## Week 1 - Introduction to Regression and Simple Linear Regression

## Written by /u/econpanda

Problems with a \* are not necessary but may provide additional insight. The readings for this problem set are

- Chapter 1
- $\bullet$  2.1, 2.2, 2.4, 2.6

Pay attention to the following key topics

- Meaning of **ceteris paribus**
- Examples 1.3, 1.4, 1.5, 1.6
- Problems with nonrandom assignment (pages 14-15)
- What the regression error term *u* captures
- Assumptions about relation between *x* and *u*
- Estimation of regression coefficients
- Interpretation of regression coefficients
- How  $log(.)$  changes interpretation of regression coefficients (Table 2.3)
- Regression assumptions (section 2.3) and properties of OLS estimators (section 2.5) will be covered next week
- 1. (Wooldridge 1.1) Suppose that you are asked to conduct a study to determine whether smaller class sizes lead to improved performance of fourth graders.<sup>1</sup>
	- (a) If you could conduct any experiment you want, what would you do?
	- (b) More realistically, suppose you can collect observational data on several thousand fourth graders in a given state. You can obtain the size of their fourth-grade class and a standardized test score taken at the end of fourth grade. Why might you expect a negative correlation between class size and test score?
	- (c) Would a negative correlation necessarily show that smaller class sizes cause better performance?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>For a good answer to this question see the Tennessee STAR experiment and Krueger (1999)

2. (Wooldridge 2.1) Let *kids* denote the number of children born to a woman, and let *educ* denote years of education for the woman. A simple model relating education to fertility to years of education is

$$
kids = \beta_0 + \beta_1 educ + u
$$

- (a) List 5 specific variables that are in *u*
- (b) Are any of these things likely to be correlated with *educ*?
- (c) Would this simple regression uncover the ceteris paribus effect of education on fertility (Is  $E(u|x)$  likely to hold)?
- 3. You are interested in finding the relation between time allowed for college students to take an exam and their performance on the exam. You notice that at your university a class is offered on MWF (for 50 minutes) and on TTH (for 75 minutes) and it is taught by the same professor that uses the same exam.
	- (a) Is a simple regression of time on test score likely to uncover a ceteris paribus effect of time on test score (Hint: Are students randomly assigned between classes? Is  $E(u|x)$ likely to hold)?
	- (b) Alternatively you can convince the professor to pool the sections for exams and flip a coin for each student to determine their time allotment, heads means they get 75 minutes and tails means they get 50 minutes. Would this approach uncover a ceteris paribus effect?
- 4. \* Wooldridge derives OLS through the method of moments estimator, an alternative way to estimate  $\hat{\beta}_0$  and  $\hat{\beta}_1$  is through minimizing the sum of squared residuals. Define the residuals as  $\hat{u}_i = y_i - \hat{\beta}_0 - \hat{\beta}_1 x_{i,1}$ , the objective function is:

$$
\min_{\hat{\beta}_0, \hat{\beta}_1} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{u}^2 = \min_{\hat{\beta}_0, \hat{\beta}_1} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{\beta}_0 - \hat{\beta}_1 x_{i,1})^2
$$

(a) Show that the derivatives of this function with respect to  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_1$  are<sup>2</sup>

$$
-2\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \hat{\beta}_0 - \hat{\beta}_1 x_{i,1})
$$
  
-2 $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i (y_i - \hat{\beta}_0 - \hat{\beta}_1 x_{i,1})$ 

(b) Set these equations equal to 0 and solve for  $\hat{\beta}_0$  and  $\hat{\beta}_1$  and show that they are equivalent to equations 2.17 and 2.19 from Wooldridge. You will need the following properties

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i (x_i - \bar{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})^2 \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i (y_i - \bar{y}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})
$$

 $2$ If you need a review of calculus and summation operators see Appendix A in Wooldridge

- 5. (Wooldridge 2.2) In the simple linear regression model  $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i$ , suppose that  $E(u) =$  $\alpha$ <sup> $\neq$ </sup> 0. Show that the model can always be written with the same slope, but a new intercept and new error, where the new error has zero mean.
- 6. For each of the following regressions on the relation between a persons high school GPA and the ACT score provide a general interpretation of  $\beta_1$ 
	- (a)  $GPA = \beta_0 + \beta_1 ACT + u$
	- (b)  $log(GPA) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 ACT + u$
	- (c)  $GPA = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \log (ACT) + u$
	- (d)  $\log(GPA) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \log(ACT) + u$

## **References**

Krueger, A. B. (1999). Experimental estimates of education production functions\*. *The Quarterly journal of Economics 114*(2), 497–532.