feature of Western history, which makes it so ironic when some of these liberals or even liberal white nationalists will criticize Islam for the hudud, the physical punishments, the corporal punishments that we have because of wanting to regulate and criminalize degeneracy.

Mark Collett:

Yeah, I don't criticize you for that. In fact, I have seen people who call themselves British nationalists who have criticized Iranians, who have criticized other Islamic nations for, essentially, stoning child abusers to death. I don't believe that child abusers should have any rights and I also don't believe anyone in Britain should be legislating for what anyone in Iran does. It's as simple as that. That is up to those guys and I personally, as an individual, think that if any adult is abusing a child, that adult should face, ultimately, the death penalty. So I agree with that.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Okay. I'm not going to ask that question involving a certain historical events and [crosstalk 02:07:03].

Mark Collett:

Yeah, you don't want me telling my opinions on that because your channel will get banned.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Yeah. I think this is a comment. Historically cultures have always mixed to a certain extent, the question relies on what level of mixing is too much. We all deserve to preserve our cultures and beliefs within reasonable and respectable boundaries, I believe. Thank you for the super chat and the comment. Let's see.

*Focus on Western Islamism*  
[https:// islamism.news](https://islamism.news)

Here's a question for me. So Daniel, do you agree with Mark's opinion of banning immigration? So anyone who's familiar with my channel knows that I'm constantly railing against what is the cesspool that the West has become, whether it's Europe or America. And that actually has discouraged Muslims from coming to the West. Muslims who ask me, Muslims in Egypt, for example, or Iran or other parts of the Muslim world, "Should I come to the west?" And I tell them, "No, if you want to preserve your religion, you want to preserve the religion of your children, don't come to this liberal secular cesspool, this wasteland." And just last week, I did an interview with a Muslim in Sweden, he was in Syria and the Swedish government actually encouraged many Syrians to come as refugees to Sweden.

So he brought his fam, his wife and kids to Sweden and quickly realized that this was a major mistake because the liberal Swedish state has this draconian policy of monitoring basically all families, not just Muslim families, also non-Muslim families to make sure that they are teaching certain kinds of liberal values and making sure that children are properly indoctrinated, basically. And it's led to a crisis of their child protective services, what's called [Soci L 02:09:14], taking children, arguably disproportionately Muslim children, from their parents on ridiculous things like, "The parents are saying that homosexuality is wrong, that fornication is wrong. This is abuse. This is child abuse." So they're taking these children and so the whole Muslim community to there with young children is living in fear, such that many of them are going back to Syria, they're going back to this war zone. And this Muslim brother that I interviewed saying that, "Yeah, I took my children back to Syria and when we landed at the airport in Syria, I made sejda, I prostrated thanking God that we're out of that nightmare that we were in in Sweden."

And yeah, that was his story, it was a very moving and shocking story because unfortunately this image is portrayed of the liberal West being a bastion of all of these values and prosperity but the true picture is very, very grim in comparison. But I also wanted to say that, yeah, most Muslims would not want to come to the West if it weren't for that being their only choice, whether they're choosing death or near death or living not at subsistence levels of life. That's why they're coming to these countries, not because they think that this is a paradise, it's they have no choice. So I can definitely understand why they do it even though I try to discourage it myself. Myself, I was born here, by the way, just as a background, my parents came here, I would say it was for economic reason but yeah, that's my situation.

Mark Collett:

I've sent you a super chat that I thought was quite interesting from Khalid [02:11:23].

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

The UAE 2020?

Mark Collett:

Yeah.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Your government allows immigrants to flow into Europe so why do you blame immigrants it's your government that you should blame for letting them in? I think you have done that.

*Focus on Western Islamism*  
[https:// islamism.news](https://islamism.news)

Mark Collett:

Yeah, I want to make this also very clear. I don't actually blame Muslims or any other immigrant groups that come to the West. I believe mass immigration is something that has been completely created and stage managed by the globalists and it has been driven largely from the Middle East because of Zionist wars. I do not blame migrants who come here, I do not hate migrants who come here, I do not wish ill on migrants who come here. I simply want to end immigration and I want to do that by resting power from the hands of people in my government. I see the greatest threat to my people to be government, and the government is part of this illusory political divide. And we talked earlier about democracy not working well, I've made videos on how democracy doesn't work and how a two party system presents the illusion of choice without actually giving people a genuine choice. So I do not hate immigrants and I do not blame immigrants wanting to come to the West.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Okay. Thank you, Mark. One final question from the super chat. Why doesn't Mark look into Islam as potentially the truth for him? Islam solves all the problems that he lists and has a legal system. If you can prove that Islam is true, what stops him from accepting it?

Mark Collett:

I'm a Christian, I'm not Muslim. I was brought up Christian, I was educated that way, those were the hymns that we sang in school, those were the lessons that we were taught in our assemblies. And that means something to me, that is part of my culture, that's part of my heritage, that is part of my tradition. I think that Christianity has shaped the West in a certain way, as I've said, the West also shaped Christianity. And I think that's something in me in the same way that I think Muslims find that there is something in Islam for them and that's a deeply personal choice. However, and for some people they would say it wasn't a choice that it was something that was just within them, that they didn't actually choose it, that God chose them.

Now, one thing I'll say about this is I would be happy to read the Quran and I'm always happy to go into things with an open mind, but I don't read many books. I'm actually somebody who's usually very, very busy and the amount of time I have for reading isn't great. But despite not being a Muslim, as I've said many times during this last sort of two and a half, pushing two and a half hours, I am not against Islam and I do believe Islam has a place in the world. And I want to make a point because a lot of people keep conflating me with say Tommy Robinson or the anti [jihad 02:14:25] movement or Anne Marie Waters. Tommy Robinson, Anne Marie Dorothy Waters and the anti jihad movement hate me as much as they hate you. They hate me, they despise me, I am blacklisted from their events, they've made documentaries about how much they hate me. Tommy Robinson made an entire documentary called How To Burn A Nazi where he attacked me and basically told all of his supporters not to listen to me.

I am not in that camp, those people do not like me, they disavow ethnic nationalism. They stand for liberalism, they stand, in many ways, people like Anne Marie Waters, she is in fact a lesbian, she stands for LGBT rights. I do not stand for those things, that is not ethnonationalism and those people would not even regard themselves or describe themselves as ethnonationalist. So there's a huge difference there and I've got to also say this, Tommy Robinson, Anne Marie Waters and the anti jihad movement, the people who demonize you for being Muslims, are all big supporters of Zionism. Tommy

*Focus on Western Islamism*  
[https:// islamism.news](https://islamism.news)

Robinson is a massive supporter of Zionism, Anne Marie Waters, her political movement used to have a whole section in its manifesto about her support for the state of Israel and Jihad Watch, the group that people are talking about in the chat or have talked about in the chat, they are run by Pamela Geller, who is Jewish.

She lives in America and they are funded by a group called the Middle East Forum, which is a Zionist led forum that funds people like Tommy Robinson, Anne Marie Waters and other parts of that particular group but that is not me and I want to make that very, very clear. And if you look at the people behind all of this, Ezra Levant, he's another key player in that, the guy who runs Rebel Media, he's Canadian but he's also Jewish. They have a commonality and that is support for the state of Israel and Zionism.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Okay. So if you want to make any closing remarks and then I'll make a very brief closing remark as well, Mark, just to wrap it up.

Mark Collett:

I just want to say, look, it's just been a great, we're pushing two and a half hours. I want to say this has absolutely been fantastic, I came here in good faith, I believe it's been a great debate. I believe that we have found much, much common ground and I believe conversations like this should happen more often, most crucially because conversations like this help to understand differences as well as helping us to understand things that we have in common. And the more we understand our differences and the more we understand the things we have in common, the less likely it is that we will come in to conflict with one another. Because I believe ethnic conflict is a terrible thing. I believe that violence, ethnic conflict, ethnic cleansing, and supremacism are all negatives in this world.

I'm an ethnonationalist, I stand for peace, peaceful separation, I stand for people being controlled by themselves, taking their destiny into their own hands, not being controlled by globalists or Zionist or outside forces. And I believe that if we understand each other, we are less likely to do harm to each other, but also we are more likely to come together and combat groups that oppress both of our causes, that are enemies to us both. And if we do that, we are more likely be successful because I do believe there are powerful groups in this world who are an enemy to both of us and I believe those powerful groups have spent much time pushing us into the same areas, turning us against each other and having us fight each other endlessly for their benefit.

I do not want to see that. I do not want to see the middle east bomb, I do not want to see the West taken over by mass immigration, which is in part largely caused by these Middle Eastern wars. I do not want to see the genocide of Palestinian people, nor do I want to see white genocide nor do I want to see white people bred out in their ancestral Homeland. In that way, I suppose I do stand for human rights, but I stand for my human rights as much as I stand for yours and I think we both stand against globalization and the corrosive poison it drips into our respective people.

We call it liberalism, but it can also be called feminism, it can also be called the LGBT agenda, it can also be called social media, it can be called the mainstream media. All of these things harm both our people and if we understand that and we work against those ills rather than working against each other, I think we will be far more successful. And I think today has been a great success because it has helped

us understand both our differences and our commonalities. And that is of course a great thing. So thank you so much for inviting me, Daniel.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Thank you so much, Mark. Just wanted to say that we had a lot of commonality. Of course, I don't agree with ethnonationalism in the sense that I believe that people can be united under religion. I think that Islam is the best system for that as the religion of God. As a Muslim, I think if you read the chat, people are saying things like we want Mark to become Muslim, they're inviting you to Islam. I'm inviting you to Islam as well, and you've already shown your openness to that. And as I said, I love white people in that some of the best Muslims today and in history have been white European as well, European descent. And so we don't judge people as Muslims on the basis of racial background or ethnicity, it's on the basis of values. And we can ally with people who have shared values, even if they don't accept Islam, even if ultimately they don't accept Islam, we can still appreciate others for the values that they have and the values that we share, these fundamental human values that we all have.

And we can all agree that liberalism and this kind of behemoth that has been unleashed, this is a negative that has to be opposed. I would argue that Islam is the best opposition or the last man standing in the opposition to this kind of reform, this liberal reform. I was surprised by, Mark, your statements about your belief in theocracy, your belief in limiting technology or real actual limits on technology. So I think that's a lot of common ground. Again, thank you very much for taking the time. I hope everyone benefited from this informal [crosstalk 02:21:33].

Mark Collett:

Can I make one final point, Daniel [crosstalk 02:21:34].

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Absolutely, absolutely.

Mark Collett:

It's not really a point, but it is important. We've had over 2000 people watching live at peak and I've got this little app that restores the YouTube dislike so I can see the like to dislike ratio. This video has had over 1,700 likes and only three dislikes.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Wow.

Mark Collett:

Just three. That tells you how much, both people who support myself and people who are Muslims, your brothers and support you and what you do, have liked what we've done today. And people will categorize this chat as hate, but it's not. If you look at that like to dislike ratio, it's just jumped up since I've been saying this to 1,800 likes and three dislikes. This chat has been about finding common ground, understanding one another and if anything, it has been about love and not hate. And I urge you all please do take a copy of this debate because if YouTube take it down, I wouldn't like to see it being lost because I think it's very important. And that ratio is just increased again to 1,900 likes to just three

*Focus on Western Islamism*  
*[https:// islamism.news](https://islamism.news)*

dislikes. So I think Daniel, you've made a lot of people very happy today by hosting this and that is a wonderful, wonderful thing. So from the bottom of my heart and from all the people who support me, thank you for doing this Daniel. It is very much appreciated.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Yes. Thank you again, Mark. Appreciate the time. If this video gets taken down, I have backed it up. It will be on Odyssey so people can access it on my channel there. So hopefully it will be preserved, but with YouTube, you never know when the ban hammer is going to come down. Thank you so much, Mark. I hope you have a good rest of your evening.

Mark Collett:

Thank you so much. I'm going to leave now but before I do, I'll just say the likes are now over 2000. So we have done some good work today my friend. Thank you, have a good evening yourself and I'll see you all again. Good night, everybody.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Good night. Take care.

PART 5 OF 5 ENDS [02:23:45]